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A Message from the Executive Director

Digits are born vulnerable.

Every single byte of data depends on a global infrastructure of technology, process and people for its meaning and purpose to be realized. Much data serves the moment: it is quickly forgotten in a continuous flow of process and interaction. Other data serve lengthier purposes as evidence and outputs of transactions that have significant impacts and long duration, longer than the infrastructure and the institutions through which the data was created. Everything in the latter category falls into the scope of digital preservation—the series of managed activities necessary to ensure continued access to digital materials for as long as necessary, beyond the limits of media degradation, technical obsolescence, and organizational change.

The Bit List is not a paper exercise. It was originally conceived as a call to action based on the insight and authentic voice of the global digital preservation community, and it remains so.

This fourth edition of the Bit List can be summarized in five broad conclusions.

1. The reporting and reviewing process has been comprehensively overhauled, in line with the biennial review. In particular, the inauguration of the Bit List Council has involved many more partners and domain experts in assessment. The simple fact of coordinating such a large group means more effort has been expended. The addition of many new experts means not only that the conclusions are ever more authoritative, but that complacency and groupthink have been challenged. It is by some way the most robust and measured statement yet of the risks facing our digital legacy.

2. The Bit List is more robust than ever, but it is fluid and not intended as the final word. The introduction of a new entry for ‘First Nations Secret/Sacred Cultural Material’ (p. 128) is welcome because it demonstrates a more global perspective. More importantly it is not a new issue. There have been three previous editions of the Bit List and six reviews in total: it has taken seven years to make explicit acknowledgement of the digital preservation challenges that arise from indigenous data sovereignty. This has two implications. The community-based methodology which generated the list means that some themes are amplified while others are obscured, especially those of the global majority in the Southern Hemisphere. So there needs to be some acknowledgement that gaps are left. Moreover, simply because something has not been added to the list does not mean it is not at risk.

3. Digital preservation is achievable. Two entries have moved to lower risk classifications. The re-classifications of ‘Published Research Data Appended to Journal Articles’ (p. 156) and ‘Unpublished Research Data’ (p. 92) are made for sound reasons based on materials improvements. In these and the small number of improving trends the Council has been able to identify the impact of policy clarifications, and those places where effort and expertise which have been applied. If digital preservation is possible then data loss is a choice.

4. But these are very few examples against a very the extensive list. The most noticeable conclusion is how little has changed. The expanded Bit List Council was invited to start over, with a wide range of new voices and subject matter expertise. Even so, the Council has made only marginal changes from recommendations in 2021. In this sense, 2023 has validated the broad conclusions of previous years, updating them rather than setting them aside. With a few honourable exceptions, there has been little or no improvement in the overall risk profile of digital assets.
5. The findings of the Third Edition of the Bit List in 2021 were largely valid, but its conclusions and recommendations have been largely ignored.

This much can be summarized from the report. The conclusions sit in a context, accurately described by the organizers of iPRES 2023 as ‘Disruptive Times’. Harper-Collins introduced the word ‘permacrisis’ as word of the year in the 2022 edition of their English Dictionary: the persistent sense of instability and insecurity arising from a continuing series of catastrophic events.

The Bit List 2023 is published in the shadow of a global pandemic, during a land war in Europe and a time of heightened tension and possible war in the Middle East. These are threats to digital content and coincide with a crisis of knowledge and fog of disinformation. Cyber-warfare can make battlefields and hostages of almost any connected device and data. Technical inter-dependency means that economic shocks threaten the digital memory of the world in ways we have barely begun to comprehend.

This is the year in which Twitter and its communities have effectively been destroyed. This is the year in which an American president was indicted for corruptly concealing documents and corruptly altering, destroying, mutilating, or concealing a documents and records. This is the year in which a former UK Prime Minister forgot the passcode to his phone and the messages that were stored there; and a serving UK Prime Minister defied a High Court ruling to disclose messages to a public enquiry. This is the year in which the Prime Minister of Cambodia deleted his Facebook Account, including live-streamed speeches threatening violence against his opponents. This is the year in which the bombing of a hospital in Gaza, apparently misreported, prevented a summit of Arab leaders with the US President in a rapidly escalating.

We cannot afford to be complacent about the loss of bits and bytes. The preservation of authentic digital materials cannot be taken for granted.

But evidence matters. Justice, transparency, accountability, community, creativity and knowledge are at stake. Digital preservation has never been more important.

Recommendations

It seems apt to begin with an update and restatement of recommendations for action made in the last Bit List 2022 report:

“The DPC calls on our members, partners and colleagues globally to take four steps:

- Enable and support the preservation of digital materials emerging as a form of protest in the context of political upheaval, and to do so urgently as the threats to the loss of such materials are pernicious and immediate.
- To address the gaps in treaty provision that would enable the preservation of digital cultural heritage at a time of conflict, such as envisaged in the 1954 UNESCO Convention on the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict and related. Recognizing that cyberwarfare can make a battlefield of any connected device, digital resources are inevitably and already enmeshed in numerous conflicts, hot and cold. Digital cultural heritage should be granted special legal protection from electronic warfare such that attacks on the memory of the world may be treated not simply as cybercrimes, but in relevant conditions also as war crimes and in cases of cultural genocide as crimes against humanity.
- To remove and reduce barriers to the preservation of social media, enabling reasonable preservation actions by trusted and legitimate actors.
- Continue and expand the research and provision of digital preservation capability.”
This year, we further call on auditors, regulators, legislators to formulate plans that:

- Demand a higher standard of competence and attention to digital preservation in the context of regulated industries and public authorities to prevent data loss, recognizing the reputational and real harms to stakeholders, to themselves and to future generations that arise, and which are entirely avoidable.
- Ensure higher standards of data management are achieved through the introduction of systematic benchmarking of the digital preservation competence of regulated and statutory agencies that rely on data.
- Challenge short-termism within the sectors and agencies they regulate so that minimum retention periods are not misinterpreted as maximum, and ensuring value can be obtained from data gathered at great expense.

We call on data controllers, chief technology officers and corporate audit committees to:

- Use the Bit List to understand the risks they face, taking steps to remove, reduce or mitigate them.
- Fold digital preservation policies into cyber-resilience strategies.
- Recognize that long term commitments cannot be met solely on a project basis, and therefore to fold short term and exploratory digital preservation projects into longer term strategic plans.
- Prioritize the deployment technologies, the development of policy and the employment of staff to address digital preservation risks and the harms that result from data loss.
- Benchmark their digital preservation maturity as an organization and ensure that the capacity of staff and paid for services match their stated goals and aspirations.
- Assess and adopt new technologies based on the total cost of ownership, recognizing that longer term solutions may have larger up-front costs but will save money in the long term, avoid the accrual of technical debt and enable longer term exploitation of data and services.

We call on courts and law enforcement to:

- Use the full extent of the law to prosecute data losses that arises from criminal negligence or malfeasance, especially those deletions that expose professional misconduct or defeat public accountability.

We call on the global digital preservation community, especially our members, supporters and partners to:

- Help promulgate the Bit List, and report ways in which it has been used to advocate for and prioritize digital preservation within their institutions.
- Advise about omissions and updates from the Bit List to enable a continuous and informed cycle of revision to help maintain its currency and authority.
- Use the Bit List as a tool to roadmap the development of tools and solutions that will tackle some of the more intractable technical challenges reported here.
- Use the Bit List to guide and support students and new entrants into the digital preservation workforce so that they are suitably prepared for the material challenges of their chosen profession.

William Kilbride, November 2023

Executive Director, The Digital Preservation Coalition
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1. Executive Summary

In 2023, the Bit List comprises 87 entries, an increase from 73 in 2021. While significant new entries include ‘First Nations Secret/Sacred Cultural Material,’ several other entries have been rescoped, merged and disaggregated which has contributed to this overall increase.

Only three entries demonstrate a substantive change of risk classification: ‘Shut Down or Discontinued Video Games’ is reclassified as Practically Extinct, from an earlier classification of Critically Endangered; ‘Published Research Data Appended to Journal Articles’ is reclassified as Vulnerable from an earlier classification of Endangered; and ‘Unpublished Research Data’ is reclassified as Critically Endangered from its previous classification of Practically Extinct.

The Bit List Council made only marginal changes from the recommendations in 2021, so the 2023 report has validated the broad conclusions of previous years, updating them rather than setting them aside. With a few exceptions, there has been little or no improvement in the overall risk profile of digital assets.

It is hard to avoid the conclusion therefore, that the findings of the Third Edition of the Bit List in 2021 were valid, yet its recommendations largely ignored.

The DPC’s Global Bit List of Endangered Digital Species (or Bit List for short) classifies digital content according to the risks it faces in varied conditions and contexts. It is the voice of the global digital preservation community, articulating their assessment of the imminence and significance of the dangers faced by different types of digital materials. By identifying the urgency of action and significance of content, the Bit List draws attention to those digital materials that, in the view of the global digital preservation community, require urgent action to remain viable.

Members of the digital preservation community around the world were invited to document concerns for digital materials under their stewardship, and to identify significant content where responsibility was unclear or capability unproven. This generated new candidate entries for the list in 2023 which were combined with entries from the 2022 list and assessed by an expert panel. The panel was asked to consider the imminence of the threats, the significance of loss and the efforts required to preserve the materials nominated.

The expert panel was greatly expanded for this review, under revised terms of reference. The ‘Bit List Council’, which consists of more than 50 DPC Member agencies from around the world, was convened to ensure that depth and variety of expert knowledge was available to assess each entry, and a number of expert sub-committees scrutinized each entry while the Council met in plenary to moderate differences of opinion and ratify outcomes. Through multiple iterations of review and commentary, the Council provided case studies to support the report and identified additional expert reviewers where weaknesses were perceived.

Thus, the Bit List 2023 is a consensus report from the Bit List Council, a large, diverse and expert body convened by the DPC on behalf of the global digital preservation community.

The Bit List 2023 offers an extensive but not exhaustive list of digital materials at risk. Every item on the list has been updated since the previous edition to account for current risks faced by the digital materials and possible steps for reducing the likelihood or impact of loss.
The review process, in particular the efforts of the Bit List Council, mean this edition is more robust and more detailed than it has been in previous years. There are now 87 entries on the list compared with 73 in the previous year:

- **Lower Risk**: 0
- **Vulnerable**: 9 [8 in 2021]
- **Endangered**: 32 [27 in 2021]
- **Critically Endangered**: 39 [32 in 2021]
- ** Practically Extinct**: 7 [6 in 2021]

The growth is partly the outcome of new entries, but also as a result of merging and disaggregating some previous entries. Three new digital species groups were also introduced (Cloud, Databases and Software) to help navigation.

The Bit List 2023 reflects the state of the art of digital preservation over the last year. It adds a layer of commentary from members of the 2023 Bit List Council, DPC’s Advocacy and Community Engagement Sub-Committee, DPC Members, and the digital preservation community regarding trends and innovations over the last year that have noticeably impacted items on the list.

The DPC, which manages and publishes the Bit List, maintains ‘neutrality in respect to solutions, approaches, sectors and vendors.’ This position is embedded by constitution, value and practice and maintained scrupulously throughout the DPC’s operations. Thus, the updated changes, recommendations and trends have been assessed independently of the interests of vendors or solution providers who may have a vested interest in promoting their products.

By its nature, the Bit List is a provisional statement. It is published and reviewed with the understanding that risks and know-how in digital preservation are emergent. The extent of the digital domain, the complexity of the threats, and the sophistication of emerging solutions mean that no process could ever fully capture the risks and challenges faced by digital content around the world. The Council also recognizes that differences in emphasis and subtleties of local context may well have been overlooked, and that material changes may have occurred during the process of compilation, which should be taken into consideration for the next revision. We welcome corrections and suggestions on how the list could be improved, sharing of your own case studies or examples, and nominations of at-risk materials, and invite you to participate in the global community which is developing good practice around digital preservation in the enhancement of the list for its next comprehensive review scheduled for November 2023 to November 2025.
2. Recommendations

In response to the Bit List, the DPC calls on our members, partners and colleagues globally to take four steps:

- Enable and support the preservation of digital materials emerging as a form of protest in the context of political upheaval, and to do so urgently as the threats to the loss of such materials are pernicious and immediate.
- To address the gaps in treaty provision that would enable the preservation of digital cultural heritage at a time of conflict, such as envisaged in the 1954 UNESCO Convention on the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict and related. Recognizing that cyberwarfare can make a battlefield of any connected device, digital resources are inevitably and already enmeshed in numerous conflicts, hot and cold. Digital cultural heritage should be granted special legal protection from electronic warfare such that attacks on the memory of the world may be treated not simply as cybercrimes, but in relevant conditions also as war crimes and in cases of cultural genocide as crimes against humanity.
- To remove and reduce barriers to the preservation of social media, enabling reasonable preservation actions by trusted and legitimate actors.
- Continue and expand the research and provision of digital preservation capability.

We call on auditors, regulators, legislators to formulate plans that:

- Demand a higher standard of competence and attention to digital preservation in the context of regulated industries and public authorities to prevent data loss, recognizing the reputational and real harms to stakeholders, to themselves and to future generations that arise, and which are entirely avoidable.
- Ensure higher standards of data management are achieved through the introduction of systematic benchmarking of the digital preservation competence of regulated and statutory agencies that rely on data.
- Challenge short-termism within the sectors and agencies they regulate so that minimum retention periods are not misinterpreted as maximum, and ensuring value can be obtained from data gathered at great expense.

We call on data controllers, chief technology officers and corporate audit committees to:

- Use the Bit List to understand the risks they face, taking steps to remove, reduce or mitigate them.
- Fold digital preservation policies into cyber-resilience strategies.
- Recognize that long term commitments cannot be met solely on a project basis, and therefore to fold short term and exploratory digital preservation projects into longer term strategic plans.
- Prioritize the deployment technologies, the development of policy and the employment of staff to address digital preservation risks and the harms that result from data loss.
- Benchmark their digital preservation maturity as an organization and ensure that the capacity of staff and paid for services match their stated goals and aspirations.
- Assess and adopt new technologies based on the total cost of ownership, recognizing that longer term solutions may have larger up-front costs but will save money in the long term, avoid the accrual of technical debt and enable longer term exploitation of data and services.
Digital Preservation Coalition

We call on courts and law enforcement to:

- Use the full extent of the law to prosecute data losses that arises from criminal negligence or malfeasance, especially those deletions that expose professional misconduct or defeat public accountability.

We call on the global digital preservation community, especially our members, supporters and partners to:

- Help promulgate the Bit List, and report ways in which it has been used to advocate for and prioritize digital preservation within their institutions.
- Advise about omissions and updates from the Bit List to enable a continuous and informed cycle of revision to help maintain its currency and authority.
- Use the Bit List as a tool to roadmap the development of tools and solutions that will tackle some of the more intractable technical challenges reported here.
- Use the Bit List to guide and support students and new entrants into the digital preservation workforce so that they are suitably prepared for the material challenges of their chosen profession.
3. Acknowledgements

The production of the 2023 Global Bit List of Endangered Digital Species is made possible through the generous support of the Bit List Council and their respective networks. All entries to the Bit List are reviewed by these dedicated organizations which represent global expertise in the preservation of the listed digital species. The Digital Preservation Coalition gratefully acknowledges all of their support, commitment and contributions towards the publication of the Bit List Council.

The Bit List Council

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species Specialism</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apps</td>
<td>Michael Day</td>
<td>British Library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Euan Cochrane</td>
<td>Yale University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Archives</td>
<td>Jeni Wie</td>
<td>Australian Institute of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Studies (AIATSIS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sharon Webb</td>
<td>University of Sussex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Audrey Wilson</td>
<td>Scottish Council on Archives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Data</td>
<td>Robin Wright</td>
<td>DPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Angeline Takawira</td>
<td>United Nations International Residual Mechanism for Criminal Tribunals (UNRMICT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering Data</td>
<td>Hannah Smith</td>
<td>Historic Environment Scotland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aliza Leventhal</td>
<td>Library of Congress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formats</td>
<td>Paul Young</td>
<td>The National Archives (UK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kate Murray</td>
<td>Library of Congress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>Matt Barr</td>
<td>University of Glasgow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ellie O'Leary</td>
<td>DPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated Storage</td>
<td>Neil Jefferies</td>
<td>Bodleian Libraries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media Art</td>
<td>Jo Fleming</td>
<td>Art Gallery of New South Wales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jack McConchie</td>
<td>Tate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Museum and Gallery</td>
<td>Jessica Burdge</td>
<td>University of St Andrews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kieron Niven</td>
<td>Archaeology Data Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Somaya Langley</td>
<td>Science Museum Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orphaned Works</td>
<td>Alicia Wise</td>
<td>CLOCKSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Archives</td>
<td>Natalie Adams</td>
<td>Cambridge University Library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portable Media</td>
<td>Amanda May</td>
<td>Library of Congress</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Political Data</th>
<th>Mark Reynolds</th>
<th>Houses of the Oireachtas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Records</td>
<td>Robin Friend</td>
<td>Public Record Office Victoria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevin Palendat</td>
<td>Library and Archives Canada</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steff Shepherd</td>
<td>East Sussex &amp; Brighton and Hove Record Office</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Outputs</td>
<td>Tom Shaw</td>
<td>Lancaster University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitive Data</td>
<td>Clare Lanigan</td>
<td>Digital Repository of Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlie Barbe</td>
<td>United Nations High Commission for Refugees (UNHCR)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kassa Lakew</td>
<td>United Nations High Commission for Refugees (UNHCR)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Media</td>
<td>Sara Thomson</td>
<td>University of Edinburgh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbie Grotke</td>
<td>Library of Congress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sound and Vision</td>
<td>Keir Winesmith</td>
<td>National Film and Sound Archive (NFSA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rebecca Coronel</td>
<td>National Film and Sound Archive (NFSA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott McCoy</td>
<td>National Film and Sound Archive (NFSA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camille Francoise</td>
<td>Netherlands Institute for Sound and Vision</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web</td>
<td>Maria Ryan</td>
<td>National Library of Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Storrar</td>
<td>The National Archives (UK)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson Bailey</td>
<td>Internet Archive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Graham</td>
<td>Internet Archive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional subject matter expertise was provided at short notice by the following members of the DPC, without which the Bit List would have been incomplete and for which we thank them unreservedly.

**DPC Members**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species Specialism</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sound and Vision</td>
<td>Stephen McConnachie</td>
<td>BFI National Archive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Archives</td>
<td>Dan Eady</td>
<td>Ngā Taonga Sound and Vision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kate Roberts</td>
<td>Ngā Taonga Sound and Vision</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As the DPC Subcommittee responsible for the resource, members of the Advocacy and Community Engagement (ACE) Subcommittee also provided valuable advice and an editorial review of the Bit List before its publication for which we are very grateful.

**DPC Advocacy and Community Engagement Subcommittee**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paul Stokes, Chair</th>
<th>Jisc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ruth Cammies, Vice Chair</td>
<td>Open University (OU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anais Lichtensztejn</td>
<td>The Archives and Records Association (ARA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray Moore</td>
<td>City of Edinburgh Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Josie Partridge</td>
<td>University of Birmingham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julienne Pascoe</td>
<td>Library and Archives Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaana Pinnick</td>
<td>BGS-UKRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Reynolds</td>
<td>Houses of the Oireachtas Library and Archives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sebastien Roncin</td>
<td>Bacardi-Martini Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathryn Rowswell-Faust</td>
<td>EUMETSAT (The European Organisation for the Exploitation of Meteorological Satellites)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Shaw</td>
<td>Lancaster University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorothy Waugh</td>
<td>University of York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emma Yan</td>
<td>University of Glasgow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Interpreting and Using the Bit List

The Bit List is first and foremost an advocacy tool. It describes a range of digital materials in varied organizational settings which, in the experience of the global digital preservation community, face distinct and imminent challenges. These challenges may be as much to do with accountability, policy or business process as technological obsolescence or media decay. By identifying them and by providing elementary recommendations about how the risks can be tackled, the DPC seeks to provide generic, impartial and international support to specific preservation actions and policies in any context.

The Bit List has three main audiences and three related functions:

- **As an advocacy tool,** the Bit List seeks to influence the technology sector and senior leaders in agencies of all kinds. We call on them to heed this account of the threats which digital materials face, the urgency of action that is required as well as the progress that has been made. It challenges them to invest credibly, informed by the risks that are faced by digital materials in the longer term.
- **As a practical comment on the challenges faced across the digital preservation community,** the Bit List provides a simple development roadmap, whether for researchers in academic institutions or commercial and semi-commercial agencies who seek to bring products to the market. It invites them to consider and, where possible, resolve the challenges that are identified here, and it offers credit for solutions that are progressed.
- **As a state-of-the-art report,** the Bit List provides introductory and current guidance for the digital preservation community. This is especially useful for new entrants as well as teachers, so that they are prepared for the challenges and opportunities that arise in the practice of digital preservation. It also supports professionals of long standing that may be approaching new challenges or content for the first time.

The list is derived directly from the practical experience of professionals responsible for maintaining access to digital materials over time. They come from many different countries and sectors. It is not a top-down or theoretical exercise, nor does it serve a political or commercial interest. Items appear on the list because established and experienced professionals have struggled to preserve access to them and have called for them to be included.

The Bit List was first published in 2017. It has undergone a comprehensive review every two years, with an interim progress report and commentary in alternate years. This schedule explicitly complements the biennial cycle of the Digital Preservation Awards. A major review was conducted in 2023 including a call for new nominations.

Although all digital materials fall within the scope of the Bit List, it is not a complete account of digital materials at risk: only those items which members of the community recognize as being at risk are included. Consequently, the fact that a data set is not listed should not be taken as evidence that it is not at risk: simply that the community which has compiled the list has not encountered any explicit risks or has no experience with these materials. Equally, the fact that an item has been identified as at risk is some small proof of effort, however weak, to secure long-term viability. In most cases, entries on the list are broadly defined, summarizing significant variability in specific cases. Many items on the list overlap, amplifying or lessening the urgency for action as appropriate.

Each item on the list is given a short title and a longer description. It is described in general terms, then a series of examples are given. The examples are illustrative not exhaustive and in many cases these examples are also broadly defined, representing many specific instances and examples.
examples typically include specific submissions made in the open nomination process and examples arising from Council discussions.

The categories and classifications are broad so that the list can be digested quickly and tracked. This comes at a cost to specificity. It is a reference set against which any digital object can be compared. The urgency of action or risks faced are amplified by the presence of aggravating factors, and they are ameliorated in the presence of good practice. Entries overlap; any given digital object may appear under multiple headings depending on technology, resourcing, or organizational contexts. These overlaps mean items may be at greater risk than initially suggested and that actions to tackle the risks are potentially more complex. An elementary action plan is suggested for every entry.

Users of the Bit List are encouraged to assess whether any digital object in their possession, or which they intend to create, or for which they have a current or imminent preservation responsibility, is a specific example of the item described and whether it aligns with one of the examples given.

Each item includes examples of Aggravating Conditions which amplify the risks a digital object faces, and Good Practice that would reduce the risk. These are also implied recommendations for addressing and reducing risks to be followed in the timescale indicated. In most cases, a fuller assessment is also suggested. By implication, the actions that would arise from such an assessment are not likely to be trivial. The Council has attempted to provide a simple assessment of how much work it would be to improve the situation and their perception of how wide the impact of loss would be. Finally, detailed comments from the Council have been included where available.

The 2023 Bit List Council paid particular attention to the risk classification. Items were given a provisional ranking by jurors during the first round of scoring, with entries requiring additional expert advice identified so that recognized subject matter experts could be invited to offer feedback on an item in more detail. The review process also saw the elimination of some entries, as well as the merger of duplicate entries and disaggregation of compound entries into smaller groups, following Jury discussion. Every entry here is on the basis of a consensus decision, but in a small number of cases, the decision was unanimous. For the sake of transparency, the Bit List reports those occasions where unanimity was achieved as this materially affects how recommendations are deployed.

Recognizing that entries are very broadly defined, digital materials can be at more or less risk depending on local circumstances. There is a greater risk, and therefore greater urgency to act, in the presence of aggravating conditions which can be delineated. So, while an entry may be classified as Vulnerable in generic terms, any example of that entry may reasonably be described as Endangered or Critically Endangered in the presence of aggravating conditions. Conversely, in the presence of good practice, specific digital materials may be designated as Endangered to Vulnerable or Lower Risk.

The 2023 review of existing entries also included the identification of trends and activities that have significantly impacted items over the preceding year (from November 2022 to the time of publication). As part of this review, the Bit List 2022’s identification and commentary on trends were also taken into consideration.

Each item notes both the preceding 2022 trend and new 2023 trend with commentary. There are three classes of trend relating to the risks to clarify their meaning, which are summarized below:

- **To even greater risk** where the Council has reason to believe preservation is becoming significantly harder than we anticipated last year.
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- **Material improvement** where the Council has reason to believe trends towards reduced risk have accelerated.
- **No change.** In every other case there is no change to the trend. The term ‘No change’ does not mean the trend has stopped, merely that it remains on the same basis as before. This does not mean the trend has stopped but that the Council believe the trend has continued as reported last year.

The Bit List offers a provisional commentary with the recognition that the extent of the digital domain, the complexity of the threats, and the sophistication of emerging solutions mean that no process could ever fully capture the risks and challenges faced by digital content around the world. It is published and reviewed with the understanding that new risks are continuously arising; every day and (inevitably) between editions of the Bit List. Members of the 2023 Bit List Council and 2022 Taskforce recognize that differences in emphasis and subtleties of local context may well have been overlooked, and that material changes may have occurred during the process.

The Bit List is designed to be collaborative, iterative and provisional. Thus, if readers are aware of significant digital collections that do not match up with any of the broad examples given but are at material risk, they are encouraged to draw these to the attention of the Council through the DPC’s Head of Advocacy and Community Engagement. These will be reviewed in time for publication of the next scheduled comprehensive review and revision for November 2025. Where digital materials face an imminent extinction event before that, their evaluation may be accelerated and an addendum published to the Bit List in order to provide the timely, impartial and expert advocacy that may be required. **Corrections, comments and nominations are welcome.**

The Bit List Council and the DPC also recognize the strengths and limitations of the different methods employed for the 2023 review. The 2023 review system of scoring significance, impact, the inevitability of loss, and imminence of action using numerical scales helped identify entries with general consensus, guide discussion and supplement arguments. While the scoring metrics for the scales were helpful when looking at the scores relating to the recommended risk classification, the majority of submitted scores for significance and impact varied more widely with recommendations to revisit the metrics for the next comprehensive review.

