Do you use JPEG2000*?

*There were 79 respondents who appeared to represent unique institutions or specific locations. 6 known duplicates were removed (only the first response was retained).
The 48 JP2 users – what they are
The 48 JP2 users – where they are*

*This map does not show density.
Formats used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Format</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part 1 (.jp2)</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 2 (.jpx)</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.jp2, .jpx and/or others</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Do you use JPEG2000 as a preservation format?

- Yes, sole format: 54% (26)
- Yes, with other formats: 15% (7)
- No: 31% (15)

Total responses: 54
How many JPEG2000 images do you store?

- 48%
- 25%
- 17%
- 10%
Preservation decisions by repository size
Do you use lossy compression?

- Yes, for all files: 29% (14)
- Yes, a mixture: 31% (15)
- No, only lossless: 40% (19)

Categories:
When did you start using JPEG2000?

- Since the beginning: 4%
- In the last 10 years: 31%
- In the last 5 years: 58%
- In the last year: 6%
Some of the comments received

Use Part 2 with Part 1 compatibility

It's not our recommended preservation format but we do have preservation copies in this format.

We use different formats in different collections. In our largest (for newspapers), we use JPEG2000 exclusively for preservation

Transitioning - will use for dissemination and long-term planning for preservation master.

We use JPEG2000 as the preservation format for our service master files, for archival master files we use the TIFF format.

Moving from TIFF to JP2 as preservation format. Migration incomplete.
Here are some random JPEG 2000 users from the survey, and others