In light of the points raised, the Council and DPC have compiled a list of recommendations and comments with plans to undertake major review methods employed as part of the next two-year cycle.
5. Explanation of Classifications

**Practically Extinct**
Digital materials are listed as *Practically Extinct* when examples cannot be identified or are inaccessible by most practical means and methods. It does not assume that the material is lost, but rather that loss is imminent and immediate action is required to avoid loss. It includes material where recovery is possible in very small samples but is impractical or has not been demonstrated at scale.

**Critically Endangered**
Digital materials are listed as *Critically Endangered* when they face material technical challenges to preservation, there are no agencies responsible for them or those agencies are unwilling or unable to meet preservation needs. This classification includes *Endangered* materials in the presence of aggravating conditions.

**Endangered**
Digital materials are listed as *Endangered* when they face material technical challenges to preservation or responsibility for care is poorly understood, or where the responsible agencies are poorly equipped to meet preservation needs. This classification includes *Vulnerable* materials in the presence of aggravating conditions.

**Vulnerable**
Digital materials are listed as *Vulnerable* when the technical challenges to preservation are modest but responsibility for care is poorly understood, or where the responsible agencies are not meeting preservation needs.

**Lower Risk**
Digital materials are listed as *Lower Risk* when they do not meet the requirements for other risk classifications but where there is a distinct preservation requirement. Failure or removal of the preservation function would result in assignment to one of the more threatened classifications.
6. Practically Extinct

Digital materials are listed as *Practically Extinct* when examples cannot be identified or are inaccessible by most practical means and methods. It does not assume that the material is lost, but rather that loss is imminent and immediate action is required to avoid loss. It includes material where recovery is possible in very small samples but is impractical or has not been demonstrated at scale.

This classification includes *Critically Endangered* materials in the presence of aggravating conditions.
Adobe Flash Animations and Interactive Applets

Animations, games, and other interactive applets created with Macromedia Adobe Flash Player and Shockwave Flash, along with their accompanying websites. These are primarily .swf files, but they can also include networked collections of .swf files and external assets, as well as the web pages where they are displayed.

- **Digital Species:** Web
- **Trend in 2022:** No change
- **Added to List:** 2019
- **Trend in 2023:** No change
- **Previously:** Practically Extinct

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imminence of Action</th>
<th>Significance of Loss</th>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immediate action necessary. Where detected should be stabilized and reported as a matter of urgency.</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.</td>
<td>Loss seems inevitable: loss has already occurred or is expected to occur before tools or techniques develop.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Examples**
Flash and Shockwave based games; cartoons; interactives

**'Critically Endangered' in the Presence of Good Practice**
Migration plan initiated; supported in multiple browsers; security vetted; emulation pathway

**2023 Review**
This entry was added in 2019. The 2019 Jury noted that flash animations and applets were a mainstay of interactive web design from the late 1990s. Flash animations and interactives are created using tools supplied by the Adobe of the same name. Although Flash enables the development of sophisticated interaction at low cost over the web, it has had a chequered history in terms of browser support and has been plagued by security concerns. The 2020 Jury added the trend towards greater risk based on the indication by Adobe for some time that there would be withdrawal of support to Flash Animation.

The 2021 Jury noted the discontinuation and withdrawal of support did indeed occur. Flash is no longer supported, and loss has already occurred with Adobe’s deprecation of Flash and lack of support in modern web browsers. For this reason, the classification moved from Critically Endangered to Practically Extinct with a trend towards greater risk given the loss of dependence on Flash, which has resulted in new aggravating conditions for migration pathway, emulation pathway, source code, lack of capacity or motivation to support, no commercial interest.

The 2023 Council agreed with the Practically Extinct classification and noted an increase in imminence of action required with greater inevitability of loss.

**Additional Comments**
Flash represents a significant amount of the creativity of websites in the early 2000s including net-based art and cartoons. With extinction, archives will need to consider if it is possible to preserve interaction through the development of new web archiving and emulation tools and techniques. These are culturally significant artefacts or so of the web and were a gateway for many early game developers given the ease of which Flash games could be made.

It’s important to note there are quite a number of community projects working on this, whilst the focus tends to be on Flash games there is still work around Flash animations. Projects like Flashpoint Archive do have their own workflows for getting the games/animations but have their own preservation issues. The survival of Flashpoint is reliant on a small group of people with the...
storage space entirely relying on one person maintaining it. Other Flash game archives exist as well, such as Flash Game Archives. The Internet Archive has a number of Flash game collections as well. There is also work being done around emulating Flash within web browsers through Ruffle which increases access to Flash games and animations.

Case Studies or Examples:
- BlueMaxima (n.d.) ‘Flashpoint Archive’. Available at: https://flashpointarchive.org/ [accessed 24 October 2023]
- Flash Game Archive (n.d.). Available at: http://www.flashgamearchive.com/ [accessed 24 October 2023]
- Ruffle is a Flash Player emulator which is used by a number of websites that were known for Flash games and animations including Newground, Armor Games, Cool Math Games and Kongregate. ruffle (n.d.). Available at: https://ruffle.rs/ [accessed 24 October 2023]

See also:
- Richner, J. (n.d.) ‘How Flash Games shaped the video game industry’. Available at: https://www.flashgamehistory.com/ [accessed 24 October 2023]

Legacy Interfaces and Services Offered Online by Major Companies

Online services with unique interfaces that change regularly and through those changes provide a different experience and different content to their users.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Social Media</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>→ No change</td>
<td>Previously: Practically Extinct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imminence of Action</td>
<td>Trend in 2023:</td>
<td>Effort to Preserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>→ No change</td>
<td>Loss seems inevitable: loss has already occurred or is expected to occur before tools or techniques develop.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance of Loss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effort to Preserve</td>
<td>Inevitability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice</td>
<td>Robust and extensive web archives with strong documentation of search algorithms, ranking and personalization of interfaces.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**2023 Review**

This entry was added in 2019 to highlight the configuration of interfaces and, therefore, the ever-changing arrangement and presentation of content. Personalization means that the same query can produce quite different results to different users at the same time; the application of machine learning to behavioral surplus means the same may obtain different results at different points in time. That is over and above the rapid churn in the appearance of web interfaces. There is little appreciation of the implications for the use of online services and the potential for manipulations that arise. Moreover, the digital preservation community, which is historically concerned with data rather than interface, has only rudimentary tools to address this challenge. The 2021 Jury agreed but noted a trend towards greater risk due to security issues posed by hosting legacy technology software and services which have prompted disposal of content imminently without adequate review or selection. The 2022 Taskforce agreed these risks remain on the same basis as before (‘no change’ to trend).

The 2023 Council agreed with the Practically Extinct classification and noted an increase in imminence, recognizing that while the need for major efforts to prevent or reduce losses continues, it is now much more likely that loss of material has already occurred, and will continue to do so, by the time tools or techniques have been developed.

**Additional Comments**

The 2023 Bit List Council additionally recommends that the next major review for the Bit List includes a consideration of merging this entry with ‘Consumer Social Media Free at the Point of Use’ and or new entry on interfaces of social media platforms, to provide examples of loss prompted by aggravating conditions.

The interfaces alone have less impact if they are gone (since many research uses are interested in the extracted data). However, it’s an important distinction for us to make that we could end up preserving social media data as datasets in the long run, meaning that the look and feel (which serves a different sort of purpose) will be lost. A number of social media researchers in groups like the Association of Internet Researchers (AoIR) may care more about the data, but perhaps it is worth exploring a bit more about their interest in interfaces.

Without the interfaces and underlying software that enables social media platforms, it will be impossible to preserve the look and feel and even meaning of a large portion of content that depends on particular functionality or interface to be accurately or authentically interpreted, including for evidential uses, art works, design research, and historical / qualitative research. The loss of these interfaces (or lack of any indication of robust documentation by platforms) means a significant gap in the cultural heritage of many communities and even entire nations. For example, some content creators on YouTube may lose access to their content and accounts due to copyright infringement claims or reports of inappropriate content, which may or may not be supportable. The risk of loss is higher if the content is not stored anywhere else. Though some mitigation methods are available through the platform, this issue may only affect a small number of accounts.

Some of the content/iterations of these are likely preserved to an extent within existing web archives but not as targeted collection efforts. As we’ve seen with myspace and other platforms where the platform producers decide to remove content or shut down rather quickly, it can be too late if this content has not been preserved already.

The authenticity of displaying social media content from 2014 through modern interfaces is questionable, and without recording the interface at the time, it is not currently possible to recreate older interfaces. You’d think the platform owners would have the older versions saved,
but these are not available at the moment, and it would be worth engaging in a conversation about making them available to cultural heritage institutions for display purposes.

Some of this information is almost certainly lost already (some through deliberate erasure). The imminence of action depends on the type of institution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-current, Rare Portable Magnetic Media</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Materials saved to uncommon storage devices where the media is out of warranty, reader devices may no longer be supported or integrated into hardware infrastructure, and reader devices are extremely hard to acquire due to rarity: typically, more than five years old.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Portable Media</th>
<th>New Rescoped Entry</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Imminence of Action</strong></td>
<td><strong>Significance of Loss</strong></td>
<td>**Effort to Preserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world</td>
<td>Loss seems likely: by the time tools or techniques have been developed the material will likely have been lost.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Examples**
Bernoulli, Canon Diskfile, Superdisk, Jaz, MiniDisc, and similar

‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice
Data can be preserved only with the ability to acquire drives and make them functional; media items must be in good working condition; original documentation can be difficult to locate and drivers or other dependencies may be impossible to acquire; much specialized work is necessary to make drives work and transfer data.

2023 Review
The 2023 Council added this as a new, split entry related to the ‘Non-current, Portable Magnetic Media’ entry to highlight the increased risk for more unusual and less common formats associated with the media. They also noted that it is likely that more current formats will fall into this category over time, there will remain a need for use and development of forensic tools and techniques. The Council also recommended that an effort to create a comprehensive list of formats that may qualify for this category be undertaken.

Additional Comments
The 2023 Council additionally recommend there be an open call and encouragement to the community to contribute examples to add to the entry for the next major review of the Bit List, as by their nature they are harder to identify and by addressing those not as common, there can be further development and cross-referencing across resources (e.g. registries, technology watch, etc.).

It is important to distinguish these materials from the floppy, hard drive, and other common formats for which there are still a large number of readers available and tools have been developed (FC5025, KryoFlux). These less typical, unusual or ‘weird’ formats were momentary and ephemeral and weren’t very popular, but archival data exist on them and there are very few readers available and very few tools, if any, exist to support them. There is an overall lower impact because there are few collections on these media, relatively.
See also:

### Non-standard Public Records

Records created in the course of public administration and subject to public records legislation but created on unofficial channels and platforms and therefore subject to unlawful destruction whether by accident or design.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Legal Data</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Added to List: 2019</th>
<th>Trend in 2023:</th>
<th>Previously: Practically Extinct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imminence of Action</th>
<th>Significance of Loss</th>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended within twelve months, detailed assessment is a priority.</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.</td>
<td>It would require a major effort to prevent or reduce losses in this group, possibly requiring the development of new preservation tools or techniques.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Examples

Content and messages from cloud-based instant messaging services (such as WhatsApp or Snapchat) that pertain to public administration and are subject to public records legislation but concealed from or inaccessible to archival agencies.

**‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice**

Archival pathway; public officials briefed on the nature of public records and the penalties for illegal disposal; boundary between public and private correspondence; cloud services administered transparently; export functions.

### 2023 Review

This entry was added in 2019 as a subset of an entry in 2018 for ‘Digital Legal Records and Evidence,’ which the Jury split into four different entries in order to draw attention to the different challenges and priorities that arise. The 2019 Jury gave this entry the strongest indication of risk available. This group includes those records which may contain politically damaging or uncomfortable realities and thus be at risk of deletion and may be concealed from archival agencies whether by accident or design. The 2019 Jury also noted that the destruction of certain classes of public records is unlawful, whether or not it is deliberate.

The 2020 Jury added the trend towards greater risk based on the ‘pivot to digital’ necessitated by the Pandemic resulting in widespread changes in workflow and in the platform for the delivery of government, with significant amounts of remote working. These changes happened rapidly, often

---
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without time to consider the preservation and record keeping implications. In those circumstances, it was reasonable to suppose the risks expanded in size as well as scope. The 2021 Jury agreed but found no significant increase or decrease to the trend. They added that there should be a balance between trying to preserve what has already been created using these channels and trying to educate against/prevent records from being created this way in the future. The 2022 Taskforce found no significant trend towards even greater or reduced risk.

The 2023 Council agreed with the Practically Extinct classification and noted a slight decrease in the effort needed to preserve and the imminence of action required when compared to the 2021 Jury review, suggesting that overall risks remain on the same basis as before with major efforts are needed but loss is not entirely inevitable.

### Additional Comments

Even records created on official channels and platforms are potentially being subjected to unlawful destruction through subversion of official formal processes.

This is a ‘small effort to fix’ in terms of the technology to export data. But loss seems likely unless there is stronger monitoring and enforcement of the policy around this.

Agencies responsible for the public record will not be able to completely control their public servants’ use of unofficial channels (but could tighten), so they need methods to obtain from unofficial channels. Very important for public accountability and transparency of the state.

Obviously, these records should not be created using these channels in the first place. It is probably unlawful for those in public office. However, we know there has and always will be this kind of backdoor activity and pretty much always a scandal when it is revealed. The challenge is managing to collect it.

### Older Open Source Intelligence Sources

Older open source intelligence produced, collected and analysed from publicly available social media and web content with the purpose of answering a specific intelligence question and that supports crowd-sourced investigation and fact-checking to verify or refute claims of state agencies and rebel groups in the context of historic political or military conflict.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Legal Data</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>→ No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Added to List: 2019</th>
<th>Trend in 2023:</th>
<th>Previously: Practically Extinct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>→ No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imminence of Action</th>
<th>Significance of Loss</th>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immediate action necessary.</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.</td>
<td>Loss seems likely: by the time tools or techniques have been developed the material will likely have been lost.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social media sources relating to the Arab spring</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Offline backup documented and available for recovery;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**2023 Review**

This entry was added in 2019 from a nominated entry that was split into three subsets by the 2019 Jury relating to current, recent, and historic sources. This entry relates in particular to materials published at the time of the ‘Arab’ spring. Social media companies had initially taken little or no action with respect to social media content in conflict zones, taking the view either that they were mere technical platforms and therefore not responsible for editorial; or that the platforms were being used largely for social good, loosening the control of the media from oppressive regimes. However, as the Arab Spring progressed, the companies came under significant pressure to monitor content with more care, in part because terrorist groups had begun using social media platforms for propaganda purposes. The social media companies responded by implementing algorithms that removed or deleted content. This had the unintended consequence of deleting or suppressing content that was being used in open source investigation for journalistic or judicial purposes and may have resulted in refutation or prosecution. The 2019 Jury recognized the duty of care that social media companies have towards their users and is in no sense seeking to have that material re-published on the open web but noted the unintended consequence for journalists and investigatory authorities from the rush to deletion, illustrating how this entry further underlines the relative fragility of all social media content. The 2021 Jury agreed with the current classification and description with no change to trend. The 2022 Taskforce also found no change to trend.

The 2023 Council agreed with the current *Practically Extinct* classification with the overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend).

**Additional Comments**

The Council also added clarification to the meaning of ‘open source’ for this entry, to explain its meaning in relation to intelligence openly available online, noting that open source can also refer to a specific software or content licence that permits limited uses of IP so this distinction would be helpful for readers.

This is important for social context but may be picked up inadvertently through other ways; it remains ambiguous about who has ultimate responsibility for collecting and preserving this.

See also:

- Dougherty, R., (2021) ‘Documenting Revolution in the Middle East’, *Focus on Global Resources, CRL*. Available at: [https://www.crl.edu/focus/article/7437](https://www.crl.edu/focus/article/7437) [accessed 24 October 2023]
- Higgins, E. (2019), ‘Bellingcat and beyond. The future for Bellingcat and online open source investigation’, *iPres Conference 2019, Amsterdam*. Available at: [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kZAb7CVGmXM](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kZAb7CVGmXM) [accessed 24 October 2023]
### Pre-WWW Videotex Data Services and Bulletin Board Services

Pre WWW telephone and television information services that allowed a degree of user interaction and data retrieval with modem-based two-way communication.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Sound and Vision</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2017</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Previously: Practically Extinct</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Imminence of Action
Immediate action necessary. Where detected should be stabilized and reported as a matter of urgency.

#### Significance of Loss
The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on some people and sectors.

#### Effort to Preserve | Inevitability
Loss seems inevitable: loss has already occurred or is expected to occur before tools or techniques develop.

### Examples
Prestel, Minitel, VidiTel and Videotex NL, Alex, BelTel, FidoNet

### ‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice
Offline backup documented and available for recovery;

#### 2023 Review
This entry was added in 2017, and there has been no evidence to document any change in the initial classification that such data is Practically Extinct. There may be examples residing in offline backups of services taken at the time, but these are likely to have deteriorated rapidly. Therefore, the Jury calls on anyone with such collections to act quickly to stabilize and recover content.

The 2021 Jury agreed with the current classification and description with no change to trend. The 2022 Taskforce noted no change to the trend (they agreed these risks remain on the same basis as before).

The 2023 Council agreed with the classification of Practically Extinct with the overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend). They noted a lower significance of loss but increased imminence of action required as well as the effort to preserve. The need for major efforts to prevent or reduce losses continues, but it is now much more likely that loss of material has already occurred, and will continue to do so, by the time tools or techniques have developed. There is a greater urgency to prioritize the assessment of these materials and develop tools or techniques to prevent or reduce further losses in this group.

### Additional Comments
The value of this type of content has been questioned in previous entry reviews. It was noted that loss of this content would impact our understanding of pre WWW digital/electronic communications and whilst the loss in terms of impact on human life would be minimal, from a cultural studies point of view, loss would have a far higher impact.

In terms of preservation, whilst there is no structured collection of this material, many individuals will have their own personal archives and a campaign of the nature of Missing Believed Wiped from the BFI might be effective in collating these disparate collections.
This entry can also be linked to the Community Archives species as early online forums were a place of community development and community creation.

**Shut Down or Discontinued Video Games**

Video games where the servers have been shut down or where the game has been delisted across digital platforms and is no longer able to be legally purchased directly from the digital marketplace (loss has already happened). It includes older and non-current video games designed and played on platforms and devices that are no longer supported. This group also includes older editions of games that have been delisted and replaced by newer or remastered editions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Gaming</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>→ No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Added to List: 2017     | Trend In 2023: | Previously: Critically Endangered |
|                        | ↑ Towards even greater risk | |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imminence of Action</th>
<th>Significance of Loss</th>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on a large group of people and sectors.</td>
<td>Loss seems likely: by the time tools or techniques have been developed the material will likely have been lost.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>within twelve months,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>detailed assessment is a priority.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Examples**

City of Heroes, The Matrix Online, Club Penguin, P.T., Sims 1, Metro 2033, Darkspore, Maplestory 2

‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice

Emulation pathway; source code; IPR supportive of preservation; support of grassroots efforts; support for preservation from game publishers/developers; removal of Always-Online DRM

**2023 Review**

This entry was added in 2017 under the Gaming species under the title “Old or Non-current Offline Video Games” and was rescoped in 2023. The Council noted that the original description and scope of the entry conflated several issues and was unclear in its purpose, referring both to games that have experienced loss and older games that are still available.

The change of status from Critically Endangered to Practically Extinct reflects the adjusted scope as games that fall under this entry have already experienced loss, in terms of servers, the actual game and users. Efforts to keep these games “alive” or in circulation are reliant on legally dubious measures such as private servers and key reselling.

It was also decided to remove reference to the age of the video game in this entry given that there has been an increase in server-reliant games shutting down within a year or two of launch that are more at risk than older games that are still being sold.

**Additional Comments**

The key element of this entry is that loss has already happened. Whilst there are numerous hobbyists working on preserving individual games and servers, the critical mass of users has now been lost for these games. Additionally, whilst the work being done by hobbyists is often vital to the survival of these games, the legality of these projects are in question and are often prone to shutting down without warning. Organizations like the Videogame Heritage Society provide a space to share advice and guidance on preserving video games but the sheer breadth of shut
down and discontinued games means that a collaboration between hobbyists, organizations and game developers is what is required to begin solving this issue.

It is also worth noting that Always-Online DRM is a key issue in this area as if the servers shut down where a game has this type of DRM, then even the singleplayer part of the game can no longer be played without DRM circumvention, which is not legal. Darkspore is a key example of this happening.

A unique example to point out here around shut down MMOs is Maplestory 2, which closed its global services in May 2020, less than 2 years after the game received a global release. Nexon, the game’s publisher, released a number of assets including designs, concept art and illustrations on their website as well as uploaded all the music onto YouTube. These assets were released for non-commercial and personal use only.

Case Studies or Examples:


- MapleStory (n.d.), ‘MapleStory 2 Archive’, *Nexon*. Available at: [https://maplestory.nexon.net/ms2archive](https://maplestory.nexon.net/ms2archive) [accessed 24 October 2023]

See also:

- The ‘MMO Timeline’ in Bio Break, a blog devoted to MMORPGs, RPGs, and other games, offers a quick reference sheet for the notable MMOs and proto-MMOs from 1980 to present (with closures noted in red). Syp (n.d.), ‘MMO Timeline’, Bio Break. Available at: https://biobreak.wordpress.com/mmo-timeline/ [accessed 24 October 2023]
- Delisted Games, which is a website dedicated to tracking delisted games and server shutdowns. See Delisted Games (n.d.) ‘About Delisted Games’. Available at: https://delistedgames.com/about/ [accessed 25 October 2023]
- The Videogame Heritage Society, led by the National Videogame Museum, founded in 2020 to bring together organizations and collectors working with videogames. It provides advocacy, expertise, and support in collecting, preserving and displaying video games. See National Video Museum (2020) ‘Videogame Heritage Society’. Available at: https://thenvm.org/about/vhs/ [accessed 24 October 2023]
- The Video Game History Foundation is a 501(c)3 non-profit dedicated to preserving and teaching the history of video games. See Video Game History Foundation (n.d.), ‘Mission’. Available at: https://gamehistory.org/our-mission/ [accessed 24 October 2023]
- The British Film Institute's “Embracing a wider screen culture” strategy notes the cultural significance of video games and states that they intend to embark on sector research, engagement and knowledge exchange (including on the preservation of video games and digital media). See BFI (n.d.) ‘Embracing a wider screen culture’. Available at: https://blog.bfi.org.uk/long-read/our-ambitions/embracing-a-wider-screen-culture/ [accessed 24 October 2023].
7. Critically Endangered

Digital materials are listed *Critically Endangered* when they face material technical challenges to preservation, there are no agencies responsible for them or those agencies are unwilling or unable to meet preservation needs.

This classification includes *Endangered* materials in the presence of aggravating conditions and instances of *Practically Extinct* materials that have been identified but not fully recovered.
**Always Online Games**

Video games that are required to be continuously online. Gameplay is referenced here particularly as means of participation, along with social media and in-game interaction between players. This can include Massively Multiplayer Online games and single player games with always-on DRM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Gaming</th>
<th>Trend in 2022: ↑ Towards even greater risk</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019 (rescoped 2023)</td>
<td>Trend in 2023: ↑ Towards even greater risk</td>
<td>Previously: Critically Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Imminence of Action**
Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.

**Significance of Loss**
The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.

**Effort to Preserve | Inevitability**
Loss seems likely: by the time tools or techniques have been developed the material will likely have been lost.

**Examples:**
Fortnite, World of Warcraft, Neverwinter, League of Legends

**‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions**
Controversies around IPR; lack of offline backup; changing business model of providers; limited recognition of value of game play; over dependence on goodwill of ad-hoc community; lack of preservation know-how at service providers; dependency on bespoke hardware or interfaces; increased reliance on always-on DRM for single player games

**‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice**
Well documented code; IPR supportive of preservation; large and committed user community; removal of always-on DRM for single player games

**2023 Review**
This entry was added in 2019 as a subset of the 2017 entry for “gaming”. The 2020 and 2021 Juries noted a trend towards greater risk, due to the increased significance of these games during the COVID Pandemic as well as the evolving nature of MMOs, to the extent that the 2021 Jury changed the risk classification from *Endangered* to *Critically Endangered*. The 2022 Bit List Taskforce suggested that the 2023 Council consider the naming and scope of the entry. The 2023 Council agreed with this suggestion and rescoped this entry to Always Online Games covering all games that have to always be online, whether that is due to being MMOs, server-based games or single-player games with Always-Online DRM. Games that have online components but are not required to always be online fit into the new “Games with Online Play Components” entry.

**Additional Comments**
Preservation for Always Online games in a playable state requires preservation or re-creation of the servers that are used to run these games. Even then, for MMOs or multiplayer games, it would be impossible to recreate these games at their various peaks. This nicely encapsulates why video recordings of (online) gameplay are important. They will never have the same configuration of subscribers, to say nothing of the innumerable changes made to the software over the years, which have significantly altered how the game works and looks. Loss is inevitable, and it has already happened. The social and cultural aspects of play are incredibly important, and on-screen recording is the most robust way to capture that.
Whilst it is expected that MMOs and always multiplayer games (such as Fortnite) would always require an internet connection due to their reliance on servers, single player games, or those where the primary gameplay is single player, being always online due to DRM provides an added risk to preservation. If the server shuts down, then even the single player components might not be playable, thus loss happens faster than a single player game that does not have a reliance on servers. For more details, see the Shut Down or Discontinued Video Games entry.

**Case Studies or Examples:**
- PCGamingWiki has an automated list of games that has Always-online DRM as well as a list of games that had Always-online DRM that have shut down. PCGamingWiki (n.d.) ‘List of games using Always Online DRM’. Available at: [https://www.pcgamingwiki.com/wiki/List_of_games_using_Always_Online_DRM](https://www.pcgamingwiki.com/wiki/List_of_games_using_Always_Online_DRM) [accessed 24 October 2023].
- GOG is a digital distribution platform for video games and films that only distributes games that are DRM-free. See GOG (2022), ‘GOG 2022 UPDATE #2: OUR COMMITMENT TO DRM-FREE GAMING’. Available at: [https://www.gog.com/news/bgog_2022_update_2b_our_commitment_to_drmfree_gaming](https://www.gog.com/news/bgog_2022_update_2b_our_commitment_to_drmfree_gaming) [accessed 24 October 2023].
- Dr. Megan Winget’s ethnographic research project focused on supporting the collection and preservation of massively multiplayer online (MMO) games. See Dr. Winget, M. (2009) ‘Winget (Megan) Videogame Development Research Collection’, The University of Texas at Austin. Available at: [https://repositories.lib.utexas.edu/handle/2152/8465](https://repositories.lib.utexas.edu/handle/2152/8465)[accessed 24 October 2023].

**See also:**
- The British Film Institute's “Embracing a wider screen culture” strategy notes the cultural significance of video games and states that they intend to embark on sector research, engagement and knowledge exchange (including on the preservation of video games and digital media). See BFI (n.d.) ‘Embracing a wider screen culture’. Available at: [https://blog.bfi.org.uk/long-read/our-ambitions/embracing-a-wider-screen-culture/](https://blog.bfi.org.uk/long-read/our-ambitions/embracing-a-wider-screen-culture/) [accessed 24 October 2023].

### Commercial Software

Computer software that is produced for sale or that serves commercial purposes, including previous editions and versions of software that are not available or no longer in use. This entry broadly includes proprietary software, access through licences or subscription business models.
Examples
Wordstar, Novell Netware 386, SAP, Oracle, Adobe Photoshop; Microsoft products, such as the Windows Operating System and MS Office, are some of the most well-known examples of commercial software

‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions
Lack of established frameworks and tools; technology is poorly understood; no emulation pathway; reliance of proprietary software and/or subscription-based business models; limited or no commercial interest; complexities of sector-specific software or data types; lack of technical documentation; complex intellectual property rights; technical protection measures that inhibit preservation actions; encryption

‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice
Effective replication; access to source code; emulation pathway; strong technical documentation; preservation pathway; licensing that enables preservation; use of open formats and open source software; corporate preservation capability; awareness and advocacy work with commercial software providers

2023 Review
This is a new Bit List entry nominated and approved by the 2023 Council to draw attention to the particular challenges of content and software preservation for commercial software products. The entry focuses on the distinct risks relating to the availability and access to software and code, and lack of preservation interest or mandate, by companies that publish them, creating challenges to preserve digital content and software in source code form. Additionally, the nomination of the entry also highlighted that Software as a whole is not currently on the list (compared to higher level species like Apps). The Council agrees a new higher-level Software digital species group should be created to address this gap and challenges specific to software preservation, while also recognizing there are overlaps with other entries including (but not limited to) Apps, Gaming, Media Art and Research Outputs. While there are overlaps, the Council agreed it would be valuable to separate software to reflect differences in volume of access to software, significance and motivations for preserving commercial software in and across different sectors.

Additional Comments
A large part of this requires advocacy work, and call to action to raise awareness with commercial software providers to preserve their software.

Software preservation raises (often debated) questions about key characteristics for preservation. Wordstar, for example, may be of interest for not only access to the content it facilitates but also for the preservation of the software as mass produced, commercial product. You can also argue in more practical ways that for most files there is usually something about them that you need for the original software for or else the content will be different, and therefore preservation of software is critical regardless of the significance of the software as a product.

Software dependencies on the environment (hardware and software) enable it to run and its associated context and support and in this way some of the approaches can overlap with gaming, but software is not seen as a creative product in the same way. This nomination is more about commercial uses and industrial design objects where games are artworks for entertainment and social/cultural uses.

One might argue the contents of the Museum of Brands and Packaging is not unique and yet it seems unsafe to expect it to necessarily exist elsewhere. The low regard in which commercial software (and this is before we consider bespoke research software) is held - except as a means of accessing more interesting material - seems to suggest that we may in the future look in vain.
for someone with a copy of even quite widely available software, let alone, say, early UK antivirus products, world leading as they were.

See also:
- The Digital Archiving at the University of York blog series about preserving Wordstar files, which notes and demonstrates how a random copy of WordStar in the archive was able to be used to get a sense of the files in their original context. See Mitcham, J. (2018) ‘Some observations on digital appraisal’, Digital Archiving at the University of York. Available at: https://digital-archiving.blogspot.com/2018/07/some-observations-on-digital-appraisal_20.html [accessed 24 October 2023].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community-generated Content in Arts and Heritage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Digital materials produced and shared in and by ad-hoc community art and heritage projects, typically through digitization, where the creation of digital materials was a significant purpose of the initiative.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Community Archives</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Previously: Critically Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Imminence of Action | Significance of Loss | Effort to Preserve | Inevitability |
|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Action is recommended within twelve months, detailed assessment is a priority | The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world. | Loss seems likely: by the time tools or techniques have been developed the material will likely have been lost. |

**Examples**
Locally organized programmes associated with public remembrance and celebration such as World War One centennial commemorations; City of Culture; Olympic Games; World Cup

**‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions**
Poor documentation; lack of replication; lack of continuity funding; lack of residual mechanism; dependence on a small number of volunteers, lack of preservation mandate; lack of preservation thinking at the outset; failure of digital legacy planning; conflation of backup with preservation; conflation of access and preservation; inaccessible to web archiving; lack of knowledge or application of standards to ensure good quality preservation actions; lack of internet access; distrust of “official” archives;

**‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice**
Residual archive with residual funding able to receive and support collections; strict adherence to digitization guidelines; quality assurance; active user community; intellectual property managed to enable preservation.

**2023 Review**
This entry was added in 2019 as a subset of ‘Community Archives and Community-Generated Content’ which was split into two to provide greater specificity in recommendations. The 2020 Jury noted a trend towards greater risk based on how Community art and heritage groups, which often rely on volunteer effort, have been unable to meet for extended periods in 2020. Moreover,
the local galleries, museums and arts centres on which they depend have closed, in some cases for good. Considering this dislocation, digital materials generated by community groups in arts and heritage are likely to be at a greater risk than in 2019.

The 2021 Jury agreed with the Critically Endangered classification and 2020 trend towards greater risk. However, the risk had not increased to the extent where there was a notable 2021 trend. The Jury commented that risks have not increased as so much as the challenges have remained, specifically those of funding and sustainability. Community-generated materials are often produced and shared through funded projects and tell a similar story of loss through inaction, but the challenge is the same as before; sustainability with project-based funding. There should be greater Responsibility of funding bodies to ensure that digital preservation is built into any funded community preservation project plan and outcome. Digital content in this context is often seen as a by-product of engagement and is annoyingly left to disappear by organizations that do not have digital preservation experience or infrastructure. With good governance and sustainable digital repository support, this should not be an issue.

The 2023 Council agreed with the Critically Endangered classification but noted a higher significance of loss as well as a higher inevitability of loss, and from this a greater imminence of action to assess, prioritize, and develop tools and techniques for reducing future loss of materials.

Additional Comments
Local archives address these collections on an ad hoc basis. Loss seems likely due to the precarity of the funding streams, or lack thereof, for these projects. Once digitization has been carried out, many projects do not know what to do with them or have the means to make them accessible and a lack of understanding of copyright is a barrier to sharing as well. Funding paths that enable digitization may not include planning for long-term storage and access. This does often tend to be the case in local archives, where a higher imminence of action is critical to assess and address these issues before content is lost.

Communities who live in rural and remote areas may have a lack of access to services such as broadband connectivity, which is a well-reported issue and is often referred to as the “digital divide”. Inadequate internet connectivity would diminish the capacity for these communities to access digital preservation solutions, such as cloud storage for digital assets. This is especially prevalent with personal photos and videos on mobile phones as possession of a mobile phone does not necessarily mean the user has adequate internet connectivity to be able to upload videos to web-based platforms.

There may also be a distrust of “official” archives and government agencies due to the need for culturally appropriate handling of restricted/sensitive content. If the photographs, videos or audio depict culturally sensitive elements (e.g., sacred sites, ceremonies or secret Dreaming stories), the communities may want to uphold strict practices of restrictions. There are instances where “official” archives have changed their workflows and processes to accommodate the cultural wishes of particular First Nation communities, especially for secret/sacred content but these practices are not yet common-place. A detailed look into the preservation issues of secret/sacred content can be found in the New First Nations Secret/Sacred Cultural Material entry.

Case Studies or Examples:
- The PARADISEC Pacific and Regional Archive for Digital Sources in Endangered Cultures project to digitize analog records of materials from endangered cultures from all over the world. This includes audio recordings and video recordings of performance, narrative, singing, and other oral tradition, amounting to over 207 terabytes and representing 1,370 languages, mainly from the Pacific region. See PARADISEC (n.d.), ‘Pacific and Regional
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- Archive for Digital Sources in Endangered Cultures’. Available at: https://www.paradisec.org.au/ [accessed 24 October 2023].
- The Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Data Archive (ATSIDA) is a specialized trusted research data management facility for Australian Indigenous research data and is managed by the University of Technology Sydney (UTS) Library. ATSIDA is a thematic archive within the Australia Data Archive (ADA) with its datasets stored securely at the Australian National University’s National Computational Infrastructure (NCI). See ATSDISA (n.d.). Available at: https://www.atsida.edu.au/ [accessed 24 October 2023].

See also:
- Sentance, N. and University of Sydney Library (2021) ‘Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Cultural Protocols’, University of Sydney Library. Available at: https://doi.org/10.25910/hrdq-9n85
- Digital inequality is recognized as one of the targets in the National Agreement on Closing the Gap, the objective of which is to “enable Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people and governments to work together to overcome the inequality experienced by Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people, and achieve life outcomes equal to all Australians.”. Target 17 states that “By 2026, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people have equal levels of digital inclusion”. See Closing the Gap (n.d.), ‘Closing the Gap Targets and Outcomes’. Available at: https://www.closingthegap.gov.au/national-agreement/targets [accessed 24 October 2023].

Console Games

Console games include all games that were designed to be played on video game consoles, both home consoles and handheld consoles. The three most common consoles manufacturers at this time are Nintendo (Switch), Sony (PlayStation) and Microsoft (Xbox).

Digital Species: Gaming | New Entry | Consensus Decision
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imminence of Action</th>
<th>Significance and Impact</th>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment in one year.</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on a large group of people and sectors.</td>
<td>It would require a major effort to prevent or reduce losses in this group, including the development of new preservation tools or techniques.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Examples**
Consoles: SEGA Dreamcast, XBOX 360, Nintendo Switch, PlayStation 5, PS Vita, NES

**‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions**
Complex hardware dependencies or bespoke hardware; planned obsolescence; dependency on remote servers that are closed; complex intellectual property rights; use of older magnetic media; free distribution on magazines; loss of underlying code or gaming engine; limited or no commercial interest

**‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice**
IPR supportive of preservation; strong documentation; source code; emulation pathway; trusted designated repository or community taking preservation responsibility and capable to deliver

**2023 Review**
The 2023 Council created two new entries, Console Games and PC Games, to complement the already existing entry of Smartphone Gaming. This was done to highlight the unique preservation issues that exist for each of these categories, such as Console Games being more reliant on peripherals.

**Additional Comments**
Within the past few years, a number of digital console store fronts have shut down, including the PS3, PSP and PS Vita web and mobile stores as well as the Nintendo 3DS and Wii U eShop which was shut down this year. Alongside this, online services for console games are often shut down on older consoles, such as Nintendo announcing a discontinuation of online services for Nintendo 3DS and Wii U Software in early April 2024.

Every company that releases a console will also release at least one peripheral for that system (the controller), with most releasing a number of extra peripherals, some only suited to one or two games. There are also a number of third-party peripherals available as well. These peripherals provide an added layer of complexity to the preservation of console games.

Security software company Denuvo recently announced that its protection tools are now available to Switch developers which would block unauthorized emulations of those games on PC. Denuvo is a DRM solution that is unpopular with large swaths of the gaming community due to needing a periodic online connection and, given that this protection tool is designed to stop unauthorized PC emulations, there is a risk to ad-hoc and community preservation projects that use emulation to preserve games.

**Case Studies or Examples:**
- Playstation (n.d.) ‘Discontinued PlayStation apps, features and services’. Available at: https://www.playstation.com/en-gb/support/important-notice/ [accessed 24 October 2023]
- Nintendo (2022) ‘Notice of End of Purchases in Nintendo eShop for Wii U and Nintendo 3DS’. Available at: https://www.nintendo.co.uk/Support/Purchasing/Download-


See also:
- The Videogame Heritage Society, led by the National Videogame Museum, founded in 2022 to bring together organizations and collectors working with videogames. It provides advocacy, expertise, and support in collecting, preserving and displaying video games. See National Video Museum (2020) ‘Videogame Heritage Society’. Available at: https://thenvm.org/about/vhs/ [accessed 24 October 2023]

- The Video Game History Foundation is a 501(c)3 non-profit dedicated to preserving and teaching the history of video games. See Video Game History Foundation (n.d.), ‘Mission’. Available at: https://gamehistory.org/our-mission/ [accessed 24 October 2023]


- The British Film Institute’s “Embracing a wider screen culture” strategy notes the cultural significance of video games and states that they intend to embark on sector research, engagement and knowledge exchange (including on the preservation of video games and digital media). See BFI (n.d.) ‘Embracing a wider screen culture’. Available at: https://blog.bfi.org.uk/long-read/our-ambitions/embracing-a-wider-screen-culture/ [accessed 24 October 2023].
Towards even greater risk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imminence of Action</th>
<th>Significance of Loss</th>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immediate action necessary. Where detected should be stabilized and reported as a matter of urgency.</td>
<td>The loss of tools or services within this group would have a global impact.</td>
<td>Loss seems likely: by the time tools or techniques have been developed the material will likely have been lost.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Examples

Instagram, Facebook, X (previously Twitter), Pinterest, Yahoo Groups, Parler, Truth Social, Reddit, Mumsnet

‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions

Lack of preservation capacity in provider; Lack of preservation commitment or incentive from provider; proprietary products or formats; poor data protection; inaccessibility to web archiving; political or commercial interference; Lack of offline equivalent; super-abundance; poorly managed IPR; Lossy compression in upload scripts.

‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice

Offline backup and documentation of media assets; Migration plan; Early warning from vendors; Roadmap from vendors; Accessible to web harvest; Suitable export functionality; Licencing enables preservation; Preservation commitment from vendor; Preservation capability in vendor; Resilient to hacking; Selection criteria

2023 Review

This entry was added by the 2019 Jury as a subset of a broader social media entry first introduced in 2017. It was created as a standalone entry to draw attention to the different threats faced by online services that are paid for versus ‘free at the point of use’ (both depend on the business model of the vendor and the terms which they impose). The 2021 Jury raised the risk classification from Endangered to Critically Endangered based on concerns arising with trends towards harmful and malicious hate speech as well as misinformation and deliberate deletion. The 2022 Taskforce agreed on a trend towards even greater risk based on the continued, significant trend towards hate speech, misinformation and disinformation, and deliberate deletion in light of ongoing global conflicts that include (but are not limited to) social and economic inequalities and climate change. In particular, they mentioned the sale of Twitter prompting a moment of instability in consumer social media, with the scale of Twitter, evident acrimony between parties prior to the sale and the hostile news coverage afterward, elevating the risks associated with social media. They also brought to attention issues surrounding platforms enabling extreme views not permitted on mainstream platforms, which emerged and proliferated noticeably and, from a preservation standpoint, could be argued are potentially at very high risk, and historically significant.

Based on the assessment of the rescoped entry, the 2023 Council agreed on the Critically Endangered classification and noted an increase in imminence of action required as well as the effort to preserve. The need for major efforts to prevent or reduce losses continues, but it is now much more likely that loss of material has already occurred, and will continue to do so, by the time tools or techniques have developed. There is a greater urgency to prioritize the assessment of these materials and develop tools or techniques to prevent or reduce further losses in this group.

The 2023 Council recommends further rescoping and adjusting of this and other social media entries in light of how web-based and cloud-based business products and services have developed in recent years. This included:

- Clarifying the scope. This entry broadly refers to the preservation of content and interfaces of social media platforms, with these platforms designed to facilitate the creation and sharing of media through interactive social networks. These services,
particularly those provided by largely unregulated (or underregulated) platforms, pose critical risks for not only capturing and preserving the content hosted on the social media platform but also the interfaces of the platforms themselves.

- Similarly, the entry specifically refers to risks for digital materials created, shared, and hosted via social media services offered ‘free at the point of use,’ in which the business model and sustainability can only be guessed, and contracts tend to be asymmetrical in favour of the supplier. Moreover, because these services have a low barrier to entry, they may be favoured by agencies or individuals least able to respond to closure or loss.
- As part of this rescoping, relevant information concerning cloud-based aspects were incorporated into the ‘Cloud-based Services and Communications Platforms’ entry to more clearly differentiate the risks associated with cloud hosting and computing technologies, allowing this entry on consumer social media free at the point of use to focus on challenges, notably those relating to harvesting and managing content and interfaces of web-based social networking platforms.

### Additional Comments

The 2023 Bit List Council additionally recommends that the next major review for the Bit List includes:

- A restructure and splitting of the entry to create separate entries for ‘digital content hosted on social media platforms’ and for ‘interfaces of social media platforms’, where each can be teased out to provide greater clarity about specific risks, aggravating factors and recommended actions. This should include expanding on API access to data, providing examples of legacy content already lost, and pointing to examples where risk is especially high (e.g., things that are still up but alarmingly fragile!)
- A consideration of merging the ‘Data Posted to Defunct or Little-used social media platforms’ entry with this entry, to incorporate examples of loss in the presence of aggravating conditions.
- A consideration of merging the ‘Born Digital Photos and Video Shared on Social Media’ entry with this entry, to provide examples of particular types of digital content hosted on social media platforms that are lost or at risk. This is mostly due to the fact that so many of the ‘regular’ social media platforms have tended toward more ways to mimic or copy TikTok style videos, and making the distinction will become harder in the future since they all have similar functionality and ways to create photo/video content.
- A consideration of merging the ‘Legacy Interfaces and Services’ entry with this entry, to provide examples of particular interfaces of social media platforms that are lost or at risk.

Social media free-at-the-point-of-use remains at a critical risk due in large part to the policies of unregulated (or underregulated) corporate platforms such as Facebook, X (previously Twitter), and their parent companies. The content shared on these platforms and the history of the development of platform infrastructure and policy itself provide a critical source of information for policy-makers and researchers. The complete lack of preservation provision and deliberate obstruction of archiving attempts for public interest puts this valuable content at high risk of loss and draws attention to the critical risk posed by these examples of platforms.

Content hosted on social media platforms (that users might not have stored elsewhere) is at risk and users may lose the opportunity to keep their own data for personal archiving or to donate to an organization. Collecting organizations may lose the opportunity to archive hosted content within their collecting remit using web or API harvesting tools. In both instances, data remains at high risk because it is hosted by companies that could change policies or access on a whim. Also, the inability to archive even free content unless you have a login as an archivist (like with
Digital Preservation Coalition

There are interfaces of social media platforms that researchers may want to see to study the evolution of the platforms over time (through web harvesting typically) are at risk. Preservation is affected by researcher API access being shut down, halting preservation of entire platforms. There are also differences between themes/collection policies of institutions and researchers who are scraping their own data and depositing in repositories.

Preserving this stuff en masse is still incredibly difficult, but many of these platforms allow the downloading of their own personal content/archives. However, these lose all the context of social media and therefore, whilst they do preserve the data, they do not preserve the essence of the material. Platforms like X (previously Twitter) have both opened and closed their API further in recent years, but others like Yahoo have closed, and Facebook as well as X (formerly Twitter) continues to be almost hostile towards archiving and preservation attempts.

With digital materials from premium or institutional social media services, the business model and sustainability are more obvious, and contracts may be enforceable more readily. Moreover, because these services have a slightly higher barrier to entry, they may be favoured by agencies better able to respond to closure or loss. Traditional web archiving can be employed where the user pays for a service, but the content is ultimately publicly available (such as Flickr). But much is unclear about how to preserve internal social media / closed networks that web archiving cannot get to or existing tools do not cover.

Social media capture via web harvesting has become increasingly difficult. Social media platforms have done nothing to address the barriers to automated capture that prevent the preservation of even so-called public content. For example, campaign websites or other election-related content that is only published on Facebook or on X (previously Twitter) because these services are ‘free.’ This content is of particular concern as it appears on no other website. Web archivists are constantly shifting strategies and approaches and trying out new (but limited) tools to best capture this content. If we cannot successfully preserve these platforms, we are missing out on documenting organizations, campaigns and elections around the globe. Much of this data exists as data sets based on aggregated use rather than individual files.

Often these are external proprietary platforms bound by intellectual property law and potentially privacy law which will impede the imminence of action. What recourse do archives or digital repositories have to deal with this and capture the materials?

Case Studies or Examples:

- The archiving of the ‘In Her Shoes’ collection, part of the Archiving Reproductive Health (ARD) project. Working with key stakeholders, including activist organisations like Abortion Rights Campaign, Together for Yes, Terminations for Medical Reasons, Coalition to Repeal the Eighth, and many others, ARH gathered and preserved a selection of digital objects and research data, including social media, that tells part of the story of this
ARH published collections of design and publicity material from activist groups, as well as a sequence of stories from the popular Facebook page ‘In Her Shoes’, a page where people anonymously shared stories of their experiences of being unable to access abortion in Ireland. This initiative received a 2022 Digital Preservation Award for Safeguarding the Digital Legacy. See Archiving Reproductive Health Project (2022), ‘Archiving Reproductive Health’, Digital Preservation Awards 2022. Available at: https://www.dpconline.org/events/digital-preservation-awards/dpa2022-archiving-reproductive-health [accessed 24 October 2023].

- An example of a tool available to help libraries and archives with capture is Archive Social. See CIVICPLUS (n.d.) ‘ArchiveSocial’. Available at: https://archivesocial.com/ [accessed 24 October 2023].


- A 2022 report on a nationwide questionnaire survey conducted to obtain the responses of people to hypothetical scenarios of social media archiving by the National Diet Library in Japan, noting legal and ethical concerns as well as respondent views on the preserving of private data publicly available on social media. See Shiozaki, R. (2022) ‘People’s perceptions on social media archiving by the National Library of Japan’. Journal of Information Science. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1177/01655515221108692

Correspondence and Records of Research

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Research Outputs</th>
<th>Trend in 2022: No change</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>Trend in 2023: No change</td>
<td>Previously: Critically Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imminence of Action</th>
<th>Significance of Loss</th>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment in one year.</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on many people and sectors.</td>
<td>Loss seems likely: by the time tools or techniques have been developed the material will likely have been lost.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Examples

Email boxes of senior academics; social media posts; personal spaces on institutional networks

‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions

- Originating researcher no longer active or changed research focus; staff on temporary contracts; dependence on single student or staff member; weak or fluid institutional commitment to subject matter; weak institutional commitment to data sharing; complicated or contested intellectual property; encryption
‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice
Recognition of value of correspondence; integration with CRIS; routine use of EDRMS; documented and managed professionally; separation of personal and corporate identities

2023 Review
This entry was introduced in 2017 under ‘Research Data,’ though without specific reference to the correspondence and records of research. In 2019, the Jury split this entry into a range of contexts for research outputs. There was a 2020 trend towards greater risk based on education and research institutions facing budget uncertainties, and a number of institutions have introduced early severance schemes or put staff on short term contracts at greater risk of redundancy and, while this puts other types of research output at risk, the personal nature of correspondence means that the risks are intensified, and so this item faces greater risks than identified in 2019. However, the 2021 Jury agreed there had been no significant change in the trend over the preceding year indicating a trend towards increased or reduced risk.

The 2023 Council agreed with the Critically Endangered risk classification.

Additional Comments
In an ideal circumstance, correspondence should be stored in EDRMS systems separately from research data and subject to different retention schedules, i.e., 10-20 years. There may be challenges connecting the EDRMs holdings to the research data and vice versa.

Advocacy and research re the scale of the problem may be required to encourage academics to use EDRMs, for example, correspondence and integration with CRIS. Simplified tools and workflows to move data from CRIS to Repository to Preservation systems.

There are significant cultural issues to preserving these materials. Researchers may be unlikely to see the value in correspondence and other documents, these may be seen as ephemeral. There is also a risk to the preservation of correspondence through channels outside of the university email that may be harder to capture and preserve, such as Teams or WhatsApp. There should also be an encouragement to researchers to keep only what is needed and only for so long as the retention period requires. More often than not, records of correspondence will not require long-term preservation.

Research project management records may have funding agency retention periods varying from 3 years to 10 years after the completion of the project for standard projects, to 20 years for more innovative projects, stretching to permanent retention to first-of-a-kind research. Advocating researchers to identify which group their records belong to, let alone get them to transfer different types of correspondence to a platform which has retention and preservation capability as part of it, is a massive task.

Data Posted to Defunct or Little-used Social Media Platforms
Older or less widely used social media platforms to which content has been uploaded but for which no guarantees have been made about the long term.

Digital Species: Social Media Trend in 2022: Critically Endangered
Consensus Decision
The Global List of Endangered Digital Species: The Bit List 2023

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Added to List: 2019</th>
<th>Trend in 2023:</th>
<th>Previously: Critically Endangered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No change</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imminence of Action</th>
<th>Significance of Loss</th>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immediate action necessary. Where detected should be stabilized and reported as a matter of urgency.</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on many people and sectors.</td>
<td>Loss seems inevitable: loss has already occurred or is expected to occur before tools or techniques develop.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Examples**
BeBo, MySpace, Google Buzz and others

- **‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions**
  Closure of platform; lack of offline equivalent; lack of export functionality; no preservation undertaking from service provider; unstable business plan from service provider.

- **‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice**
  Offline Replication; clear notice periods and alerts; committed ongoing maintenance of service

**2023 Review**
The 2019 Jury revived this entry from initial submission in 2017 that they were not able to assess at the time, added to the Bit List following the 2019 assessment to emphasize the different threats faced when attempting to preserve materials on older or defunct social media, emphasizing the different threats faced by social media users who uploaded content to defunct or little-used social media platforms. Because these services are older, the need to act is more urgent than for others. Often, the significance is only brought to attention once they are lost. The 2021 Jury noted a trend towards greater risk due to the existing risks of defunct or little-used platforms with recognition of the need to develop tools or techniques for applying to others that may follow the same path. The 2022 Taskforce agreed these risks remain on the same basis as before (‘no change’ to trend).

The 2023 Council agreed with the Critically Endangered classification and noted an increase in imminence and effort to preserve, recognizing that while the need for major efforts to prevent or reduce losses continues on the same basis as before, it is now much more likely that loss of material has already occurred, and will continue to do so, by the time tools or techniques have been developed. Therefore, immediate action is necessary.

**Additional Comments**
The 2023 Bit List Council additionally recommends that the next major review for the Bit List includes a consideration of merging this entry with the ‘Consumer Social Media Free at the Point of Use’ entry to provide examples of loss prompted by aggravating conditions.

The risk to this content depends on the specific service or platform, but older platforms (BeBo, MySpace) pose a higher risk of loss than current platforms (and is likely already lost) but social media wasn’t used to the same extent (and not as widely used by government, corporations, research institutions, etc.) in the early 2000s/2010s when these platforms were popular, which reduces the impact slightly.

When looking at the digital preservation landscape and where we need to apply effort as well as resources, defunct early social media spaces are not high on the list; but, when considering how contemporary social media channels could become defunct, it becomes a different conversation because of how intrinsically tied they are to political discourse and influencing political opinion.

It is to be hoped that some of these have been archived via traditional web archiving, and so the remnants of these sites can be found in bits and pieces in various web archives, but it may be too late to save some of the content that is likely already lost. If some of this is still available, there...
may be hope in trying to preserve, but it may be difficult if the platforms are not willing to share data or work with preservationists. ArchiveTeam has stepped in here too. There is undoubtedly a story here that could be used as a call for arms to raise awareness about the preservation of current social media platforms too

**Case Studies or Examples:**


### Digital Archives of Community Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Community Archives</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>Trend in 2023:</td>
<td>Previously: Critically Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Imminence of Action**

Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.

**Significance of Loss**

The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.

**Effort to Preserve | Inevitability**

It would require a major effort to prevent or reduce losses in this group, possibly requiring the development of new preservation tools or techniques.

**Examples**

Archives of smaller and ad-hoc political and campaigning organizations; environmental protests; sports clubs; smaller religious groups; amateur music or drama; fan groups

**‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions**

Poor documentation; lack of replication; lack of continuity funding; lack of residual mechanism; dependence on small number of volunteers, lack of preservation mandate; lack of preservation thinking at the outset; conflation of backup with preservation; conflation of access and preservation; inaccessible to web archiving; dependence on social media providers; distrust of ‘official’ agencies.

**‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice**

Residual archive with residual funding able to receive and support collections; active user community; intellectual property managed to enable preservation.

**2023 Review**

The Jury created this entry in 2019 as a subset of ‘Community Archives and Community-Generated Content’ which was split into two to provide greater specificity in recommendations for approaching the preservation of created as a by-product of small scale or ad-hoc community
action groups (versus digital materials generated for significant purpose of a community initiative).

There was a 2020 trend towards greater risk based on community groups such as sports clubs, religious communities, arts and political groups, often relying on volunteer effort, being unable to meet for extended periods in 2020. Moreover, the local community centres, clubs or places of worship on which they depend have closed, in some cases for good.

This trend continued for 2021; the Jury commented that much of the content in community archives has easily preservable content just the resources are not directed towards them, basic digital preservation practices are not well embedded amongst the general population, and selective approaches are needed to get a handle on the situation and to find the resources to do the work.

The 2023 Council agreed with the classification of Critically Endangered and discussed an increase in the significance of loss due to the fact that community heritage tends to be part of wider conversations within the international landscape.

Additional Comments
The 2023 Council additionally noted that the entry currently contains a broad spectrum of very diverse types of materials each with different preservation considerations. For this reason, they recommend that the next major review for the Bit List includes a rescoping or splitting of this entry to allow for a deeper discussion of the preservation issues that exist within this spectrum.

Typically born digital material is more at risk - community groups may not know about the risk of loss. Many are unaware of digital preservation terminology. It is the ad-hoc nature of these groups and projects which is of great concern.

There is a significant need to raise awareness and provide a ‘home’ but also to do so with sufficient sensitivity so as to ensure community groups remain in control of their own material.

Communities who live in rural and remote areas may have a lack of access to services such as broadband connectivity, which is a well-reported issue and is often referred to as the “digital divide”. Inadequate internet connectivity would diminish the capacity for these communities to access digital preservation solutions, such as cloud storage for digital assets. This is especially prevalent with personal photos and videos on mobile phones as possession of a mobile phone does not necessarily mean the user has adequate internet connectivity to be able to upload videos to web-based platforms.

AI could potentially be used to assist with easy access to simple, succinct explanations and principles of digital preservation and archiving solutions which would give these communities a wider understanding of the work being done and empower them to be able to do minimum digital preservation themselves.

See also:
- Digital inequality is recognized as one of the targets in the National Agreement on Closing the Gap, the objective of which is to “enable Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people and governments to work together to overcome the inequality experienced by Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people, and achieve life outcomes equal to all Australians.” Target 17 states that “By 2026, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people have equal levels of digital inclusion”. See Closing the Gap (n.d.), ‘Closing the Gap Targets and


### Digital Archives of Music Production

Digital materials created by musicians and fans as a by-product of performance or recording, not otherwise published or shared. The use of ‘archives’ in this context refers to music production data that is in an archive.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Sound and Vision</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>→ No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Imminence of Action**

Action is recommended within twelve months, detailed assessment is a priority.

**Significance of Loss**

The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.

**Effort to Preserve | Inevitability**

It would require a major effort to prevent losses in this group, such as the development of new preservation tools or techniques.

**Examples**

Pre-production notes; demo recordings; photography; correspondence.

**‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions**

- Fragile or obsolete media for offline content; service provider preservation capability for online content; dependence on proprietary formats or products; lack or loss of documentation; uncertainty over intellectual property rights; lack of version control; lack of policy or mandate

**‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice**

- Replication; clarity of intellectual property rights; preservation agency involved and capable of looking after content

**2023 Review**

In 2019, this entry was created as a subset of a previous 2017 entry, ‘Digital Music Production and Sharing,’ which was split into to draw attention to the different challenges faced by the different forms. Although it overlaps with other entries, including ‘Pre-production TV and Movie materials,’ it is a separate entry to emphasize the value of the archival materials relating to the recording process over and above the recordings themselves.
The 2021 Jury agreed with the entry’s assigned risk classification with no noted changes towards increased or reduced risk. The 2022 Taskforce noted no change to the trend (they agreed these risks remain on the same basis as before).

The 2023 Council agreed with the Critically Endangered with the overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend), though they noted that action needed to be taken more imminently.

**Additional Comments**

For the imminence of action, it will all depend on the format of the records. Correspondence or photographs may be left for longer, but recordings will need closer attention, especially if it is a bespoke recording format.

This may be less of a digital preservation challenge and more of an archive or collecting challenge. This type of material in the past, like most 'unpublished' archives, has survived through luck and is largely out of a GLAM or institution’s control relying on individuals to assess and evaluate if what they have is of significance. When these types of things come to an institution, based on significance on a case-by-case basis, are these digital objects then considered ‘worth’ the effort to
a) bring into the collection and b) care and preserve them? So yes, while Critically Endangered, these types of collections are enormous and quite often not things one would want to keep for the long term; however, sometimes there is the odd gem.

There is the recognized inevitable loss of existing data but reducing this loss would require major effort to fix in terms of identifying organizations who are preserving this content, and it is not clear that this is being done already.

### Digital Archives from Public Enquiries and Commissions

Data from public enquiries and reconciliation commissions which can be traumatic, politically uncomfortable and contested, typically comes in many different forms and formats. Data protection issues and cultural sensitivities only amplify the challenge to preservations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Political Data, Legal Data</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2017</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Previously: Critically Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imminence of Action</th>
<th>Significance of Loss</th>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended within twelve months, detailed assessment is a priority</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.</td>
<td>Loss seems likely: by the time tools or techniques have been developed the material will likely have been lost.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Examples**

The Tunisian Truth and Dignity Commission to investigate human rights violations committed prior to 2012; enquiries into historical child abuse; Bloody Sunday Enquiry (Saville Inquiry); East Timor Tribunal.

‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions
Risk of falsification; fragile or obsolete media; dependence on proprietary formats or products; lack or loss of documentation; inaccessible to web harvesting technologies; lack of version control; lack of integrity checks or integrity records; poor chain of custody; inability to identify an archival authority; loss of personal testimonies

‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice
Strong sense of archival responsibility; carefully constructed rules around information privacy that retain robust and appropriate preservation capabilities; clear legislation on retention and permanency, an appraisal of perceived value with resources to undertake preservation actions

2023 Review
In 2019, this entry became a subset of an entry introduced in 2017 for ‘Digital Legal Records and Evidence,’ which was split into four more discrete entries. This category includes evidence from public enquiries and commissions that have been presented in court. It recognizes that courts are not limited in the types of evidence that they can admit but that they have a responsibility to provide robust preservation that ensures the authenticity of their records and evidence. The 2021 Jury noted that there is considerable evidence of good practice emerging from some of the examples where clear archival responsibility has been the key to progress but not to the extent of changing the risk profile or 2021 trend for the entry.

The 2023 Council agreed with the Critically Endangered classification with overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend), while also noting that personal testimonies are particularly vulnerable, thus highlighting the importance of independent archives and advocacy for preserving these records.

Additional Comments
National or state recordkeeping regimes and legislation are often clear on the retention or permanency of these types of records. A major issue, however, is embargoes. When an embargo is lifted, will the file format or database continue to work, or will it longer work, making the data useless?

Case files and correspondence are one thing. Retention of these should be clear but may differ widely between jurisdictions and levels of government. If retention is not long-term or permanent, the risk of loss may not be so critical. Retention of ‘unused’ or ‘potential’ evidence is likely a different matter altogether. It may not even be considered a record, and certainly is not a record of the court. Should it be returned to the suspect or accused? Are their rights being considered here - not just in terms of preservation, but also simply disposition? There are legal and ethical issues around this that need to be fleshed out in conjunction with assessing its preservation risk.

Personal testimonies provided to commissions of inquiry but are not used as part of its legal investigations are in a particularly vulnerable state. The recent Mother and Baby Homes commission in Ireland collected a number of personal testimonies from survivors, but these were not used to inform the commission’s final report as they were not presented to the commission’s legal hearing iteration. After the commission’s report, it announced that it intended to destroy these testimonies, and refused to allow survivors to access transcripts or recordings of their own testimony. A public outcry halted this planned destruction, but access to their testimonies is still difficult for survivors. Independent archives can provide a crucial role in cases such as these; whether state commissions would be willing to work with independent bodies in these cases remains to be seen.

Case Studies or Examples:
- The Hillsborough disaster and inquiry as a case example of issues arising when inquiry records get split up. See Hillsborough Independent Panel (2012), ‘The report of the
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Digital Evidence and Records of Investigation Prior to Court

Digital materials assessed by police and other authorities in the course of investigation and retained as evidence of due process such as case files and correspondence, including materials not submitted to court

| Digital Species: Legal Data | Trend in 2022: | Consensus Decision
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>→ No change</td>
<td>Previously: Critically Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Added to List: 2019</th>
<th>Trend in 2023:</th>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>→ No change</td>
<td>It would require a major effort to prevent losses in this group, such as the development of new preservation tools or techniques.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imminence of Action</th>
<th>Significance of Loss</th>
<th>Action is recommended within twelve months, detailed assessment is a priority.</th>
<th>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended within twelve months, detailed assessment is a priority.</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.</td>
<td>Effort to Preserve</td>
<td>Inevitability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>It would require a major effort to prevent losses in this group, such as the development of new preservation tools or techniques.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>It would require a major effort to prevent losses in this group, such as the development of new preservation tools or techniques.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Examples
CCTV; Email; 3d scanning; social media interactions; police records; court records; text messages.

‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions
Poor chain of custody; fragile or obsolete media; dependence on proprietary formats or products; lack or loss of documentation; inaccessible to web harvesting technologies; lack of version control; lack of integrity checks or integrity records; poor chain of custody.

‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice
Meticulous transfer and disclosure processes

2023 Review
This entry was added in 2019 as an entry made in 2017 for ‘Digital Legal Records and Evidence,’ which the Jury split into four more discrete entries. This category includes evidence prior to court that may form part of an investigation or gathering of evidence but which are not formally submitted as evidence. It recognizes that police and other investigating authorities are not limited in the types of evidence that they need to administer, but that this creates an almost unbounded
limit of preservation requirements to ensure authenticity and admissibility. A 2021 risk was identified based on examples bringing to question whether legal bodies have the skills and capabilities to preserve these materials should they need them if a case is reopened etc. The 2022 Taskforce found no significant trend towards greater or reduced risk.

The 2023 Council agreed with the Critically Endangered classification with the overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend).

Additional Comments
In the International organizations realm, more and more of these investigative missions are being set up. They are collecting huge volumes of data and the same issues around chain of custody, integrity records/checks continue to be aggravating especially with respect to authenticity and admissibility. Given the potentially huge volumes of data, and the drive to keep costs low, it is debatable whether there will be sustained funding for preservation.

Case files and correspondence are one thing: retention of these should be clear but may differ widely between jurisdictions and levels of government. If retention is not long-term or permanent, the risk of loss may not be so critical. Retention of 'unused' or 'potential' evidence is likely a different matter altogether. Is it even a record? Certainly, it is not a record of the court. Should it be returned to the suspect or accused? Are their rights being considered here - not just in terms of preservation, but also simply disposition? There may be legal and ethical issues around this that need to be fleshed out in conjunction with assessing its preservation risk.

I was talking about forensic techniques with some law enforcement types a while back. Police forces tend only to have the resources to maintain forensic capability with relatively recent technology - for older technology, institutions and specialist companies are the only sources of expertise. This has an impact on cold cases.

There have been many examples of convictions being overturned when previously unused evidence was brought to light. Therefore the retention and preservation of unused evidence can have immense value.

### Evidence in Court
Digital materials presented in court as evidence or documents such as rulings and proceedings generated through legal proceedings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Legal Data</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Added to List: 2017</th>
<th>Trend in 2023:</th>
<th>Previsouly: Critically Endangered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imminence of Action</th>
<th>Significance of Loss</th>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.</td>
<td>It would require a major effort to address losses in this group, possibly requiring the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examples</td>
<td>development of new preservation tools or techniques.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence submitted to courts of all kinds, including text messages, photography, CCTV, email, 3d and 2d scanning, scientific reports and analyses, documents and websites;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of context; loss of integrity; external dependencies; poor storage; lack of understanding; churn of staff; significant or diversity of data; poorly developed specifications; ill-informed records management; poorly developed transfer protocols; poorly developed migration or normalization; longstanding protocols or procedures that apply unsuitable paper processes to digital materials.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well managed data infrastructure; preservation enabled at ingest; carefully managed authenticity; use of persistent identifiers; finding aids; well managed records management processes; recognition of preservation requirements at highest levels; strategic investment in digital preservation; preservation roadmap; participation in digital preservation community.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**2023 Review**

This entry is a subset of an entry made in 2019 titled ‘Proceedings and Evidence in Court,’ which was itself created as a subset of entry in 2017 for ‘Digital Legal Records and Evidence,’ The 2021 Jury split ‘Proceedings and Evidence in Court’ into two more discrete entries to highlight their distinct preservation challenges and risk profiles. This entry includes evidence that has been presented as evidence in court. It was given a Critically Endangered classification to highlight its higher risk profile and additionally emphasize that courts are not limited in the types of evidence that they can admit but that they have a responsibility to provide robust preservation that ensures the authenticity of their records and evidence. The 2022 Taskforce found no significant trend towards greater or reduced risk.

The 2023 Council agreed with the Critically Endangered classification with the overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend). They emphasized the importance that organizations with these materials should have identified preservation actions established in their workplan—for digital evidence of investigation prior to court—to put into practice within the next three years.

**Additional Comments**

Temporary courts are continuing to gradually close and decisions about preservation and management of their archives are being made hurriedly and at the last minute. Some of the decisions are placing materials at high risk due to; materials being split all over the place - including to entities with no capacity or capability to preserve them, a seeming lack of understanding that preservation and management of the archives has no completion date, an unwillingness to invest in preservation or a drive to keep costs low which is resulting in negative implications for preservation, hurried choices on preservation measures which are not allowing for proper testing of approaches to safeguard authenticity and legal admissibility (e.g. extracting digital data from complex systems in formats that can then potentially not be restored).

Standard Records Management processes within designated agencies should be able to take care of the preservation of materials like this but given that it is likely to involve complex types of data, such agencies may not be equipped to deliver preservation effectively. It is surprising that courts are not more prominent in the digital preservation community, where solutions now exist.

**Case Studies or Examples:**

- For example, the Special Tribunal of Lebanon 14th Annual Report (2022-2023) touches on the above comments concerning the planning and approaches developed and agreed between the United Nations and the Government of Lebanon to guide the Special

More concrete examples would be welcome. It is the evidentiary value of submissions to court that may be lost, and therefore veracity of the decision could be questioned. Evidence submitted in digital form is of greater risk (e.g., a video file submitted on a CD in the 90s) than records of the proceedings themselves (e.g., transcripts).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exhibition Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Born-digital or hybrid-digital content that was created and/or commissioned for exhibitions and has not been accessioned into the collection. This species is considered as an object or a ‘work’ in its own right, and not interpretive materials.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Museum and Gallery, Media Art</th>
<th>New Rescoped Entry</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imminence of Action</td>
<td>Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance of Loss</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on many people and sectors.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effort to Preserve</td>
<td>Loss seems likely: by the time tools or techniques have been developed the material will likely have been lost.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loss seems likely: by the time tools or techniques have been developed the material will likely have been lost.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Digital experiences, immersive works, digital artworks, hybrid-digital artworks, media art, and other born-digital or hybrid-digital objects or materials which can take many forms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lack of technical documentation; complex interdependencies related to specific hardware, software and/or operating systems; significant volumes or diversity of data; dependence on proprietary products or formats; lack of preservation capacity in museum or gallery; technology is seen as inherently fragile and therefore risky to collect and preserve; conflation of access with preservation; lifespan of hardware technologies used in legacy works with few/no replacements and/or alternatives</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strong technical documentation; preservation capability; preservation pathway; clarity of intellectual property rights that enable preservation; availability of replacement or alternative hardware technologies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2023 Review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This entry was added in 2019 under ‘Digital Materials in Museums and Galleries’ and previously rescoped in 2021 to ‘Supporting Digital Materials for Museums and Galleries’.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 2023 Bit List Council superseded the entry, splitting it into six discrete entries as the scope of the single entry was too broad to provide the guidance needed. The recommendation to break this entry down was also made by the 2021 Jury, as the types of digital collections content in museums can be vast and offer particular risks in museum and gallery contexts. This entry draws
attention to risks preserving born-digital or hybrid-digital materials, which can take many forms and may be complex digital objects. These works may be commissioned from an external third-party company, or created by staff internal to an organization, often with a considerable amount of financial investment involved. What is particularly critical is that these materials have not been accessioned into an organization’s collection. These objects may inhabit exhibition spaces for many years, and while they may be a key component of an organization’s business, have not been (and may not be) ever accessioned into the collection.

**Additional Comments**

**Case Studies or Examples:**
- The Preserving Immersive Media Knowledge Base is a resource created to help share information between members of the digital preservation community who are caring for virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), mixed reality (MR), 360 video, real-time 3D software and other similar materials. This site was born out of Tate’s Preserving Immersive Media Project with funding from the Netherlands Institute for Sound & Vision. See Preserving Immersive Media Knowledge Base (n.d.). Available at: https://pimkb.gitbook.io/pimkb/ [accessed 24 October 2023]
- The Collaborative Infrastructure for sustainable access to digital art LIMA project, to prevent the loss of digital artworks and to commonly develop the knowledge to preserve these works in a sustainable way. The project ‘Infrastructure sustainable accessibility digital art’ invests in research, training, knowledge sharing and conservation to prevent the loss of both digital artworks and the knowledge to preserve them. See LIMA (n.d.) ‘Collaborative infrastructure for sustainable access to digital art’. Available at: https://www.li-ma.nl/li-ma/article/collaborative-infrastructure-sustainable-access-digital-art [accessed 24 October 2023]
- Richardson, J. (2023) ‘Virtual Reality is a big trend in museums, but what are the best examples of museums using VR?’, Museum Next. Available at: https://www.museumnext.com/article/how-museums-are-using-virtual-reality/ [accessed 24 October 2023].

**Family or Personal Records**

Digital content and communications generated for personal consumption in a domestic setting. These records are highly valuable to family members and those interested in genealogy. They can also have wider historical/research significance to collecting institutions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Personal Archives</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2017</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Previously: Critically Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imminence of Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended within five years, detailed assessment within three years.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Significance of Loss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The loss of data, tools or services within this group would have a localized impact.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loss seems likely: by the time tools or techniques have been developed the material will likely have been lost.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Examples**
Childhood photographs and videos; School or graduation photos; wedding photos and movies; electronic correspondence (email, messenger, WhatsApp)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Storage on portable media or poor storage; dependence on devices or processes; dependence on obsolete or proprietary formats; storage media out of warranty; single copies; inappropriate dependence on service provider; inappropriate encryption or password protection; lack of awareness or planning; loss or lack of documentation; over-abundance; inability to act in a timely manner; confusion over intellectual property; lack of digital literacy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Replication; action in a timely manner; open formats; selection and appraisal; archival agency; education of digital preservation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**2023 Review**
This was introduced to the Bit List in 2017. Although research and advice on the preservation of personal records have been available for some time, outreach and training have not reached the audience, and there has been no material improvement in the risks faced by this category since 2017. It is reasonable to assume that the number of digital objects in this category has increased; thus, the consequences of loss have expanded but the 2021 Jury determined there had been no significant trend towards greater risk; content is being lost all the time despite digital materials that can easily be preserved with tools not widely available outside of institutions. Therefore, this is a public awareness campaign issue and more tools need to be made easily available for people to be able to better preserve their own digital content.

The 2023 Council agreed with the *Critically Endangered* classification but noted that the definition for this entry did not mention the potential wider historical/research significance of some personal archives to collecting institutions and recommended rescoping the entry to make this clearer.

**Additional Comments**
Personal papers can provide insight into the lived experience of a wider range of people - archives of ‘everyday’ people are invaluable to social historians and personal archives of people with national/international significance complement institutional/public records.

There is a strong overlap with community archives, except noting that responsibility is even more localized. There is room breaking the entry down further into a series of components to represent the complexity more effectively and present a more nuanced action plan.

This matter needs awareness-raising. Education is needed, such as digital preservation as a survival skill for teenagers. Also, simple and cheap tools or pathways to preservation are needed.

Education to the public is critical for advocacy - these are the societal records of the future! Though having said that, what has survived in hardcopy has largely been through luck, and the same thing I think will be the same for digital. The same issues exist with glass plate negatives, photographs and certain emulsions and even printed digital photographs, brittle paper, fading ink etc.

**Case Studies or Examples:**
- Such materials are increasingly moved into the cloud and the Google Photos case provides a good example. See Monckton, P. (2023), ‘Last Chance To Download Your Photos: Google’s Album Archive Will Be Deleted Tomorrow’, *Forbes*. Available at: https://www.forbes.com/sites/paulmonckton/2023/07/17/google-warning-album-
Games with Offline Play Components

This entry is focused on games that can be played offline, often those designed for single player play while in offline mode. This does not exclude games that can be played online or have online interactive components (e.g., Sims 3 can be played online or offline), but rather the focus is on the preservation of offline single player components over the online components.

**Digital Species: Gaming**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>→ No change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Added to List: 2019**

(rescoped 2023)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trend in 2023:</th>
<th>→ No change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Imminence of Action**

Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment in one year.

**Significance and Impact**

The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on a large group of people and sectors.

**Effort to Preserve | Inevitability**

It would require a major effort to prevent or reduce losses in this group, including the development of new preservation tools or techniques.

**Examples**

Sims 3, Planescape: Torment, Hades, The Elder Scrolls V: Skyrim

‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions

Complex hardware dependencies or bespoke hardware; dependence on obsolete, low usage operating systems with no emulation pathway; complex intellectual property rights; use of older magnetic media; loss of underlying code or gaming engine; limited or no commercial interest.

‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice

Emulation pathway; source code; trusted repository; large user community; IPR supportive of preservation; strong documentation

**2023 Review**

This entry, alongside the Games with Online Play Components entry, was created from rescoping the previous Old or Non-current Video Games entry as part of the 2023 Bit List review. It was rescoped to highlight the differences in preserving offline components as opposed to online components in video games, specifically a lack of dependence on servers.

**Additional Comments**

Whilst this has the same risk classification as the Games with Online Play Components entry, the risk could be considered to be slightly lesser due to the lack of reliance on servers as well as examples of games having their online services shut down but players still being able to access the offline game modes/features, such as the case with Nintendo discontinuing online services for Nintendo 3DS and Wii U software in early April 2024 where they explicitly state that “Players will still be able to use features and game modes that do not require online communication”.

See also:

This entry is also interlinked with the entries covering games played on different hardware (Console games, PC games and smartphone games entries) as the risks can change based on this.

**Case Studies or Examples:**

**See also:**
- The Videogame Heritage Society, led by the National Videogame Museum, founded in 2022 to bring together organizations and collectors working with videogames. It provides advocacy, expertise, and support in collecting, preserving and displaying video games. See National Video Museum (2020) ‘Videogame Heritage Society’. Available at: [https://thenvm.org/about/vhs/](https://thenvm.org/about/vhs/) [accessed 24 October 2023]
- The Video Game History Foundation is a 501(c)3 non-profit dedicated to preserving and teaching the history of video games. See Video Game History Foundation (n.d.), ‘Mission’. Available at: [https://gamehistory.org/our-mission/](https://gamehistory.org/our-mission/) [accessed 24 October 2023]
- The British Film Institute's “Embracing a wider screen culture” strategy notes the cultural significance of video games and states that they intend to embark on sector research, engagement and knowledge exchange (including on the preservation of video games and digital media). See BFI (n.d.) ‘Embracing a wider screen culture’. Available at: [https://blog.bfi.org.uk/long-read/our-ambitions/embracing-a-wider-screen-culture/](https://blog.bfi.org.uk/long-read/our-ambitions/embracing-a-wider-screen-culture/) [accessed 24 October 2023].

### Games with Online Play Components

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Gaming</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Added to List: 2019     | Trend in 2023: | Previously: Critically Endangered |
| (rescoped 2023)         | Towards even greater risk | |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imminence of Action</th>
<th>Significance and Impact</th>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment in one year.</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on a large group of people and sectors.</td>
<td>It would require a major effort to prevent or reduce losses in this group, including the development of new preservation tools or techniques.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Examples
Dark Souls, Minecraft, Portal 2, Stardew Valley

**‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions**
Always online DRM; Controversies around IPR; lack of offline backup; changing business model of providers; limited recognition of value of game play; over dependence on goodwill of ad-hoc community; lack of preservation know-how at service providers; dependency on bespoke hardware or interfaces; Complex hardware dependencies or bespoke hardware

**‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice**
Emulation pathway; source code; trusted repository; large user community; IPR supportive of preservation; strong documentation

### 2023 Review
This entry, alongside the Games with Offline Play Components entry, was created from rescoping the previous Old or Non-current Video Games entry as part of the 2023 Bit List review. It was rescoped to highlight the differences in preserving online components as opposed to offline components in video games, specifically the dependency of servers.

### Additional Comments
Whilst this entry focuses on games with online play components that are still accessible (any servers that have been shut down or discontinued would fall into the Shut Down or Discontinued Video Games entry), these games could potentially lose server support thus necessitating urgent action to preserve the online component whilst the server is still active. A recent example of this is the announcement in October 2023 of Nintendo discontinuing online services for Nintendo 3DS and Wii U software from early April 2024. Whilst the offline component will still be accessible, the game will have lost features and/or game modes that use online components thus changing the nature of the game.

#### Case Studies or Examples:

**See also:**
- **ACMI** (2022), ‘Australian cultural institutions unite to collect videogames’. Available at: [https://www.acmi.net.au/about/media/media-releases/australian-cultural-institutions-unite-to-collect-videogames/](https://www.acmi.net.au/about/media/media-releases/australian-cultural-institutions-unite-to-collect-videogames/) [accessed 24 October 2023]
- The Videogame Heritage Society, led by the National Videogame Museum, founded in 2022 to bring together organizations and collectors working with videogames. It provides advocacy, expertise, and support in collecting, preserving and displaying video games. See National Video Museum (2020) ‘Videogame Heritage Society’. Available at: [https://thenvm.org/about/vhs/](https://thenvm.org/about/vhs/) [accessed 24 October 2023]
- The Video Game History Foundation is a 501(c)3 non-profit dedicated to preserving and teaching the history of video games. See Video Game History Foundation (n.d.), ‘Mission’. Available at: [https://gamehistory.org/our-mission/](https://gamehistory.org/our-mission/) [accessed 24 October 2023]
- The British Film Institute’s “Embracing a wider screen culture” strategy notes the cultural significance of video games and states that they intend to embark on sector research, engagement and knowledge exchange (including on the preservation of video games and digital media). See BFI (n.d.) ‘Embracing a wider screen culture’. Available at: [https://blog.bfi.org.uk/long-read/our-ambitions/embracing-a-wider-screen-culture/](https://blog.bfi.org.uk/long-read/our-ambitions/embracing-a-wider-screen-culture/) [accessed 24 October 2023].
### Grey Literature

Semi-published research outputs such as blogs, dissertations, informal conference papers or commissioned reports which are not formally published but which can contain original and insightful contributions within scholarly communications. This entry covers a wide spectrum of very diverse types of materials which all have different preservation considerations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Research Outputs</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Previously: Critically Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imminence of Action</th>
<th>Significance of Loss</th>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.</td>
<td>Loss seems likely: by the time tools or techniques have been developed the material will likely have been lost.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blogs, technical reports, conference papers, dissertations, commercial research</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Originating researcher no longer active or changed research focus; staff on temporary contracts; dependence on single student or staff member; weak or fluid institutional commitment to subject matter; weak institutional commitment to data sharing; complicated or contested intellectual property; encryption; Lack of recognition; non-disclosure agreements;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use of persistent identifiers; embedded within repository infrastructure; quality assurance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2023 Review

This entry was introduced in 2017 under ‘Research Data,’ though without explicit reference to grey literature. In 2019, the Jury split this entry into a range of contexts for research outputs. This entry represents activities which build towards formal publications and research outputs but which do not typically accumulate in institutional repositories. The 2021 Jury agreed; however, there was a significant difference between the 2020 trend and the 2021 trend. The 2020 Jury noted a trend towards greater risk because higher education and research institutions faced budget uncertainties, and a number of institutions have introduced early severance schemes or put staff on short term contracts at greater risk of redundancy; While this puts other types of research output at risk, the ad hoc nature of grey literature means that this entry is at greater risk. Members of the 2021 Jury argued the content of grey literature is not entirely unique if it eventually makes its way into published outputs and noted improvements and initiatives towards preservation of semi-published research data and outputs over the last year, resulting in the consensus of a 2021 trend towards reduced risk.

The 2023 Council agreed with the Critically Endangered classification and noted that there will always be an element of risk to materials under this entry due to its semi-official nature. The Council also noted that this entry covers a wide spectrum of material and all had different preservation considerations.

### Additional Comments

Loss of material like this would be common in the analogue world, but in the digital age, we have the capacity and perhaps something of a responsibility to ensure that it is captured: more of an opportunity lost to extend the available research resource. The ADS’s Grey Literature Library...
demonstrates what could be done if information architectures are deployed to mirror and extend professional practice.

Workflows and policies regarding tagging, collecting and EDRMS may help protect such data into the future. Past materials are almost certainly partially lost.

Not all funder-maintained specialist repositories accept grey literature for long-term storage (e.g., UKRI-NERC EDS). These are redirected to generic open data depositories such as Zenodo which mint DOIs but do not offer data quality assurance for different data types.

See also:
- The Policy Commons has a mission to index and preserve grey literature from IGOs, NGOs, think tanks, governments and, to date, indexing and preserving around 4 million items from c.11,000 institutions from across the world. See Policy Commons (n.d.) Available at: https://policycommons.net/ [accessed 24 October 2023]

### Legacy Research Web Collections

Research related collections of digital content on the web which are now outdated and/or no longer actively maintained. This can include software and published or unpublished source code.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Web, Research Outputs</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Previous: Critically Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Imminence of Action**
Action is recommended within twelve months, detailed assessment is a priority.

**Significance of Loss**
The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.

**Effort to Preserve | Inevitability**
Loss seems likely: by the time tools or techniques have been developed the material will likely have been lost.

**Examples**
Academic and institutional websites from the first decade of the web containing details of research projects and interests as well as research data.

**‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions**
Inaccessible to web archive; bespoke code; insufficient documentation; uncertain intellectual property right.

**‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice**
Secured by web archive; documentation and rights information published alongside

### 2023 Review
This entry was added in 2019. There are overlaps with the entry with the ‘Semi-Published Research Data’ entry, and also ‘Unpublished Research Data,’ but it is a separate entry to distinguish between ‘current’ and ‘legacy’ collections with different risk profiles: in this case, the fact that materials of legacy web collections are no longer actively maintained increased the classification to Critically Endangered in comparison to Endangered Semi-Published Research Data. The 2021 Jury agreed with these distinctions, adding that loss has already occurred and
future loss can be prevented through approaches such as web archiving and code preservation; however, risks had become greater notably over the preceding years due to security issues posed by hosting legacy technology software and services which prompted disposal of content imminently without adequate review or selection. Therefore, there was a 2021 risk towards increased risk to reflect this. The 2022 Taskforce agreed with this assessment, noting no change to the trend (it remained on the same basis as reported in 2021).

The 2023 Council agreed with the Critically Endangered classification and noted greater inevitability of loss compared to previous reviews. Additionally, the Council recommended that a nomination for consideration as a Bit List entry, for an entry on unpublished digital indices and transcriptions in the DIMEV Open-Access Digital Edition of the Index of Middle English Verse, would provide a valuable example to this entry rather than as a new, standalone entry.

**Additional Comments**

The 2023 Council additionally recommended that the next major review considers rescoping the entry, possibly splitting this entry into separate areas to assess different levels of risk relating to published and unpublished source code in legacy research web collections.

These collections are valuable but lose funding and care as institutions re-configure their tasks and individuals retreat from tasks due to retirement or (as volunteers) to old age.

There are an endless number of legacy research web resources out there that people don’t know about.

Not necessarily a technical challenge but a resource challenge

The Internet Archive and other national web archiving bodies have copies of a lot of websites that would fit into this category but by no means all. There’s also a distinction between the software or code used to deliver the user experience and the data. Such code is secondary to the content.

This issue can be intensified by the legacy IT Infrastructure in cases where much of the content is hosted there, as security concerns may lead to disposal of content imminently. In these scenarios, their imminence of action becomes more urgent given the security issues posed by hosting legacy technology/software/etc.

**Case Studies or Examples:**

- One example of an at-risk legacy research web collection, provided by the nominator of this entry, is the Unpublished digital indices and transcriptions in the DIMEV Open-Access, Digital Edition of the Index of Middle English Verse. The index comprises transcriptions made by a research team of Middle English text which were gathered as XML sheets and built upon a print publication: the Index of Middle English Verse (1943). These transcriptions involved significant financial and time investment and many are transcriptions of material unavailable online as digital facsimiles (uncertain data storage of the data that underlies the web resource, or whether it is being stored by a university or could easily be recovered). See Mooney, L., Mosser, D., Solopova, E., Thorpe, D., Hill Radcliffe, D., Hatfield, L., Cornelius, I. and Johnston, M. (n.d.) ‘The DIMEV: An Open-Access, Digital Edition of the Index of Middle English Verse’. Available at: [https://www.dimev.net/](https://www.dimev.net/) [accessed 24 October 2023]

- The recovery of the VecNet archive of malaria-related publications offers another example that also has obvious public health implications. VecNet was founded in 2011 as a network of institutions assembled to address the concerns and recommendations of the Malaria Eradication Research Agenda initiative. It became a portal for malaria information
and analysis tools, with the goal of extending present vector control interventions and enabling incorporation of additional interventions to achieve elimination. By 2019 an important component of the portal, the DataCite repository, ceased to be available. However, the Vector-Borne Disease Network Data Warehouse (VecNet-DW), a project of departments of University of Notre Dame and the Institute of Tropical Health and Medicine at James Cook University, retained the relevant data and is collaborating with Data Futures, which created the new Invenio repository. See Invenio (n.d.), ‘VecNet’. Available at: [https://vecnet.nd.hasdai.org/](https://vecnet.nd.hasdai.org/) [accessed 24 October 2023].

- Preserving the Carmichael Watson Research Project website at the University of Edinburgh: a case study on this project website, only online from 2013 until 2018, came to imminent risk of permanent loss and the strategy undertaken to transform it into a more sustainable format through web archiving and to revive its public accessibility. See Day Thomas, S. and Hawes, A. (2021) ‘Using ArchiveWeb.page to capture the Carmichael Watson Project’, *Web Archiving & Preservation Working Group - General Meeting December 2021*. Available at: [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0CWMwJn6p-w](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0CWMwJn6p-w) [accessed 24 October 2023]


### Manuals, Documentation, and Associated Materials

Manuals that support physical, born-digital, and hybrid-digital museum objects, including technical manuals and that may contain diagrams, images, videos and more. Manuals may include those specifically created for the object, and/or from the supplier or manufacturer (and may exist for the entire object, or for each component part). Covered here is other documentation critical for the management, preservation, access, and display of collections objects, including conservation records. Associated materials (such as sidecar or other technical files) can provide insight into the digital content held in the collection. Encompassed in this entry is both information for internal use, supporting outgoing loans, as well as an information source for current and future researchers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Museum and Gallery</th>
<th>New Rescoped Entry</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Imminence of Action</strong>&lt;br&gt;Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.</td>
<td><strong>Significance of Loss</strong>&lt;br&gt;The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.</td>
<td>**Effort to Preserve</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Examples**

- Manuals created for the object and/or from the supplier or manufacturer; conservation records and other forms of documentation

- **‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions**
  - Poor documentation; lack of preservation planning; held in proprietary file formats
### ‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice

Strong documentation; preservation capability; open source or commonly used file formats

#### 2023 Review

This entry was added in 2019 under ‘Digital Materials in Museums and Galleries’ and previously rescoped in 2021 to ‘Supporting Digital Materials for Museums and Galleries’.

The 2023 Bit List Council superseded the entry, splitting it into six discrete entries as the scope of the single entry was too broad to provide the guidance needed. The recommendation to break this entry down was also made by the 2021 Jury, as the types of digital collections content in museums can be vast and offer particular risks in museum and gallery contexts. For museum objects (including physical, born-digital, and hybrid-digital) a manual for the object may be critical to being able to provide access to, display, and/or maintain, regardless of whether the object is being retained in a museum collection as a ‘working’ or ‘non-working’ object, which can be dependent on the types of materials the object is constructed from. For complex objects - physical and digital - there can be a significant dependency on these manuals and similar documentation. The extreme result of not having access to a manual may be that the object is unable to be maintained or displayed in the future. Associated materials may provide technical insight for both internal use and for researchers. For certain researchers, manuals and documentation may provide critical insight, and be of more value to the research than the object itself.

---

### Media Art by Deceased Artists or Defunct Workshops

Media art where the artists or creative technicians are either deceased or not able to provide guidance on authenticity and installation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Media Art</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Added to List: 2019</th>
<th>Trend in 2023:</th>
<th>Previously: Critically Endangered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imminence of Action</th>
<th>Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Significance of Loss</th>
<th>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>It would require a major effort to prevent or reduce losses in this group, including the development of new preservation tools or techniques.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Works produced by media artists now deceased, such as: Jeremy Blake, Beatriz Da Costa, Heiko Daxl or Stanislaus Ostoja-Kotkowski.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions

Lack of documentation to enable maintenance; lack of clarity with respect to intellectual property; complex interdependencies on specific hardware, software or operating systems; lack of capacity in the gallery or workshop; lack of strategic investment; complex external dependencies; loss of institutional memory resulting from staff churn; poor working relationship between the gallery and artist/workshop; lack of conservation assessment.
### ‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice

*Endangered* in the Presence of Good Practice

- Strong documentation; clarity of preservation path and ensuing responsibilities; proven preservation plan;
- Capacity of workshop to support re-installation; capacity of gallery to conserve; capacity of gallery to re-install;
- Retention of institutional memory including archives of correspondence between gallery and artist/workshop;
- Strong and continuing working relationship between the gallery and artist/workshop; regular conservation assessment.

### 2023 Review

This entry was added in 2019 as a subset of the 2017 ‘Media Art,’ which was first introduced with particular reference to historical media art but split by the 2019 Jury to ensure greater specificity in its recommendation. This entry represents works held in galleries where the artist is deceased or the workshop has closed, and there is limited prospect to obtain new documentation. The 2020 Jury found a trend towards greater risk based on how galleries, which often rely on visitors for income, have been closed for extended periods and circumstances of economic dislocation. The 2021 Jury agreed on a continued trend towards greater risk based on the increasing risk of this loss happening with more time sensitivity for early media artworks.

The 2023 Council agreed with the *Critically Endangered* classification with overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend).

### Additional Comments

This entry includes a point in the lifecycle of all media art, so good practice recommendations are likely to become more important over time. Preservation issues may not become visible until the piece is brought out of storage for loan or exhibition, underscoring the value of continuous or periodic conservation assessment. The range of data/formats/hardware/software etc. can be new and varied, providing organizations with an ongoing technical challenge that they are not initially equipped to deal with. Some loss seems inevitable.

Preservation of legacy media artworks is dependent on access to obsolete technology and also the knowledge of how to operate said technology. Documentation around the production process and artist intent can be limited and more critical without any access to artists or technicians. This creates risk around the preservation of a truly authentic artwork.

### Case Studies or Examples:


- This includes decision model work around acquisition of complex collections such as born digital and hybrid art. See Ensom, T, and McConnachie, S. (2022) ‘Preserving and sharing born-digital and hybrid objects from and across the National Collection’, *Decision Model Report: March 2022*. Available at: [http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7097489](http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7097489)

### See also:

- NEW MEDIA MUSEUMS: Creating Framework for Preserving and Collecting Media Arts in V4, initiated by the Olomouc Museum of Art as a joint international platform for sharing experience with building and maintaining collections of new media artworks across different types of institutions. The aim of the project is to find workable methods for heritage institutions to build and maintain collections of media arts, which are necessary for safeguarding this area for the benefit of society. See Central European Art Database (2021) ‘NEW MEDIA MUSEUMS: Creating Framework for Preserving and Collecting Media
The Collaborative Infrastructure for sustainable access to digital art LIMA project, to prevent the loss of digital artworks and to commonly develop the knowledge to preserve these works in a sustainable way. The project ‘Infrastructure sustainable accessibility digital art’ invests in research, training, knowledge sharing and conservation to prevent the loss of both digital artworks and the knowledge to preserve them. See LIMA (n.d.) ‘Collaborative infrastructure for sustainable access to digital art’. Available at: https://www.li-ma.nl/lima/article/collaborative-infrastructure-sustainable-access-digital-art [accessed 24 October 2023].


**Media Inside Paper Files**

Media inside paper files occurred in records since the 1980s and will continue to do so for many years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Portable Media</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Previously: Critically Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Imminence of Action**

Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.

**Significance of Loss**

The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.

**Effort to Preserve | Inevitability**

It would require a major effort to prevent or reduce losses in this group, possibly requiring the development of new preservation tools or techniques.

**Examples**

Digital media mixed with paper files in records offices and filing cabinets of almost every kind of enterprise.

‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions

Unsustainable effort to assess; exotic or obsolete media; poor storage; lack of descriptive labelling.

‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice

Carefully labelled; managed programme of assessment and retrieval; robust media used.

**2023 Review**

This entry was added in 2019 to report the significant amounts of digital media being transferred to archives folded into traditional files. The 2019 Jury noted that it is relatively simple to preserve this material once identified using standard tools, but it can be an ‘unknown unknown,’ and that assessment can seem overwhelming and, therefore it may overlap with other portable media risks but has a higher risk classification. The 2021 Jury agreed on a 2021 trend towards greater risk due to the increased time sensitivity and need for conducting collection audits as soon as possible, in
order to determine what you have to then work out a plan about opening carriers, assessing files, and extracting them if significant.

The 2023 Council agreed with the risk classification of Critically Endangered with the overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend).

**Additional Comments**
This is highly dependent on who is looking after the portable formats. There are good examples, for example in libraries, where disks are stored at the back of books or in front of magazines and can be processed at the point of acquisition. In archives, however, dealing with bit-level preservation of external media (often on legacy formats) is largely an unquantified problem, and so resource commitments will not be in place. So, there is a method and tools but simply no time committed and no proper assessment either. In other agencies, the issue will not have even been considered, and for them, it will be much harder over time with some inevitable loss.

### Native Cloud Formats
This entry covers all native cloud formats that exist within a cloud system but cannot be exported in their native format. The data for these formats is held within the system and they are rendered within a browser.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Formats, Cloud</th>
<th>New Entry</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Imminence of Action</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Significance of Loss</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**Effort to Preserve</td>
<td>Inevitability**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It would require a major effort to prevent or reduce losses in this group, possibly requiring the development of new preservation tools or techniques.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Examples**
The most widely known example of this is Google formats such as Google Docs, Sheets, Slides and Jamboard.

### ‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions
- Lack of preservation capacity in provider; dependence on proprietary products or formats; lack of export functionality; insufficient documentation; lack of conformance or validation; lack of preservation commitment or planning; inaccessibility to automated web crawlers.

### ‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice
- Reduction of dependencies; improved export functionality; clear migration pathways; application of records management standards; version control; integrity checking; comprehensive documentation; access to web harvesting; technology watch.

**2023 Review**
This is a new Bit List entry added in 2023 to draw attention to the particular challenges of preserving native cloud content that cannot be exported and preserved in their native cloud formats. While there are some similarities with the ‘Cloud-based Services and Communications Platforms’ entry risks relating to dependencies on service and provider business models and the terms and conditions imposed, this entry focuses specifically on the distinct risks relating to preservation of digital content and data in native cloud formats (with these formats held within
cloud-based systems and rendered within web-based browsers). Currently, in order to view the files outside of the system, an export format has to be chosen (e.g., PDF, Microsoft Office, HTML). This process has issues with proving the integrity of the exports, as conventional methods (such as checksum) are not valid. There is also the issue that the original cloud formats hold all edits and versions, the export may only preserve the current version of the file without edit history and misleading revision identifiers. As the cloud formats are browser based web archiving options have also been explored but there is no current automated way to harvest a large collection of files. For these reasons, major efforts are needed to develop new tools and techniques to capture and preserve the data to prevent or reduce loss.

**Additional Comments**

There are case studies already in development demonstrating good progress in this area, for example from the TNA and University of Sheffield, which will be shared as part of a DPC event on 14th November 2023. This is shortly after the publication of the 2023 Bit List but the recordings and outputs will be shared for inclusion in the next Bit List report.

**Case Studies or Examples:**

- One example, which is part of the Google Workspace and illustrates how quickly things can become unsupported, is the announced closure of the 'Jamboard' collaborative online whiteboard platform, which will be discontinued from the end of 2024. See Shakir, U. (2023) ‘Google’s whiteboarding app is joining the graveyard’, Verge. Available at: [https://www.theverge.com/2023/9/28/23894509/google-jamboard-whiteboarding-app-graveyard](https://www.theverge.com/2023/9/28/23894509/google-jamboard-whiteboarding-app-graveyard) [accessed 24 October 2023] and Google (n.d.) ‘Google Jamboard is winding down’, Google Jamboard Help Center. Available at: [https://support.google.com/jamboard/answer/14084927?hl=en](https://support.google.com/jamboard/answer/14084927?hl=en) [accessed 24 October 2023]

- See also:
  - Somers, J. (2014), ‘How I Reverse Engineered Google Docs To Play Back Any Document’s Keystrokes.’. Available at: [https://features.jsomers.net/how-i-reverse-engineered-google-docs/](https://features.jsomers.net/how-i-reverse-engineered-google-docs/) [accessed 24 October 2023]
**Non-current Hard Disk Technologies**

Materials saved to storage devices with a variety of underlying magnetic or solid-state technologies that are hardwired into a computer that is no longer under warranty or supported: typically, hard disks more than five years old.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Integrated Storage</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>→ No change</td>
<td>Previously: Critically Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Imminence of Action**

Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.

**Significance of Loss**

The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.

**Effort to Preserve | Inevitability**

Loss seems inevitable: loss has already occurred or is expected to occur before tools or techniques develop.

**Examples**

Disks installed into computers or servers that are more than five years old, or out of warranty

**‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions**

Lack of replication; poor storage; non-standard connections or controllers; aggressive compression; encryption

**‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice**

Maintenance schedule; renewable extendable warranty; best practice storage and operation; replication

**2023 Review**

This entry was added in 2019 to ensure that the range of media storage is properly assessed and presented. The lifecycles of most consumer hard disk technology are relatively stable in comparison to portable devices because they are integrated into systems and therefore inherit the lifecycle and replacement of the entire system. This is less true at scale; however, where disks are used in storage arrays, and refreshment is more loosely tied to the server architecture.

Storage at scale also means the percentage likelihood of finding a disk failure increases, and this likelihood of failure led to the 2021 Jury’s noted trend towards greater risk. It was reviewed in 2022 with no noted change towards even greater or reduced risk.

The 2023 Council agreed with the current *Critically Endangered* classification with overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend), while also noting a greater inevitability of loss from the discontinuation of support and development for these storage technologies when compared to the 2021 Jury review.

**Additional Comments**

A lot of early PCI-E flash devices (e.g. Fusion-IO) used proprietary drivers before the NVME standard was developed, but are now dropping off support. Intel has stopped development of Optane non-volatile RAM, some of which required specific CPU support to access although that form was usually used for data caching rather than storage.

Accessing drives with pre-SATA interfaces is increasingly difficult since interface cards and OS support can be hard to come by.
The greater density of newer disks, as well as encryption and compression, mean they can be more fragile than older disks with less density, and less sophisticated read/write technologies. The age of a disk is not the best or only indicator of its reliability.

**Non-current Portable Magnetic Media**

Materials saved to floppy disks, tape, portable hard disks or other numerous magnetic storage devices where the media is out of warranty and reader devices may no longer be supported or integrated easily into hardware infrastructure: typically, more than five years old.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Portable Media</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imminence of Action</th>
<th>Significance of Loss</th>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world</td>
<td>It would require a major effort to prevent or reduce losses in this group, possibly requiring the development of new preservation tools or techniques.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Examples**

Floppy disks; tape; certain kinds of portable hard disks, zip drives.

**‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions**

Poor storage; inability to access readers; no replication; encryption; aggressive compression

**‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice**

Active management; dependable access to readers; strong documentation; documentation independent from the media

**2023 Review**

The 2019 Jury introduced this entry to ensure that the range of media storage is properly assessed and presented. Portable magnetic media is ubiquitous but is fragile not just to physical wear and tear but also to magnetic interference and bit-rot. The substrates of the disks can prove unstable, and in some cases, proprietary reader technology means that the disk becomes obsolete before it degrades. Storage at scale also means the percentage likelihood of failure increases. The 2021 Jury agreed with the entry’s assigned risk classification with no noted changes towards increased or reduced risk.

The 2023 Council agreed with the risk classification of Critically Endangered with the overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend). Additionally, a new entry “Non-current Rare Portable Magnetic Media” was created as a split, related standalone entry to highlight the increased risk.

**Additional Comments**

There is no "active management" of data found on these media items. The data should be copied off of the media and into a digital preservation system that allows for active management. Data found on these media should be considered a backup, at best.

We know what to do with this type of material, it is the scale that makes it a problem.
There is really no excuse for using floppy disks for storage these days. Tape is a different proposition since it allows high-density back up offline and nearline. But there are challenges with the backward compatibility of popular and even relatively recent LTO versions.

**Case Studies or Examples:**


---

### Non-current Portable Optical Media

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Portable Media</th>
<th>Trend in 2022: No change</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>Trend in 2023: No change</td>
<td>Previously: Critically Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Imminence of Action**

Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.

**Significance of Loss**

The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.

**Effort to Preserve | Inevitability**

It would require a major effort to prevent or reduce losses in this group, possibly requiring the development of new preservation tools or techniques.

**Examples**

CDs, laserdisc technologies, DVD, HDVD

**'Practically Extinct' in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions**

Poor storage; inability to access readers; no replication; encryption
‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice
Active management; dependable access to readers; strong documentation; documentation independent from the media

2023 Review
This entry was added in 2019 to ensure that the range of media storage is properly assessed and presented. Optical media is in some senses a preferred option as it is typically more stable than magnetic or solid state media: but these characteristics have been oversold. The substrates of the disks can prove unstable, and more importantly, the reader technology tends to be proprietary and can become obsolete long before the disks degrade. Storage at scale also means the percentage likelihood of failure increases. The 2021 Jury agreed with the entry’s assigned risk classification with no noted changes towards increased or reduced risk.

The 2023 Council agreed with the risk classification of Critically Endangered with the overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend).

Additional Comments
We know what to do; it is the scale of the problem. It is a big scale problem - and in many library catalogues the information about these carriers and their playing requirements do not exist in metadata. Big scale surveys of collections to identify these carriers might be a barrier to starting to tackle this one.

Optical media is less and less recoverable as time goes on. The data cannot be actively managed, the readers are starting to go out of style, and the carriers will continue to rapidly degrade. Early generations of this media are not as robust as the current generation and can deteriorate significantly if not stored appropriately. Many optical media that were created by individuals using consumer-grade hardware and software are more vulnerable than media created using commercial-grade production techniques, and often user error makes the data unreadable before bitrot and other degradation is able to begin. The presence of adhesive labels can be a big warning sign of this type of vulnerability.

Case Studies or Examples:
- The Library of Congress’s CD-R and DVD-R RW Longevity Research project page provides summarized outcomes and findings from several different complementary studies undertaken or are continuing. Library of Congress (n.d.) ‘CD-R and DVD-R RW Longevity Research’. Available at: https://www.loc.gov/preservation/scientists/projects/cd-r_dvd-r_rw_longevity.html [accessed 24 October 2023]
- The Preserving Write-Once DVDs: Producing Disc Images, Extracting Content, and Addressing Flaws and Errors analytic report by George Blood Audio Video Film (GBAVF) in which, The report was one product of a contract with the company, in which they converted a set of write-once DVDs for the Library of Congress. The report describes the issues encountered and provides some detail about methods for carrying out the work,, offering an overview of the range and extent of the issues, as well as describing the corrective tools and processes that were used. See George Blood Audio Video Film. (2014) ‘Preserving Write-Once DVDs: Producing Disc Images, Extracting Content, and Addressing Flaws and Errors, Final Draft Report’. Available at
## Non-current Portable Solid-State Media

Materials saved to flash or other solid-state storage devices where the media is out of warranty and reader devices may no longer be supported or integrated easily into hardware infrastructure: typically, more than five years old.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Portable Media</th>
<th>Trend in 2022: No change</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>Trend in 2023: No change</td>
<td>Previously: Critically Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Imminence of Action

Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.

### Significance of Loss

The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.

### Effort to Preserve | Inevitability

It would require a major effort to prevent or reduce losses in this group, possibly requiring the development of new preservation tools or techniques.

#### Examples

- USB sticks and pen drives; Flash storage in cameras and phones; certain types of portable hard disk
- ‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions
  - Poor physical storage; inability to access readers; no replication; encryption
- ‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice
  - Active management; dependable access to readers; strong documentation; documentation independent from the media

#### 2023 Review

This entry was added in 2019 to ensure that the range of media storage is properly assessed and presented. Solid state media – typically flash – provides very fast access to data but can fail.
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without warning. This is because it is typically subject to a limited number of program/erase cycles, as well as ‘read/disturb’ effects. Storage at scale also means the percentage likelihood of failure increases. The 2021 Jury agreed with the entry’s assigned risk classification with no noted changes towards increased or reduced risk.

The 2023 Council agreed with the continued risk classification of **Critically Endangered** with the overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend). They noted that many libraries, archives and other organizations are using these media as the access copy as well as the preservation copy. The data can be overwritten or lost by mishandling as well as bitrot and degradation. Data should be transferred into a digital preservation system that allows for active management.

**Additional Comments**
An additional preservation risk here is that many libraries/archives use this media as the access copy as well as the preservation copy, thus increasing the risk of the data being overwritten, lost by mishandling as general bitrot and degradation. To decrease the risk, data should be transferred into a digital preservation system that allows for active management.

Early generations of media and cheap giveaways are not robust and can deteriorate significantly over time.

---

### Open Source Intelligence Sources of Current Conflicts

Open source intelligence produced, collected and analysed from publicly, openly available social media and web content with the purpose of answering a specific intelligence question and that supports crowd-sourced investigation and fact-checking to verify or refute claims of state agencies and rebel groups in the context of current political or military conflict.

**Digital Species: Legal Data**  
**Trend in 2022:**  
↑ **Towards even greater risk**  
**Consensus Decision**  
**Added to List: 2019**  
**Trend in 2023:**  
→ **No change**  
Previously: Critically Endangered

**Imminence of Action**
Action is recommended within twelve months, detailed assessment is a priority.

**Significance of Loss**
The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.

**Effort to Preserve | Inevitability**
It would require a major effort to prevent or reduce losses in this group, possibly requiring the development of new preservation tools or techniques.

**Examples**
Social media sources relating to current conflicts, such as in Yemen or Syria.

**‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions**
Loss of authenticity; lack of preservation agency; limited or no digital preservation capability.

**‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice**
Offline backup captured by the journalist or investigating authority;

**2023 Review**
This entry was added as a subset in 2019, as part of a broader ‘Open Source Intelligence Sources’ which the Jury split into three elements, relating to current, recent and historic sources. This entry relates in particular to materials relating to current and ongoing conflicts. Social media companies
have a policy to take down or suppress content that they consider to be propaganda for terrorist
groups. This has had the unintended consequence of deleting or suppressing content that was
being used in open source investigation or fact-checking for journalistic or judicial purposes, and
which may therefore be an impediment to refutation or prosecution. However, a new generation
of cloud-based services, such as Hunchly, have emerged in the last few years, which allow
investigators to copy and stabilize content to private accounts in the process of investigating it:
so, the ethical requirements of social media companies and the integrity of the investigation are
both served. The 2021 Jury noted that such content stays at risk, and the process of investigation
is slower than algorithmic deletion. Nonetheless, there is a notable difference in the investigation
of current conflicts than historic ones where evidence has been lost. The 2022 Taskforce
identified a trend towards even greater risk based on the increased significance of crowd-sourced
investigations and fact-checking in light of ongoing global conflicts that include (but are not
limited to) those in Ukraine.

The 2023 Council agreed with the Critically Endangered classification with the overall risks
remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend).

**Additional Comments**

The Council also added clarification to the meaning of ‘open source’ for this entry, to explain its
meaning in relation to intelligence openly available online, noting that open source can also refer
to a specific software or content licence that permits limited uses of IP so this distinction would be
helpful for readers.

Preservation is important for social context and may be picked up inadvertently in other ways -
but is ambiguous about who has ultimate responsibility for collecting and preserving this.

**Case Studies or Examples:**

- The Ukraine Investigations by GLAN and Bellingcat Justice & Accountability project to
  investigate alleged atrocity crimes taking place in Ukraine. The aim of the project is to
  conduct a set of open source investigations into incidents causing civilian harm occurring
  in Ukraine according to robust legal standards with the aim of making them available to
  national and international prosecutors who are gathering evidence of alleged crimes. In
  this case, the open source content gathered during Bellingcat’s investigations will be
  preserved by Mnemonic, an independent third-party organization maintaining an archive
  of digital content from Ukraine, as it has done for Syria, Yemen and Sudan. See Glan and
  Bellingcat (n.d.), ‘Methodology for Online Open Source Investigations’. Available at:
  [https://www.glanlaw.org/online-open-source-methodology](https://www.glanlaw.org/online-open-source-methodology) [accessed 24 October 2023]

  **See also:**

- The website of the Forensic Architecture (FA) research agency, based at Goldsmiths,
  University of London, offers examples of OSINT. See Forensic Architecture (n.d.). Available at:
  [https://forensic-architecture.org/methodology/osint](https://forensic-architecture.org/methodology/osint) [accessed 24 October 2023]

- The website of the Coalition for Content Provenance and Authenticity (C2PA). The C2PA
  addresses the prevalence of misleading information online through the development of
  technical standards for certifying the source and history (or provenance) of media
  content. C2PA is a Joint Development Foundation project, formed through an alliance
  between Adobe, Arm, Intel, Microsoft and Truepic. See Coalition for Content Provenance
  and Authenticity (n.d.). Available at: [https://c2pa.org/](https://c2pa.org/) [accessed 24 October 2023]

  for Practitioners Working on Dealing with the Past’, swisspeace. Available at:
  [https://www.swisspeace.ch/articles/preserving-open-source-digital-evidence](https://www.swisspeace.ch/articles/preserving-open-source-digital-evidence) [accessed
  24 October 2023]
### PC Games
PC games include all games that were designed to be played on a personal computer (PC).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Gaming</th>
<th>New Entry</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Imminence of Action</strong></td>
<td>Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment in one year.</td>
<td><strong>Significance and Impact</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**Effort to Preserve</td>
<td>Inevitability**</td>
<td>It would require a major effort to prevent or reduce losses in this group, including the development of new preservation tools or techniques.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Examples**
SimCity 3000, Factorio, World of Warcraft, Starcraft II, Phasmophobia

**‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions**
- Controversies around intellectual property rights; lack of offline backup; changing business model of providers; limited recognition of the cultural and historic value of game play; complex intellectual property rights; loss of underlying code or gaming engine; limited or no commercial interest; dependency on remote servers that are closed; limited recognition of value of game play; over-dependence on goodwill of ad-hoc community

**‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice**
- IPR supportive of preservation; strong documentation; source code; emulation pathway; trusted designated repository or community taking preservation responsibility and capable to deliver

**2023 Review**
The 2023 Council created two new entries, Console Games and PC Games, to complement the already existing entry of Smartphone Gaming. This was done to highlight the unique preservation issues that exist for each of these categories as well as the differences in preservation risk.

**Additional Comments**
The three most common operating systems for PCs are Windows, macOS and Linux. Not all operating systems can run all PC games, and operating systems as a limitation on games becomes a limitation on video game preservation. Gamers tend to find workarounds to operating system limitations through emulation, but this creates dependencies on emulators being maintained. New versions of operating systems can also be detrimental as older games may not be able to run on newer versions or may need workarounds to allow them to run.
Future changes to the Bit List might need to consider Video Game Mods as a separate category. Whilst mods do exist for consoles, the majority of gaming mods tend to be for PC games and, for many games, especially older ones, mods become a staple in playing games. It is not uncommon to see utility mods being suggested in forums when players pick up older games and there is often functionality built into games to allow players to create mods.

Case Studies or Examples:
- Steam (n.d.), ‘Charts Overview’. Available at: https://store.steampowered.com/charts/ [accessed 24 October 2023]

See also:
- ACMI (2022), ‘Australian cultural institutions unite to collect videogames’. Available at: https://www.acmi.net.au/about/media/media-releases/australian-cultural-institutions-unite-to-collect-videogames/ [accessed 24 October 2023]
- The Videogame Heritage Society, led by the National Videogame Museum, founded in 2022 to bring together organizations and collectors working with videogames. It provides advocacy, expertise, and support in collecting, preserving and displaying video games. See National Video Museum (2020) ‘Videogame Heritage Society’. Available at: https://thenvm.org/about/vhs/ [accessed 24 October 2023]
- The Video Game History Foundation is a 501(c)3 non-profit dedicated to preserving and teaching the history of video games. See Video Game History Foundation (n.d.), ‘Mission’. Available at: https://gamehistory.org/our-mission/ [accessed 24 October 2023]
- The British Film Institute’s “Embracing a wider screen culture” strategy notes the cultural significance of video games and states that they intend to embark on sector research, engagement and knowledge exchange (including on the preservation of video games and digital media). See BFI (n.d.) ‘Embracing a wider screen culture’. Available at: https://blog.bfi.org.uk/long-read/our-ambitions/embracing-a-wider-screen-culture/ [accessed 24 October 2023].
### Trend in 2023:

No change

### Previously:

Critically Endangered

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imminence of Action</th>
<th>Significance of Loss</th>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immediate action necessary. Where detected should be stabilized and reported as a matter of urgency.</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.</td>
<td>It would require a major effort to prevent or reduce losses in this group, possibly requiring the development of new preservation tools or techniques.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Examples

Online News; social media and web-based campaigning; social media relating to 2016 UK/EU referendum; Promises made in Scottish independence referendum 2014; US Environmental Data; UK Public Finance Initiative (PFI) documents; Recordings of Leinster House.

### ‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions

Opaque terms and conditions that facilitate deletion or obfuscation; lack of access to web-harvesting; significant lobby interest; change of administration; data resides in single jurisdiction; reputational risk to collecting institution

### ‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice

Robust political archives; robust preservation services for investigative journalists

### 2023 Review

The nature and extent of political campaigning online continue to become more apparent. This has drawn attention to the manipulation of digital media but not explicitly the issue of deliberate deletion, alteration or concealment. GDPR provides a pretext for the disposal of records. The increased capability of archives to secure the content from outgoing governments and ministers is a source of encouragement, such as in Canada, accusations that the incoming Liberal government had wiped the memory of the outgoing Conservative government were shown to be unfounded. Nonetheless, there is a pressing need for a deep and comprehensive assessment of the risks faced by politically sensitive data and the impact which such deletions have on the public good. That another year should have passed without such an assessment is a matter of serious concern, leading to the 2020 trend towards increased risk, which the 2021 Jury agreed with the continuation of significant political and economic upheaval, in part because of the pandemic, but also because of popular protest and the outcomes of elections around the world. Moreover, they added how it had been widely reported that senior officials in government have avoided scrutiny and record-keeping laws by using self-deleting messaging applications. In these circumstances, politically sensitive records are likely to be at greater risk.

The 2022 Taskforce agreed on a trend towards even greater risk based on the increased significance of elimination, falsification or concealment in light of political upheaval, social and economic inequalities and climate change. The case of political upheaval and protest in Iran has further amplified the risks here. Anonymous digital art and social media activism have burgeoned in response to gendered violence and acts of political repression in the latter half of the year. However, preservation infrastructures, such as national libraries and collecting archives within universities are conflicted, therefore unlikely, unable or unwilling to preserve content that is explicitly and radically critical of the regime.

The 2023 Council agreed with the Critically Endangered classification with overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend). They also provided discussion and comments around GDPR abuses. GDPR can be abused for blocking access to public records and political data. The existence of “special category data” under GDPR is used to justify denying access even to people’s own data. These justifications usually do not reflect the reality of how GDPR works at all but it is used as a way to shut down these challenges.

### Additional Comments
There is a question of whether it is the duty of archives/libraries to preserve the falsification but to instead preserve the constituent pieces to allow researchers to infer elimination, falsification or concealment.

See also:

- World Wide Web Foundation, The Open Data Barometer, which provides a global measure of how governments are publishing and using open data for accountability, innovation and social impact, which looks at the 30 governments that have adopted the Open Data Charter and those that, as G20 members, have committed to G20 Anti-Corruption Open Data Principles. World Wide Web Foundation (n.d.) ‘The Open Data Barometer’. Available at: https://opendatabarometer.org/ [accessed 24 October 2023]
- Example of data rescue work by the Environmental Data & Governance Initiative (EDGI), initially formed in November 2016 to document and analyze changes to environmental governance that would transpire under the Trump Administration. EDGI subsequently became the preeminent watchdog group for material on federal environmental data issues on government websites, and a national leader in highlighting President Trump’s impacts such as declines in EPA enforcement. See Environmental Data & Governance Initiative (n.d.) ‘Archiving Data’. Available at: https://envirodatagov.org/archiving/ [accessed 24 October 2023]

### Pre-WWW ViewData and Teletext Services where no Archival Agency has Captured and Retained the Signal

Pre-WWW television information services broadcast within the TV signal that allowed a degree of search and retrieval of up-to-date information, based on Teletext or ViewData technologies and variants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Sound and Vision</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2017</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Previously: Critically Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imminence of Action</th>
<th>Significance of Loss</th>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immediate action necessary. Where detected should be stabilized and reported as a matter of urgency.</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on many people and sectors.</td>
<td>It would require a major effort to prevent or reduce losses in this group, possibly requiring the development of new preservation tools or techniques.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Examples
AerTel; Electra; MetroText; Antiope-based systems; Ceefax; Teletext

‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions
Lack of understanding; structure of information silos; Lack or loss of documentation; Uncertainty about intellectual property rights; Lack of funding or impetus

‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice
Captured within on-air broadcast recordings; active research and recovery programme

2023 Review
A number of important developments were reported since this entry was added in 2017, raising hope that collections can be recovered and reused under certain circumstances.

A trend towards decreased risk was noted in 2020, based on live capture of broadcast output at the BBC and British Film Institute. Embedded signals can be assembled to access the Teletext content, and methods to recover such signals have been demonstrated (where the appropriate broadcast archive exists). In 2019, a proposal to add teletext graphics characters to the Universal Coded Character Set was accepted, making it easier to transmit and archive teletext and legacy computer graphics for archiving and preservation. Version 13.0 of the Unicode Standard, released in March 2020, included the addition of graphic characters that provide compatibility with early teletext broadcasting standards.

The 2021 Jury agreed with the 2020 review with a 2021 trend towards reduced risk. While it is not yet fully clear how such a signal could be made searchable or made available at scale, research has progressed with different threads of research and enthusiast and community-led initiatives being brought together in the development of preservation tools and techniques. In light of these developments and active research and recovery efforts, the Jury supported a change from the Practically Extinct to Critically Endangered classification. The 2022 Taskforce noted no change to the trend (they agreed these risks remain on the same basis as before).

The 2023 Council noted a lower significance of the digital material of this entry than the previous 2021 Jury but agreed with the Critically Endangered with the overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend).

Additional Comments
From a cultural studies point of view, it is a huge loss: an important source of information about news and social mores of the time. Is there progress to report on this entry, meaning that elements of the problem have been resolved? Major national agencies have collections of off-air recorded television on videotape carriers, which are likely to contain the teletext data. Few, if any, have undertaken substantial extraction and preservation of the teletext in its own right. However, many have digitized videotape carriers to digital file formats, which are now under preservation.

An active Teletext enthusiast community has developed and created programmatic solutions to extract the teletext from the video files and emulation of the teletext display. A next step could be a collaboration between the official agencies and the enthusiast community to develop a systematic programme to extract teletext from off-air recordings for both preservation and access via emulation.

See also:
- The Teletext Archive, a depository for archive teletext services from around the world to be stored for research purposes. See The Teletext Archive (n.d.). Available at: https://www.teletextarchive.com/ [accessed 24 October 2023]
- Teefax (n.d.). Available at: http://teastop.plus.com:8080/ [accessed 24 October 2023]
- The Teletext Art Research Lab (n.d.) Available at: http://teletextart.co.uk/ [accessed 24 October 2023]
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- The Viewdata Frame Database (n.d.) Available at: https://db.viewdata.org.uk/ [accessed 24 October 2023]

### Records of Local Government

Records from local government (i.e., below the state level) which are required for transparency and may be in many diverse forms, but in which the local authority may lack the capacity to manage the complex digital preservation requirements that arise.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Public Records</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>↑ Towards even greater risk</td>
<td>Previously: Critically Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Imminence of Action**

- Action is recommended within twelve months, detailed assessment is a priority.

**Significance of Loss**

The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.

**Effort to Preserve | Inevitability**

- It would require a major effort to prevent or reduce losses in this group, possibly requiring the development of new preservation tools or techniques.

**Examples**

- Born digital records of small and medium-sized agencies; fasting-changing internal manuals, advice or policies shared electronically; records of care services; documentation supporting long-lived contractual relations like Public Finance Initiatives; Organizational Slack channels; network drives; EDRMS; Email.

**‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions**

- Lack of preservation infrastructure; conflation of backup with preservation; loss of authenticity or integrity; long-lived business processes; poor storage; churn of staff; significant volumes or diversity of data; poorly developed digitization; ill-informed records management; poorly developed migration or normalization; long standing protocols or procedures that apply unsuitable paper processes to digital materials; encryption; political instability; lack of sustained funding.

**‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice**

- Well managed data infrastructure; preservation enabled at the point of creation; carefully managed authenticity; use of persistent identifiers; finding aids; well managed records management processes; recognition of preservation requirements; strategic investment in digital preservation; preservation roadmap; participation in digital preservation community.

**2023 Review**

This entry was added in 2019 as a subset of a previous entry for ‘Records of long duration from Local Government or Other Government Agencies.’ The split was intended to allow greater concentration on the challenges that these distinct types of agency face. Local government typically operates across a broad range of digital formats and services, but it is unclear and unlikely that relatively small archival agencies are properly funded locally to support the wide range of digital preservation requirements that arise. The 2020 Jury noted a trend towards
greater risk based on significant political and economic upheaval placing additional strain on local
government and its agencies, making already vulnerable records at greater risk. Trends towards
greater risk was also noted by the 2021 Jury and 2022 Taskforce, contributing examples like
Grenfell to demonstrate the precarity of local government records, especially when these risks
overlap with records of non-governmental agencies, resulting in significance and impact of loss,
the impetus for action and call to governing frameworks where failing in enforcement (and
depending on the jurisdiction).

The 2023 Council generally agreed with the Critically Endangered classification with the overall
risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend).

Additional Comments
The 2023 Council additionally recommended revisiting and rescoping this entry as part of the next
major revision of the Bit List. Some Council members recommended splitting this entry into
separate entries to differentiate the various risks associated with different types of digital public
records, Others raised concerns regarding the breadth of records held by local government, and
that it is perhaps not appropriate to have a distinct entry or split entries for records of local
governments but rather provide examples of different kinds of public records in and across other
entries.

The diversity of 'local government records' makes this category quite difficult to score. First, local
governments have differing responsibilities in different jurisdictions. For example local
governments in the UK have more responsibilities than in Australia. Also, given the number of
local government agencies in a state or country, the quality of recordkeeping and digital
preservation practice can vary greatly. Additionally, the variety of records that are created by local
governments means that some formats or record types may be generally at low risk, while others
may be practically extinct. Given this complexity it is important to make clear that the imminence
of action, significance of loss, and effort to preserve are context-dependent and generalized.

The main factors that reduce risk for these records are that local government is regulated, and
there are clear recordkeeping standards that apply to digital records. Also they have consistent
funding (although it may not be enough and may not be directed at digital preservation).

We feel that due to the breadth of records held by local governments, it is perhaps not
appropriate for them to have a distinct record series, but rather be a featured example of other
series. This approach would still assist in advocacy for local government as they would be able to
cross reference their digital holdings against these classifications.

Significant research by the UK National Archives into Local Government Archives in England
underlines the digital skills shortages that exist, especially with respect to preservation. There may
be a benefit from splitting into a) legally required public record and b) additional information that
may enrich our digital preservation of society. My assumption was that the roles and
requirements for records management are clearly defined, but if this is not the case and there are
inadequate resources to match the requirement, then the risk goes up.

Case Studies or Examples:
- The Grenfell Tower fire and Grenfell Tower Inquiry illustrate the precarity of local
government records, especially when third-party contractors are involved. Not only does
it show the potential impact of aggravating conditions for Records of Local Government,
but it also applies to those of Records of Non-Governmental Agencies. See Grenfell Tower
Inquiry (n.d.) ‘Grenfell Tower Inquiry’. Available at: https://www.grenfelltowerinquiry.org.uk/ [accessed 24 October 2023]

In Scotland, there is record keeping legislation that is relevant and governs some of this, such as the Public Records Scotland Act of 2011. See National Records of Scotland (n.d.), ‘Public Records (Scotland) Act 2011’. Available at: https://www.nrscotland.gov.uk/record-keeping/public-records-scotland-act-2011 [accessed 24 October 2023]

The work and outputs of the EDRMS Preservation Taskforce, such as the EDRMS Preservation Toolkit, may be helpful for guidance in this context. See Digital Preservation Coalition (2021) ‘EDRMS Preservation Toolkit’. Available at: https://www.dpconline.org/digipres/implement-digipres/edrms-preservation-toolkit [accessed 24 October 2023]

The Kickstart Cymru project, which builds on the work that has been undertaken in Wales to preserve and provide access to digital information now and in the future. Underpinned by the Digital Preservation Policy for Wales, it is a multi-stranded initiative involving archivists, researchers, consultants, students and IT professionals to promote digital preservation in the local authority, education and cultural sectors. This included funding for programme partnership of six archive services to support local government collaboration to solve shared problems with one issue identified being the need to provide long term access and to preserve records on business systems with operational lifespans less than the need to preserve the records. It is responsive to specific sectoral needs, but with an overarching aim of enhancing digital preservation capacity. Elements of the initiative include building skills; addressing specific digital preservation issues, co-creation of documentation and providing kits to undertake practical preservation. See Archive Wales (2022), ‘Kickstart Cymru: Enhancing digital preservation capacity in Wales’, Digital Preservation Awards 2022. Available at: https://www.dpconline.org/events/digital-preservation-awards/dpa2022-kickstart-cymru [accessed 24 October 2023].

The issues and approaches raised by the Tuvalu Future Now Project, a set of three major initiatives designed to preserve its nationhood, governance and culture in the event of a worst-case scenario. The third initiative is the development of a digital nation. It includes digitising and transferring access to government and consular services and all accompanying administrative systems into the cloud to enable elections to continue to be held, and government bodies to continue in their roles. It also includes a virtual copy of Te Afualiku, the first island in Tuvalu to be digitally recreated through satellite imagery, photos and drone footage, creating a digital twin to not only help inform decisions around urban planning and development but also examine how to use augmented and virtual reality to allow displaced and future generations of Tuvaluans to continue to exist as both a culture and a nation, complete with ancestral knowledge and value systems. If this concept becomes a reality, the Tuvaluan people will be able to interact with one another in a digital dimension, in a way that imitates real life and helps to preserve shared language and customs. See Fainu, K. (2023) ‘Facing extinction, Tuvalu considers the digital clone of a country’, The Guardian. Available at: https://www.theguardian.com/world/2023/jun/27/tuvalu-climate-crisis-rising-sea-levels-pacific-island-nation-country-digital-clone [accessed 24 October 2023].
### Records of Non-Governmental Agencies

Records of independent agencies and contractors that act on behalf of the state in the delivery of public services, and which may be present in many diverse forms, but for which the NGO or contractors may lack the capacity to meet the complex digital preservation requirements that arise, or may have a business motive to minimize or ignore requirements for the maintenance of the record.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Public Records</th>
<th>Trend in 2022: ↑ Towards even greater risk</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>Trend in 2023: → No change</td>
<td>Previously: Critically Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Imminence of Action**

Action is recommended within twelve months, detailed assessment is a priority.

**Significance of Loss**

The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on many people and sectors.

**Effort to Preserve | Inevitability**

It would require a major effort to prevent or reduce losses in this group, possibly requiring the development of new preservation tools or techniques.

**Examples**

- Born digital records of small and medium-sized agencies; fasting-changing internal manuals, advice or policies shared on intranets or EDRMS; records of care services; historic guidelines and manuals which evidence 'best practice at the time'; Documentation supporting long-lived contractual relations like Public Finance Initiatives; Organizational Slack channels; network drives; EDRMS; Email

**‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions**

- Lack of preservation infrastructure; conflation of backup with preservation; loss of authenticity or integrity; Long-lived business processes; poor storage; churn of staff; significant volumes or diversity of data; poorly developed digitization specifications; ill-informed records management; poorly developed migration or normalizations specifications; longstanding protocols or procedures that apply unsuitable paper processes to digital materials; encryption; political instability; lack of sustained funding; denial of responsibility; failure to include archives within contract from commissioning agency.

**‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice**

- Well managed data infrastructure; preservation enabled at the point of creation; carefully managed authenticity; use of persistent identifiers; finding aids; well managed records management processes; application of records management standards; recognition of preservation requirements at highest levels; strategic investment in digital preservation; transfer protocols to public archive; participation in digital preservation community.

**2023 Review:**

This entry was added in 2019 as a subset of a previous entry for ‘Records of long duration from Local Government or Other Government Agencies.’ The split was intended to allow greater concentration on the challenges that these different types of agency face. Non-governmental organizations typically operate across a broad range of digital formats and services acting on behalf of the public sector. The 2020 Jury noted the trend towards greater risk based on 2020 being a year of significant political and economic upheaval, putting additional strain on NGOs in these circumstances already vulnerable records are likely to be at greater risk. Trends towards greater risk was also noted by the 2021 Jury and 2022 Taskforce, contributing examples like Grenfell to demonstrate the precarity of non-government agencies, especially when these risks
overlap with those of local government, resulting in significance and impact of loss, the impetus for action and call to governing frameworks where failing in enforcement for these agencies (e.g., examining current recordkeeping regimes keeping them accountable).

The 2023 Council generally agreed with the *Critically Endangered* classification with the overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend).

**Additional Comments:**

The 2023 Council additionally recommended to revisit and rescope this entry as part of the next major revision of the Bit List. Some Council members recommended splitting this entry into separate entries to differentiate the various risks associated with different types of digital non-governmental records, Others suggested that it is perhaps not appropriate to have a distinct entry or split entries for records of non-governmental agencies but rather provide examples of different kinds of these digital materials in and across other entries.

There is a large variation in the types of records held by NGOs. Additionally the quality of digital preservation performed by NGOs can vary widely. Therefore, the same approach to scoring was taken for this entry as the one above.

We consider records of NGOs to be at greater risk due to there being less regulation, and the regulations that exist being less stringently enforced.

An additional risk factor for these records is a blurring of the lines of responsibility, which can lead to records ‘falling through gaps’, or to difficulties funding digital preservation practice. This can be further complicated by outdated legislation which does not take into account the complexity of privatisation and public/private partnerships. For example the legislation that PROV operates under is 50 years old. This in turn can lead to regulation and enforcement being more complex than it is for government agencies.

**Case Studies or Examples:**

- The Grenfell Tower fire and Grenfell Tower Inquiry illustrate the precarity of local government records, especially when third-party contractors are involved. Not only does it show the potential impact of aggravating conditions for Records of Local Government, but it also applies to those of Records of Non-Governmental Agencies. See Grenfell Tower Inquiry (n.d.) ‘Grenfell Tower Inquiry’. Available at: [https://www.grenfelltowerinquiry.org.uk/](https://www.grenfelltowerinquiry.org.uk/) [accessed 24 October 2023]

- There can be some grey areas depending on the legislative context. The Public Records Scotland Act 2011, for example, covers government agencies and any non-government contracted to do work on behalf of government agencies. See National Records of Scotland (n.d.), ‘Public Records (Scotland) Act 2011’. Available at: [https://www.nrscotland.gov.uk/record-keeping/public-records-scotland-act-2011](https://www.nrscotland.gov.uk/record-keeping/public-records-scotland-act-2011) [accessed 24 October 2023]

**See also:**

- The Policy Commons has a mission to index and preserve grey literature from IGOs, NGOs, think tanks, governments and, to date, indexing and preserving around 4 million items from c.11,000 institutions from across the world. See Policy Commons (n.d.) Available at: [https://policycommons.net/](https://policycommons.net/) [accessed 24 October 2023]
**Records of Quasi Non-Governmental Agencies**

Records from agencies at arms-length to government whether locally, nationally or internationally. They may be required to maintain archives for the purposes of transparency, sometimes for extended periods, and sometimes in diverse and complicated forms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Public Records</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>↑ Towards even greater risk</td>
<td>Previously: Critically Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Imminence of Action**
Action is recommended within twelve months, detailed assessment is a priority.

**Significance of Loss**
The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.

**Effort to Preserve | Inevitability**
It would require a major effort to prevent or reduce losses in this group, possibly requiring the development of new preservation tools or techniques.

### Examples
Records of non-executive state or national agencies; museum or leisure trusts; industry or public regulators; public audit services; public-good funding and investment agencies; autonomous and semi-autonomous public agencies; sovereign wealth funds; public/private partnerships; publicly owned companies.

### ‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions’
- Lack of preservation infrastructure; conflation of backup with preservation; loss of authenticity or integrity; Long-lived business processes; poor storage; churn of staff; significant volumes or diversity of data; poorly developed digitization specifications; ill-informed records management; poorly developed migration or normalizations specifications; long standing protocols or procedures that apply unsuitable paper processes to digital materials; encryption; political instability; lack of sustained funding.

### ‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice
Well managed data infrastructure; preservation enabled at the point of creation; carefully managed authenticity; use of persistent identifiers; finding aids; well managed records management processes; application of records management standards; recognition of preservation requirements at highest levels; strategic investment in digital preservation; preservation roadmap; participation in digital preservation community.

### 2023 Review
This entry was added in 2019 as a subset of a previous entry for ‘Records of long duration from Local Government or Other Government Agencies.’ The split was intended to allow greater concentration on the challenges that these different types of agencies face. Records of quasi non-governmental agencies are at arm’s length to government, but the ‘QuaNGO’ or ‘ALEO’ (Arms-Length Executive Organization) may lack the capacity to meet complex digital preservation requirements that arise, nor be able to deposit in the government archive. The 2021 Jury added that arm’s length bodies are still public bodies, and they have a duty of care for maintaining evidence of their actions and transactions. They often receive public funding, and depending on the archives, legislation may be required to transfer to an archive. The issue is when there is a lack of clarity regarding the recordkeeping requirements or neglect of records and information once it has outlived its usefulness. These bodies still create records that affect citizen lives and have a
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duty to document, and therefore changed the classification from *Endangered* to *Critically Endangered*. The 2021 Jury and 2022 Taskforce noted a trend towards greater risk when looking at the precarity of records in QuaNGO agencies in periods of significant political and economic upheaval creating greater strains for funding to support preservation capacity.

The 2023 Council generally agreed with the *Critically Endangered* classification with the overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend).

**Additional Comments**

The 2023 Council additionally recommended to revisit and rescope this entry as part of the next major revision of the Bit List. Recommended splitting this entry into separate entries to differentiate the various risks associated with different types of digital records of quasi non-governmental agencies, Others suggested that it is perhaps not appropriate to have a distinct entry or split entries but rather provide examples of different kinds of these digital materials in and across other entries.

There is a large variation in the types of records held by QuaNGOs and/or ALEOs. Additionally the quality of digital preservation performed can vary widely. Therefore, the same approach to scoring was taken for this entry as the one above.

Similar to the risks of NGOs, we consider these records to be at greater risk due to there being less regulation, and the regulations that exist being less stringently enforced.

An additional risk factor for these records is a blurring of the lines of responsibility, which can lead to records ‘falling through gaps’, or to difficulties funding digital preservation practice. This can be further complicated by outdated legislation which does not take into account the complexity of privatisation and public/private partnerships.

Although the split draws attention to the different pressures faced by QuaNGOs it could be further subdivided into legally required public records and additional information that may enrich our digital preservation of society. The classification assumes that the roles and requirements for records management are clearly defined, but if this is not the case or there are inadequate resources to match the requirements, then the risk goes up.

While the 2022 trend shows increases in risk there are some green shoots of hope in Ireland found when working actively with the agencies, and communicating some of the concerns they have for their data so there’s better awareness and hopefully that will turn into action.

*See also:*

### Smart TV Apps

Applications created and designed to run on televisions with integrated internet features (Smart TVs). Many of the apps are deprecated quickly or undergo frequent updates. It is hard to find rootable versions of them and is often dependent upon the company that publishes them, particularly those designed for older TVs that are no longer manufactured. There is no clear agency or mandate to record or collect.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Apps</th>
<th>New Entry</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Imminence of Action</strong></td>
<td>Action is recommended within twelve months, detailed assessment is a priority.</td>
<td><strong>Significance of Loss</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**Effort to Preserve</td>
<td>Inevitability**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Examples**

- UlangoTV; ITV Hub; Plex; apps created for older or no longer manufactured TVs

- **‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions**
  - Device dependence; Poor documentation; Lack of preservation interest or mandate by company; Deletion of stores or apps

- **‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice**
  - Strong documentation; Development of tools to archive stores and preserve menus online via the WARC format, and for HTML5 and Flash only based smart TV media; Designated repository taking preservation responsibility and capacity to deliver

**2023 Review**

This is a new Bit List entry added in 2023 to draw attention to the particular challenges of content and software preservation for apps designed and used for smart TVs. While there are some similarities with the ‘Smartphone Apps’ entry in regard to device dependency, frequent updates, and lack of preservation interest or mandate by companies that publish them, this entry focuses on the distinct risks relating to the availability and access to apps made specifically for smart TVs, which can be more short-lived, variable, and challenging to access and find a rootable version for preservation actions. These apps, designed and used specifically for smart TVs, are deprecated quickly or undergo frequent updates. It is challenging to maintain version control and preserve the content. Preservation efforts often depend upon the availability and access to rootable versions of the apps by the companies that publish them, particularly for older TVs that are no longer manufactured. There also remains a lack of clear agency or mandate to record or collect from corporate owners and barriers to access for preservation efforts outside this context.

**Additional Comments**

The 2023 Bit List Council also recommends that the next major review for the Bit List consider creating a new ‘Broadcast’ species group where this entry may be better suited. Council members additionally noted that it may be better to have a broader smart device apps entry rather than specifically Smart TV Apps and Smartphone Apps as separate standalone entries. The risks posed by these apps can apply to a variety of devices; for example, before Smartphones there were PDAs, and there are now Smart fridges, set-top-boxes, fire sticks, e-book readers, and other devices which have apps. For this reason, they recommend that the next major review for the Bit List includes a rescoping of Smart TV Apps and Smartphone Apps to consider: What differentiates these apps from others? What cultural heritage purpose do these apps serve? Are organizations collecting them? Are there distinct aggravating factors or risk profiles?
In regard to preservation actions, rooting methods for old smart TVs are often non-existent or hard to do (and sometimes manageable when they do exist); it is challenging to buy or find owners of older smart TV units with removed-from-the-store apps that have rootable versions and/or is willing to root.

The content these apps contain can hold social and cultural significance; they can provide a history of television, entertainment, and exclusive or unique content only made available through the apps specifically designed for smart TVs. Although there is a small community of people interested in old smart TVs and a bigger one focused on new ones too; In the future, when the technologies become even more obsolete, there will be researchers and others interested in looking back at Smart TVs as a piece of the history of entertainment and even culture.

**Case Studies or Examples:**
- The nominator of this entry provided UlangoTV as an example of an archive of old smart TV apps. The domain of UlangoTV, an app that aggregated live Internet Protocol Television (IPTV) streams, was taken down by the Alliance for Creativity and Entertainment (ACE). Domain records show that Ulango.tv is now owned by the Motion Picture Association, indicating an agreement and handover took place; at the time of writing, servers hosting the smart TV app archive are currently in an evidence locker with no indications for releasing without paying to get the full archive. See Maxwell, A. (2020) ‘ACE Shuts Down UlangoTV ‘Pirate’ IPTV App, Seizes Domain’, TorrentFreak News. Available at: [https://torrentfreak.com/ace-shuts-down-ulango-tv-pirate-iptv-app-seizes-domain-200113/](https://torrentfreak.com/ace-shuts-down-ulango-tv-pirate-iptv-app-seizes-domain-200113/). [accessed 24 October 2023]
- The postmarketOS wiki offers a central guide on smart TV rooting methods for different models. postmarketOS (n.d.) ‘Category:TV’. Available at: [https://wiki.postmarketos.org/wiki/TVs](https://wiki.postmarketos.org/wiki/TVs) [accessed 24 October 2023]

---

**Smartphone Apps**

Applications created for smartphones. Many are deprecated quickly but others survive through multiple update cycles. It is hard to maintain version control and is often dependent upon the company that publishes them. There is no clear agency or mandate to record or collect.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Apps</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Added to List: 2017</th>
<th>Trend in 2023:</th>
<th>Previously: Critically Endangered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Imminence of Action**

Immediate action necessary. Where detected should be stabilized and reported as a matter of urgency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Significance of Loss</th>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The loss of tools or services within this group would have a global impact.</td>
<td>Loss seems inevitable: loss has already occurred or is expected to occur before tools or techniques develop.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Examples**
Digital Preservation Coalition

London 2012 app; BBC Olympic app; apps published for Apple iOS 10 or earlier; apps for less-
common operating systems such as Palm OS, Symbian, Blackberry OS.

‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions
Device dependence; poor documentation; uncertainty over IPR; short-term contracts; lack of
skills, commitment or policy from corporate owners; rapid churn of OS; shifting business
requirements of app resellers; dependence on exotic or obsolete formats or OS processes.

‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice
Strong documentation; version control for code and compiled app; emulation enabled;
designated repository taking preservation responsibility and capacity to deliver

2023 Review
This entry was added in 2017 to draw attention to the challenges of software preservation and
the extraordinary velocity of the market for apps. Given the speed of change, it is hard to see how
digital preservation efforts can keep pace. The 2019 Jury noted that splitting this entry into
different groups based on the platform would clarify differences, although the risks would be
largely the same.

The 2021 Jury discussed this further in light of the new 2021 ‘Smartphone Gaming’ entry, which
can be considered a subset of this category as well as Gaming in which it is currently assigned. The
2021 Jury found no identifiable trend towards greater or reduced risk but discussed the impact of
loss; some loss seems inevitable due to the changes that have taken place in mobile ecosystems
over the past 15 years. The 2022 Taskforce noted no change to trend (they agreed these risks
remain on the same basis as before with no significant increase or decrease over the preceding
year).

The 2023 Council agreed with the Critically Endangered classification with no change to trend but
also recommended a change to significance of loss; the significance of loss was increased from the
previous year’s reviews, suggesting that the loss of tools or services within this group could have a
larger global impact. Obsolescence plays a large part; the rate of change of smart operating
systems is high as are the number of apps, which means the obsolescence rate is high and
furthermore a large number of vendors are also leaving the market which means their specific
apps will also vanish. While the Council agreed the entry description should be updated to reflect
these areas of discussion, overall risks remain and continue on the same basis as before (no
change to trend).

Additional Comments
Members of the 2023 Council additionally noted that it may be better to have a broader smart
device apps entry rather than specifically Smartphone Apps and Smart TV Apps as separate
standalone entries. The risks posed by these apps can apply to a variety of devices; for example,
before Smartphones there were PDAs, and there are now Smart fridges, set-top-boxes, fire sticks,
e-book readers, and other devices which have apps. For this reason, they recommend that the
next major review for the Bit List includes a rescoping of Smartphone Apps and Smart TV Apps to
consider: What differentiates these apps from others? What cultural heritage purpose do these
apps serve? Are organizations collecting them? Are there distinct aggravating factors or risk
profiles?

Old versions of apps are completely lost to most users: once you upgrade an app, you typically
cannot go back. Perhaps iOS is more critical - at least with Android, you can often get .apk from
the internet separate from the marketplace. The NSRL contains hundreds of thousands of mobile
applications which are not being actively preserved but could be if a mandate existed. An
extension to Legal Deposit might be possible.
The faster we act, the less we will lose. It is unlikely that there will ever be one agent with a mandate to collect different apps available in different countries, so a network of national organizations would be needed. The companies that create these apps are the key to the licensing challenges, and conversation with them is necessary, though it would need to happen immediately in order to negotiate the right to preserve/escrow both apps, operating systems, documentation, and phone development emulators.

Messaging apps such as WhatsApp or Telegram have had an increased media presence in the last couple of years due to their role in a number of politics-related issues that have arisen, such as concerns about UK Cabinet Ministers using the auto-delete function which could compromise accountability and transparency of the UK government. Telegram has also gained importance due to its use in the Russia-Ukraine war for sharing news.

Case Studies or Examples:
- The Emerging Formats project from the British Library (working with the UK legal deposit libraries) is focused on the collection of three format types: eBook mobile apps, web-based interactive narratives and structured data. See British Library (2022), ‘Emerging formats’. Available at: https://www.bl.uk/projects/emerging-formats, [accessed 24 October 2023].

See also:
- Allyn, B. (2022) ‘Telegram is the app of choice in the war in Ukraine despite experts’ privacy concerns’, NPR. Available at: https://www.npr.org/2022/03/14/1086483703/telegram-ukraine-war-russia [accessed 24 October 2023].
## Smartphone Gaming

Smartphone gaming includes all games that were designed to be played on mobile devices such as smartphones or tablets. It is an example of contemporary digital culture but is often considered less important than other games. Many require community engagement for a game to function, similar to Massively Multiplayer Online (MMO) Gaming.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Gaming, Apps</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2021</td>
<td>Trend in 2023:</td>
<td>Previously: Critically Endangered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imminence of Action</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment in one year.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance and Impact</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on a large group of people and sectors.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effort to Preserve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inevitability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It would require a major effort to prevent or reduce losses in this group, including the development of new preservation tools or techniques.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Examples**

Pokémon GO, Candy Crush, FG/O, Clash of Clans, Angry Birds

### ‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions

Uncertainty over IPR; short term contracts; lack of skills, commitment or policy from corporate owners; rapid churn of OS and updates; shifting business requirements of app resellers; dependence on exotic or obsolete formats or OS processes; loss of underlying code or gaming engine; limited or no commercial interest; dependency on remote servers that are closed; limited recognition of value of game play; over-dependence on goodwill of ad-hoc community

### ‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice

IPR supportive of preservation; strong documentation; version control for code and compiled app; source code; emulation pathway; trusted designated repository or community taking preservation responsibility and capacity to deliver; inclusion by agencies that collect games on other platforms

### 2023 Review

This was a new entry submitted through the 2021 open nomination process. There are overlaps between this entry and others relating to both Gaming and Smartphone Apps. The 2021 Jury recruited additional expertise for a recommendation on which category it better fits and agreed with the expert recommendation to assign the Gaming category but keep as a separate entry to emphasize that smartphone gaming shares preservation issues with video games that are exacerbated by issues unique to smartphones.

The 2023 Council agreed with keeping smartphone gaming as a separate entry but added two new entries to complement this one, Console games and PC games.

### Additional Comments

Smartphone games such as Pokémon GO, etc. have large active communities of players and fandoms. The argument is that in relation to gaming, mobile gaming does not have the same community of support for DP as well as a higher impact given that smartphone games have a wider general audience amongst demographics that may not play PC or Console games due to the increased accessibility of mobile games as well as the more “casual” nature of the games.
It is worth noting here that the Emerging Formats project from the British Library (working with the UK legal deposit libraries), is focused on the collection of three format types; eBook mobile apps, web-based interactive narratives and structured data. The enhanced curation method detailed in Florence Smith Nicholls and Giulia Carla Rossi’s report *Collecting complex digital publications: testing an enhanced curation method* has potential to be applied to the broader topic of smartphone games.

**Case Studies or Examples:**
- British Library (2022), ‘Emerging formats’. Available at: [https://www.bl.uk/projects/emerging-formats](https://www.bl.uk/projects/emerging-formats) [accessed 24 October 2023].
- Davison, P. (2021), ‘Game Preservation Society races to save the i-mode library of mobile games’, *Rice Digital*. Available at: [https://ricedigital.co.uk/saving-the-i-mode-library/](https://ricedigital.co.uk/saving-the-i-mode-library/) [accessed 24 October 2023].
- There is a Wikipedia entry providing a list of the most played mobile games by player count. See Wikipedia (n.d.) ‘List of most-played mobile games by player count’. Available at: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_most-played_mobile_games_by_player_count](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_most-played_mobile_games_by_player_count) [accessed 24 October 2023].

**See also:**
- The Videogame Heritage Society, led by the National Videogame Museum, founded in 2022 to bring together organizations and collectors working with videogames. See National Video Museum (2020) ‘Videogame Heritage Society’. Available at: [https://thenvm.org/about/vhs/](https://thenvm.org/about/vhs/) [accessed 24 October 2023]
- The Video Game History Foundation is a 501(c)3 non-profit dedicated to preserving and teaching the history of video games. See Video Game History Foundation (n.d.), ‘Mission’. Available at: [https://gamehistory.org/our-mission/](https://gamehistory.org/our-mission/) [accessed 24 October 2023]
- The British Film Institute’s “Embracing a wider screen culture” strategy notes the cultural significance of video games and states that they intend to embark on sector research, engagement and knowledge exchange (including on the preservation of video games and digital media). See BFI (n.d.) ‘Embracing a wider screen culture’. Available at: [https://blog.bfi.org.uk/long-read/our-ambitions/embracing-a-wider-screen-culture/](https://blog.bfi.org.uk/long-read/our-ambitions/embracing-a-wider-screen-culture/) [accessed 24 October 2023].

**Unpublished Research Data**
Data sets produced in the course of research but never shared or made available outside of the initial research team
### Digital Species: Research Outputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>↓ Material improvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Added to List: 2019

| Trend in 2023: | |
|---------------| |
| ↓ Material improvement | Precedently: Practically Extinct |

#### Imminence of Action

Action is recommended within twelve months, detailed assessment is a priority.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Significance of Loss</th>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.</td>
<td>Loss seems likely: by the time tools or techniques have been developed the material will likely have been lost.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Examples

Unpublished research data can include different kinds of unpublished research data outputs, such as unstructured or structured datasets, databases, or other organized collections of computerized information or data such as periodical articles, books, graphics and multimedia.

#### ‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions

Originating researcher no longer active or changed research focus; staff on temporary contracts; dependence on single student or staff member; weak or fluid institutional commitment to subject matter; weak institutional commitment to data sharing; complicated or contested intellectual property; encryption; limited or dysfunctional data management planning.

#### ‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice

Replication and documentation; data management plan; preservation pathway agreed

#### 2023 Review

This entry was added in 2019 as a subset of the ‘Unpublished Research Outputs’ reported in 2018, which was split into entries to draw attention to the different preservation requirements and concerns that arise. This entry relates specifically to research data which has not been shared or published by any means and is thus in contravention of the ‘FAIR’ principles which require data to be Findable, Accessible, Interoperable and Reusable. Without proper planning, research data can have a high barrier to re-use, especially where documentation is lacking. The Jury takes the view that documentation and re-use go hand in hand, and researchers should be under no illusions that data not documented or shared faces material and immediate risks of extinction. Over the years, there have been numerous attempts to address the risk of data loss, and it was the 2019 Jury’s hope that this is now a small group. The 2021 Jury agreed with the description and Practically Extinct classification but added a trend towards reduced risk in light of more robust collaborative initiatives to jointly address the risk of data loss in and across research communities.

The 2022 Taskforce agreed on a trend towards reduced risk based on material improvement over the last year that have not only offered examples of good research data management and preservation practices but also suggest a significant shift towards culture of change and collaboration across different research communities and stakeholders. These include (but are not limited to) improvements and initiatives by the European Open Science Cloud (EOSC), Science Europe, Research Data Alliance (RDA), Digital Curation Centre (DCC) and related projects on the preservation of research data and outputs.

The 2023 Council changed the classification from Practically Extinct to Critically Endangered. This change was due to the fact that there is a positive trend of increased research data management activity and engagement by libraries, which should help to ensure that more research datasets are properly deposited in data repositories. There is a general trend across many if not most HEI libraries that are producing research to do more in terms of research data management and much larger part of what libraries do, with activities in this area growing and scaling up. However, the scale of unpublished datasets is hard to assess, as they are by definition unknown. Due to this, it was recommended that the classification change to Critically Endangered.

#### Additional Comments
If we do not know it exists, does it exist? It may also be that in certain circumstances this includes data that is unfavourable and has intentionally not been published. If perceived as high-value, someone in the research team will likely take steps to ensure it is protected. We can be proactive and offer advice, but ultimately it is down to them. We cannot keep everything!

This is a wide field, so the scale and impact are hard to describe, but the risk is higher than papers due to potential file format complexity.

Success is dependent on how successful an institution’s research data management communications are. Advocacy and research are needed to show the scale of the problem, as well as education regarding open science and preservation.

Simply having a data management plan prepared is not sufficient, it needs to be properly implemented and kept up to date and relevant for both the researcher and the repository which will take a copy of the data. DMP should be used to appraise what data is worth long term preservation (e.g. NERC Data Value Check List), and what data is of lower quality, non-reusable, and even a reputational risk should it be shared further.

### Unpublished Research Data from Government Researchers

Data sets and research outputs produced in the course of government research but never shared or made available outside of the initial research. In particular, the risk classification applies to research data under government embargo, restrictions due to sensitivities, classification issues, and/or materials suppressed for ideological reasons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Research Outputs</th>
<th>Trend in 2022: No change</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>Trend in 2023: No change</td>
<td>Previously: Critically Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Imminence of Action

Action is recommended within twelve months; detailed assessment is a priority.

### Significance of Loss

The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on many people and sectors.

### Effort to Preserve | Inevitability

Loss seems likely: by the time tools or techniques have been developed the material will likely have been lost.

### Examples

Data sets or research outputs produced for agencies that have closed or have had funding withdrawn from research initiatives, research data from government agencies that is no longer active.

‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions

Lack of access to archival services; sudden or unanticipated closure; loss of implicit knowledge from destabilized or demoralized staff; encryption

‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice

Archival responsibility well developed; documentation; published through research channels.

### 2023 Review

This entry was added in 2019 under ‘Unpublished Research Data from US Govt Researchers’ It has significant overlaps with other entries in the research outputs group but was retained to draw attention to two realities: firstly that research outputs are not simply a matter for academic
institutions, and that government is, in fact, a major producer of research data; and secondly that political instability and threats to the continuity of government services are a significant preservation risk. The 2019 entry description noted that while it related to the US, it did not mean that other jurisdictions are immune from political instability and commented that politically inconvenient research outputs face particular and immediate threats of which the digital preservation community should be cognizant.

The 2021 Jury agreed with this concern and broader applicability but recommended that this should be more explicit, and both title and description should be changed to broaden and include governments across national and international contexts. This change does mean that the risk profile will range and depend on the political system, the political change and the measures in place to save and reuse data from disbanded research projects; in other words, there may be instances where the unpublished research data in one country may fall under the Vulnerable category.

The 2023 Council agreed with the Critically Endangered classification but recommended getting an expert in this area for the next review. A further recommendation was made that this should not be an individual entry and instead be an example under the Unpublished Research Data entry.

**Additional Comments**
The US made the news as part of the last government, but this is probably an issue in other countries as well and is, therefore, a category that could be made more generic. One question to ask is whether the research data is considered of long-term value or considered ephemeral?

**Web Domains with No Legal Deposit**
This entry regards the preservation of websites and domains that fall outside a remit of legal deposit (or no legal deposit mandate exists). Web archiving is able to capture large quantities of materials with routine and standards-based tools, but there are significant issues arising with intellectual property rights associated with website capture and republication. In many jurisdictions, but by no means all, those obstacles are overcome by regulations that enable a national library or other ‘legal deposit’ agency to copy and preserve content. Where no such permission exists, there is a significant risk of loss.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Web</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>No change</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>Trend in 2023:</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Previously: Critically Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Imminence of Action**
Immediate action necessary. Where detected should be stabilized and reported as a matter of urgency.

**Significance of Loss**
The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on many people and sectors.

**Effort to Preserve | Inevitability**
Loss seems inevitable: loss has already occurred or is expected to occur before tools or techniques develop.

**Examples**
Domains registered without a country code; domains with a country code but weak or unenforceable legal deposit permission to harvest.

‘Practically Extinct’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lack of legal deposit mandate or remit; rapid churn of websites; lack of access to Internet Archive harvest; contentious content; encryption; digital rights management; non-standard content management.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### ‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Good Practice

Permissive approach to Legal deposit; legislation to support and/or manage associated risks

### 2023 Review

This entry was added in 2019. It is characterized by regulatory barriers rather than technical ones, though the pace of change in web technologies, as well as the growth of web content, mean that significant technical challenges still exist. The 2019 Jury noted that local conditions are also a significant factor. For example, websites often also fall under public records legislation or are important elements of corporate records: and so important parts of the web are harvested even when there is no explicit legal deposit legislation. Moreover, the Jury particularly recognizes the work of the Internet Archive to capture and preserve content. Even so, there are significant gaps in web archiving, and in too many cases, it is regulation that is the barrier. The 2021 Jury agreed with this description and classification but added that in some limited instances, pywb tools (as opposed to automated web crawlers like Heritrix) could effectively capture the look and feel of a platform interface, preserving legacy versions for users to interact with in the future. However, pywb tools are manual and therefore cannot address the scale of this issue. They also do not capture interfaces in a way that makes it possible to recreate them in the future, only interact with a defined set of web pages. For this growing issue of scale, the 2021 Trend was towards greater risk. The 2022 Taskforce noted no change to the trend.

The 2023 Council agreed with the Critically Endangered classification and noted an increase in imminence and inevitability of loss, recognizing that while the need for major efforts to prevent or reduce losses continues, it is now much more likely that loss of material has already occurred, and will continue to do so, by the time tools or techniques have been developed. While the Council agreed the entry description should be updated to reflect these areas of discussion, overall risks remain and continue on the same basis as before (no change to trend).

### Additional Comments

There is not only a significant risk of loss to the content but also risk of loss of access. Unless the Internet Archive is picking these up, the early web or permission regimes are in place, and these early instances are gone forever and will continue to be lost.
8. Endangered

Digital materials are listed *Endangered* when they face material technical challenges to preservation or responsibility for care is poorly understood, or where the responsible agencies are poorly equipped to meet preservation needs.

This classification includes *Vulnerable* materials in the presence of aggravating conditions, and *Critically Endangered* materials in the presence of good practice.
## 3D Digital Engineering Drawings

3D digital engineering models produced as part of building or engineering design processes. The production of such drawings has progressed from a digital analogue of paper to complex digital environments such as BIM (Building Information Modelling) which combine original drawings, libraries of compound objects, and links to external data sets such as about the performance of materials and maintenance of parts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Engineering Data</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2017</td>
<td>→ No change</td>
<td>Previously: Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imminence of Action</th>
<th>Significance of Loss</th>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on many people and sectors.</td>
<td>It would require a major effort to prevent or reduce losses in this group, possibly requiring the development of new preservation tools or techniques.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Examples
Building Information Management (BIM), Computer Aided Design (CAD), Product Data Management in engineering and architecture,

**‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions**
Lack of preservation mandate or collecting institution; lack of preservation capability in data owner; irregularities in supply chains; complex or long data supply chains; dependencies on proprietary software or formats; lack of persistent identifiers; poorly managed IPR; temporary joint-venture companies; poor records management; poor regulatory compliance; encryption.

**‘Vulnerable’ in the Presence of Good Practice**
Well managed data infrastructure; preservation from the point creation; carefully managed IPR; persistent identifiers; well managed records management processes; recognition of preservation requirements at highest levels; strategic investment in digital preservation; host clearly identified; participation in the digital preservation community.

### 2023 Review
This entry was first submitted in 2017 when the Jury lacked the capacity to consider it in detail. In 2019 it was assessed with additional expertise co-opted, with the decision to remain a very broad category, including major one-off construction and engineering projects, a long tail of more minor building programmes, and large volume but homogeneous production processes in engineering. The 2021 Jury agreed with its *Endangered* status. The key consideration is that the lifecycle of the products and the data that describes them vastly exceeds the short life cycles of the infrastructures on which they are designed. This challenge is compounded by supply chains that may involve many different stages of production, as well as the delivery of large projects through transitory joint ventures companies that have no residual mechanism or capacity to preserve the data thereafter. Although there have been advancements in the development of new preservation tools and techniques for these materials, there are recent examples of the loss of 3D architectural drawings; these have had a huge impact, especially at the local level, as well as significant impacts on infrastructure, travel, and how people interact with built environments throughout the world. The 2021 trend moved towards greater risk to reflect this.

The 2023 Council agreed with the *Endangered* classification and seconded the trend reported last time; risks continue on the same basis as before with no significant trends towards even greater
or reduced risk. Most of the complexities of the format and issues remain the same, such as reliance on proprietary software and complex or unknown copyright with the datasets. Moving forwards, it was highlighted by the Council that there needs to be a greater focus and understanding on the long term preservation of these outputs within the sector.

Additional Comments

Data in this category enables the safety and security of critical infrastructure, but the responsibility to maintain data is unclear, nor are retention periods clear. Although examples of good practice exist, the extent to which there are working solutions at large seems doubtful, and it is surprising that there are not more diverse success stories to report.

Case Studies or Examples:

● The Grenfell Tower Inquiry offers a case to consider how the loss of 3D Digital Engineering Drawings can have a huge impact, especially at the local level. For example, if Grenfell had been done using 3D technologies, do we have confidence that those materials would have been adequately preserved? What would have been the local impact? What would have been the impact on the inquiry? See Grenfell Tower Inquiry (n.d.) ‘Grenfell Tower Inquiry’. Available at: https://www.grenfelltowerinquiry.org.uk/ [accessed 24 October 2023]

● In 2006, it was reported that the Airbus A380 was 2 years behind schedule due to different offices using different versions of the CATIA CAD/CAM software. See Shelly, T. (2006) ‘What can go wrong when you give IT the large’, Manufacturing Management. Available at: https://www.manufacturingmanagement.co.uk/content/features/what-can-go-wrong-when-you-give-it-the-large/ [accessed 24 October 2023]

See also:

● The DPC Design and Construction Records technology watch report, which aims to support archival professionals as well as active designers and facilities managers, considering acquisition, preservation, and access approaches that account for both the technical and cultural components of the broad range of born-digital design and construction records created throughout the course of designing, building, and maintaining a built space. As well as bringing together a helpful summary of relevant work in this area and discussing a range of case studies it also covers the concept of visual digital literacy which is the first step towards understanding and managing this content. See Leventhal, A, and Thompson, J. (2021) ‘Preserving Born-Digital Design and Construction Records’, DPC Technology Watch Report 21-01. Available at: http://doi.org/10.7207/twr21-01

### Born Digital Photographs and Video shared via Social Media Platforms

Digital images or video with no analogue equivalent and where the only copy is online with a social media platform. This entry includes images or videos created and shared as part of personal digital archiving, but also for businesses and others publishing data only via these services. Users of these services will likely lose their data if social media companies fold or make extracting or downloading data difficult.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Social Media, Sound and Vision, Web, Cloud</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2018</td>
<td>➔ No change</td>
<td>Previously: Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Imminence of Action
Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.

#### Significance of Loss
The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.

#### Effort to Preserve | Inevitability
Loss seems likely: by the time tools or techniques have been developed, the material will likely have been lost.

### Examples
Flickr; Vimeo; YouTube; Instagram; Periscope; Snapchat; TikTok; Vine; Facebook; X (previously Twitter)

### ‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions
- lack of preservation capacity in provider; lack of explicit preservation commitment or incentive from provider to preserve; lack of storage replication by provider; dependence on proprietary products or formats; poor management of data protection; inaccessibility to automated web crawlers; political or commercial interference; lack of offline equivalent; over-abundance; poorly managed intellectual property rights; lossy compression applied in upload scripts.

### ‘Vulnerable’ in the Presence of Good Practice
- Offline backup; lossless compression; good documentation; access to web harvesting; clarity of intellectual property rights that enable preservation; credible preservation commitment from service provider; export pathway.

### 2023 Review
This entry was added by the 2018 Jury as a subset of a broader social media entry first introduced in 2017 to draw attention to the different challenges faced by the growing volumes of photographs and video recordings on social media continuing to rise and, therefore, the scale of the challenge to ensure a meaningful legacy aggravated by the issue of overabundance in which appraisal decisions for preservation or deletion are overwhelmed. The entry shares many challenges with others in the social media group, with a dependency on a global service provider whose business model can only be presumed and tied to users via asymmetrical contracts that favour the supplier. Moreover, because these services have a low barrier to entry, they are used by agencies or individuals least able to respond to closure or loss.

Both the 2020 and 2021 reviews of the entry noted a trend towards greater risk. The 2020 trend referenced the closure of the EverAlbum photo storage and changes to the Flickr free service, which provided examples of the short turnaround of closures within the photo-sharing community and pointed to the volatility in the market. The 2021 trend was added in light of surrounding global crises (predominantly the coronavirus pandemic, compounded by vaccine hesitancy, but also the deterioration of the world’s democracies) as a result of widespread
misinformation, increasing the significance and impact of loss of digital materials. The 2022 Taskforce agreed these risks remain on the same basis as before, with no significant trend towards even greater or reduced risk (‘no change’ to trend).

The 2023 Council agreed with the *Endangered* classification and noted an increase in effort to preserve, recognizing that while the need for major efforts to prevent or reduce losses continues, it is now much more likely that loss of material has already occurred, and will continue to do so, by the time tools or techniques develop.

The 2023 Council also recommended adjusting this and other social media entries in light of how web-based and cloud-based business products and services have developed in recent years. This restructuring and revision included:

- **Narrowing the scope.** The scope of the entry has been narrowed to draw attention to the challenges of preserving images and videos disseminated through social media platforms specifically (e.g., sharing-driven and social networking platforms such as YouTube, Instagram and TikTok). These challenges primarily relate to harvesting and managing the images, video recordings and data generated by users’ interactions on web-based networking platforms.
- **As part of the above rescoping,** the entry’s name was changed from ‘Born Digital Photographs and Video Shared via Social Media or Uploaded to Cloud Services’ to ‘Born Digital Photographs and Video Shared via Social Media Platforms’, and information concerning cloud-based aspects were incorporated into the ‘Cloud-based Services and Communications Platforms’ entry to more clearly differentiate the risks associated with cloud hosting and computing technologies.

### Additional Comments

The 2023 Council additionally recommended that the next major review revisit and consider merging the Born Digital Photographs and Video shared via Social Media Platforms and Consumer Social Media Free at the Point of Use entries. This is mostly due to the fact that so many of the ‘regular’ social media platforms have tended toward more ways to mimic or copy TikTok style videos, and making the distinction will become harder in the future since they all have similar functionality and ways to create photo/video content.

We can point to some examples of content only on YouTube, for instance, that may be desired for acquisition for a library. Typically, YouTube would be acquired through web archiving, but with recent and ongoing challenges capturing this content, it may require contacting the creator to try to acquire the original video files to preserve through other workflows. This creates the challenge of determining who to reach out to, how to transfer those files and, if the files only exist on the social media platform, how to extract them to transfer to an organization for preservation. With crawling capabilities limited these days, Libraries will have to rely more on individuals archiving their own content and donating it to organizations. It’s not clear what that workflow looks like and if there are adequate methods to support it.

The types of users for these services vary greatly - from a private individual uploading a few videos to share with friends to major agencies who use the platform to disseminate important information or research. The extent to which private individuals and even large institutions are aware of digital preservation risks is unclear, though anecdotal evidence suggests that awareness is extremely low. Therefore, it can be assumed that most users (regardless of the significance of their content) do not keep local copies or take other measures to mitigate the risk of loss from these types of platforms. Additionally, risk varies from platform to platform. YouTube, for example, only allows low-quality downloads even for content owners. Therefore, if a content
owner lost or deleted an original video file, it would be impossible to recover a high-quality copy from YouTube.

The vast majority of content may be accessible for as long as the platform where it is hosted is popular (and has a viable business model); however, more insidious content (such as malicious misinformation or hate speech) may be deleted by content creators (potentially backed by hostile governments) to avoid prosecution or tracing. It is unclear to what extent these platform providers are compelled to provide access to servers / deleted content or private content for evidential purposes in the course of legal or criminal investigations. The lack of transparency and standardized international regulation of these platforms make their content vulnerable to exploitation and malicious use by individuals, corporations, and hostile governments.

With digital materials from premium or institutional social media services, the business model and sustainability are more obvious, and contracts may be enforceable more readily. Moreover, because these services have a slightly higher barrier to entry, they may be favoured by agencies better able to respond to closure or loss. Traditional web archiving can be employed where the user pays for a service, but the content is ultimately publicly available (such as Flickr). But much is unclear about how to preserve internal social media / closed networks that web archiving cannot get to or existing tools do not cover.

**Case Studies or Examples:**

  
  
  
- The changes to the Flickr free service also provides an example. However, they have since given thought to the issue, referred to as the ‘data lifeboat’. See Gartenberg, C., (2018) ‘Flickr will end 1TB of free storage and limit free users to 1,000 photos’, *The Verge*. Available at: https://www.theverge.com/2018/11/1/18051950/flickr-1000-photo-limit-free-accounts-changes-pro-subscription-smugmug [accessed 24 October 2023]
  
- Flickr Foundation (2023) ‘Designing a Data Lifeboat’. Available at: https://www.flickr.org/programs/content-mobility/data-lifeboat/ [accessed 24 October 2023]
  
- The Terms of Service; Didn’t Read (ToS;DR) project, started in June 2012 provides a useful resource and reference for issues relating to content sharing platforms and social media terms of service. See Terms of Service Didn’t Read (2012). Available at: https://tosdr.org/ [accessed 24 October 2023]
  
- Museums, Libraries, and Archives have begun to pay attention to this content through projects like Collecting Social Photo (CoSoPho), but no breakthroughs have been made. See The Finnish Museum of Photography (2017-2020) ‘Collecting Social Photo’. Available
Cloud-based Services and Communications Platforms

Digital content produced, stored and accessed within commercial cloud-based services and communications platforms. This entry broadly includes services based on a costed subscription and contract business models, premium or institutional versions, and also free online utilities offered at no cost to end-users but with a business model based on gathering and reselling consumer insights.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Cloud</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Added to List: 2019</th>
<th>Trend in 2023:</th>
<th>Previously: Endangered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imminence of Action</th>
<th>Significance of Loss</th>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended within twelve months; detailed assessment is a priority.</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.</td>
<td>Loss seems likely: by the time tools or techniques have been developed the material will likely have been lost.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Examples

Google services such as Drive, Docs, Sheets; Microsoft services such as Sharepoint, Teams; Slack, Prezi, Yammer, Dropbox

‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions

Unstable business model from service providers; abandonment of the service due to various reasons (e.g., service provider bought over or pivots to new market opportunities); lack of export functionality; unstable terms and conditions; lack of onsite copy of key media; lack of investment in infrastructure; lack of strategic plan for IT provision; confusion on IPR; conflating preservation and access.

‘Vulnerable’ in the Presence of Good Practice

Clear export and migration pathways; preservation responsibility shouldered by the service provider; Offline backup for key media; fit to preservation and records management plan; strategic roadmap for adoption

2023 Review

This entry was added in 2021 as a merging of two separate 2019 entries, ‘Consumer Cloud-based Utilities’ and ‘Premium or Institutional Social Media’ to place emphasis on the similarities and common threats faced by services that are both ‘paid-for’ and ‘free-at-the-point-of-use’, namely similar aggravating conditions relating to increasing dependencies on the vendor’s business models and the terms and conditions imposed. The 2021 Jury also noted a trend towards increased risk in light of greater reliance on the cloud and localized disruptions to cloud services over the pandemic and wider (global) dependence on these services, especially Google Drive, for record-keeping and business workflows. The 2022 Taskforce agreed with the previous assessment, with no change to trend (agreeing that the risks remained on the same basis as presented in 2021 with no significant change towards even greater or reduced risk).

The 2023 Council agreed with the Endangered classification with the overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to trend), but also noted increases in imminence and effort to preserve, recognizing that while the need for major efforts to prevent or reduce losses...
continues, it is now much more likely that loss of material has already occurred, and will continue to do so, by the time tools or techniques have been developed.

This entry was previously categorized under the Social Media digital species. The 2023 Council recommended adjusting this and other social media entries in light of how web-based and cloud-based business products and services have developed in recent years. This included:

- Narrowing the scope. The scope of the entry has been narrowed to focus more specifically on the various risks associated with digital content created, stored and shared using cloud-based services, especially business-related tools or collaboration tools which are not being well preserved (e.g. Slack, Google Drive, Sharepoint). These challenges primarily relate to services using their own cloud-based format, export functionality and quality.
- As part of this rescoping, relevant information concerning cloud-based aspects was incorporated from previous ‘Born Digital Photographs and Video Shared via Social Media Platforms’ and ‘Consumer Social Media Free at the Point of Use’, and this entry also now falls under a new ‘Cloud’ species group to more clearly differentiate between social media and cloud services—the Council adopts the view that just because a service is web-based and allows users to upload content for cloud hosting does not necessarily mean that it is ‘social’ or ‘media’.

**Additional Comments**

Most platforms allow users to export their own data from them, which is helpful.

Similar to the Born Digital Photos and Video entry, significance and impact scores are high because some users exclusively create and store important content on these services but uses for these services vary greatly. Also, subscription services, such as Microsoft Teams, though far from having adequate preservation provision, will have more robust back-up and recovery governed by institutional contracts, whereas Google Drive / Google 'office' services free-at-the-point of use do not provide these mitigating measures.

Dropbox is a content hosting / storage service and does support downloading a file the same quality as the file uploaded. If any one of these platforms disappeared overnight or put new restrictions on access to user content, it would certainly make headlines, as witnessed with Flickr’s change in storage limit capacity for non-paying users.

There are similarities and common threats faced by services that are both ‘paid-for’ and ‘free-at-the-point-of-use’, namely aggravating conditions relating to increasing dependencies on the vendor’s business models and the terms and conditions imposed. However, with digital materials from consumer cloud-based utilities, the business model and sustainability can only be presumed, and contracts tend to be asymmetrical in favour of the supplier. Moreover, because these services have a low barrier to entry, they may be favoured by agencies or individuals least able to respond to closure or loss. If referring to the entire platforms and risk of the entirety of data on these, the concern is that the corporation providing the service suddenly decides it is no longer of value to them. In these circumstances, materials could be removed quickly. That has happened previously and will certainly be seen again. Preservation is not a commitment that most providers make.

Existing tools could be modified to tackle some of the closed networks. Still, it is likely to require investments, perhaps related to corporate records in some cases (thinking about internal Slacks, for instance), and more education about the importance of preserving this material and not trusting the publishing platforms to host the content forever.
## Case Studies or Examples:
- The website ‘Killed by Google’ provides a list of projects and apps that Google has shut down over the years, dating back to 2006. See Killed by Google (n.d.) Available at: [https://killedbygoogle.com/](https://killedbygoogle.com/) [accessed 24 October 2023]

## Collections Information Management Data and Systems

Descriptive information and data, covering both the systems (databases) and the data they contain. This includes information made publicly available, and information only available for internal use.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Museum and Gallery</th>
<th>New Rescoped Entry</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Imminence of Action</strong></td>
<td><strong>Significance of Loss</strong></td>
<td>**Effort to Preserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.</td>
<td>The loss of tools or services within this group would have a global impact.</td>
<td>It would require a major effort to prevent or reduce losses in this group, possibly requiring the development of new preservation tools or techniques.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Examples

Covered under this entry are third-party and in-house collections information management systems and databases, current and legacy, both large and small (e.g., Microsoft Access, FileMaker Pro).

### ‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions

- Poor or no documentation; lack of technical and preservation infrastructure; complex interdependencies on specific hardware, software or operating systems; significant volumes or diversity of data; conflation of access with preservation; dependence on proprietary products; lack of preservation capacity in museum or gallery; poorly developed or no processes for migration or normalization

### ‘Vulnerable’ in the Presence of Good Practice

- Strong documentation; preservation capability; strong repository and preservation technical infrastructure; good descriptive cataloguing; use of open formats and open source software; considered data management planning; licencing that enables preservation

## 2023 Review

This entry was added in 2019 under ‘Digital Materials in Museums and Galleries’ and previously rescoped in 2021 to ‘Supporting Digital Materials for Museums and Galleries’.

The 2023 Bit List Council superseded the entry, splitting it into six discrete entries as the scope of the single entry was too broad to provide the guidance needed. The recommendation to break this entry down was also made by the 2021 Jury, as the types of digital collections content in museums can be vast and offer particular risks in museum and gallery contexts. For this entry on collections information management data and systems, context is important. For example, smaller ‘in-house developed’ and ‘cottag industry’ systems may be at higher risk than larger third-party systems with significant international buy-in and support.

## Additional Comments
The 2023 Council additionally recommended that the next major review consider whether or not to split out the data held in Collections Information Management Systems from the systems themselves.

Databases and catalogues can have a knock-on effect. The information they contain is valuable for contextualizing and understanding the resources they describe. Without them, meaning may be lost even if bits are not.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Investigations based on Open Source Intelligence Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open source social media and web content that has been used to support the conclusions of crowd-sourced investigation and fact-checking in political or military conflict.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Legal Data</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>↑ Towards greater risk</td>
<td>→ No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Added to List: 2019</th>
<th>Trend in 2023:</th>
<th>Previously: Endangered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imminence of Action</th>
<th>Significance of Loss</th>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended within twelve months, detailed assessment is a priority.</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.</td>
<td>It would require a major effort to prevent or reduce losses in this group, possibly requiring the development of new preservation tools or techniques.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social media sources relating to recent conflicts.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*‘Critically Endangered’ in the presence of Aggravating Conditions*
Encryption; loss of authenticity; lack of preservation agency; limited or no digital preservation capability.

*‘Vulnerable’ in the Presence of Good Practice*
Offline backup captured by a journalist or investigating authority; materials presented and documented in court; court able to deliver preservation; authenticity protected.

2023 Review
This entry was added in 2019 and subsequently split into three elements by the Jury, relating to current, recent and historic sources. This entry relates to materials used in evidence in completed investigations, as well as those presented to courts or other investigatory agencies. Social media companies have a policy to take down or suppress content that they consider propaganda for terrorist groups. This has had the unintended consequence of deleting or suppressing content used in open source investigation or fact-checking for journalistic or judicial purposes, which may impede refutation or prosecution. However, a new generation of cloud-based services now allows investigators to copy and stabilize content to private accounts in the process of investigating it, so the ethical requirements of social media companies and the integrity of the investigation are both served. The 2020 Jury noted that such content remains at risk. The presentation of data to a court or prosecuting authority, or the publication through news media, implies the introduction of a long-term preservation function. The 2021 Jury agreed with this assessment and *Endangered* classification but changed the 2021 trend towards greater risk in
light of recent developments in crowd-sourced investigations and fact-checking. The 2022
Taskforce agreed on a trend towards even greater risk based on the increased significance of
crowd-sourced investigations and fact-checking in light of ongoing global conflicts that include
(but are not limited to) those in Ukraine.

The 2023 Council agreed with the *Endangered* classification with the overall risks remaining on
the same basis as before (no change to the trend), noting that some of the description and
language used in the entry may be confusing. For example, one might think if investigations are
complete that surely the parts used as evidence or published in articles are captured elsewhere
and presumably preserved there? While this may be the case, presuming long term preservation
may lead to future loss in instances where this is not true. Here, risks can overlaps with those
found in 'Evidence in Court' and 'Proceedings in Court' entries, which themselves indicate that
standard records management processes within designated agencies should be able to take care
of the preservation of materials like this but, given that it is likely to involve complex types of
data, such agencies may not be equipped to deliver preservation effectively.

**Additional Comments**

The 2023 Council additionally recommend further scoping of the entry with input from those
working with these materials directly, to help explain the unique challenges as well as examples
where content has been lost due to deletion by social media companies and/or legal retention
periods where certain content may not fall under the scope of records for long-term or
permanent retention.

**Case Studies or Examples:**

- The 2020 *Medical Facilities Under Fire* report by the Syrian Archive., which provides
  information on how The Syrian Archive and its partners (Syrians for Truth and Justice,
  Justice for Life) analyzed and verified pattern of attacks by cross referencing a
  combination of open-source visual content, flight observation data, and witness
  statements. Through collecting, verifying and reporting investigative findings from these
  incidents, the authors hope to preserve critical information that may be used for
  advocacy purposes or as evidence in future proceedings seeking legal accountability. See
  Syrian Archive (2017), ‘Medical Facilities Under Fire’. Available at:

**See also:**

  investigation', *iPres Conference 2019, Amsterdam*. Available at:
  [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kZAb7CVGmXM](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kZAb7CVGmXM) [accessed 24 October 2023]

- European Human Rights Advocacy Centre (EHRAC) blog post providing a Q&A on ‘Using
  Open Source Investigations in Human Rights Litigation,’ noting their approach to gather
  and present evidence of Russian military presence in and around Ilovaisk in August 2014. See
  European Human Rights Advocacy Centre (EHRAC) (2022), ‘Q&A: USING OPEN
  SOURCE INVESTIGATIONS IN HUMAN RIGHTS LITIGATION’. Available at:
### Content on Cloud Video Services Produced by the Service Provider

Video materials, primarily films and television programs, which are produced by companies that maintain their own distribution platforms and are exclusively available through these platforms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Sound and Vision, Cloud</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Previously: Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Imminence of Action**
Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.

**Significance of Loss**
The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.

**Effort to Preserve | Inevitability**
It would require a major effort to prevent or reduce losses in this group, possibly requiring the development of new preservation tools or techniques.

### Examples

Netflix, Amazon Prime, Disney+

**‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions**
Lack of corporate preservation planning; lack of preservation capability; conflating backup with preservation; loss of original recordings; lack of preservation voice at executive level; poor planning and roadmap for infrastructure; slapdash procurement or migration to new systems; mergers and acquisitions; profusion of corporate systems; complex intellectual property rights; single point of failure; technical protection measures that inhibit reasonable preservation actions.

**‘Vulnerable’ in the Presence of Good Practice**
Backup and documentation; use of open formats and open source software; data management planning; licensing that enables preservation; corporate preservation capability; resilient to hacking; authenticity and integrity managed; recognition of preservation functions at executive level; technology watch; preservation audits; participation in the preservation community.

### 2023 Review

This entry was added in 2019 to represent collections that are highly significant in cultural and social terms. It was adopted as the Jury was unclear whether the content could be played outside of the producers’ publication platform. This introduces technical dependencies between content and software amplified by rights management.

The 2021 Jury agreed with the **Endangered** classification but discussed how the growth of content produced with no or limited preservation mandate has led to greater risk, and the continued scale of that growth and opacities regarding preservation by companies also led to the 2022 Taskforce noting a trend towards even greater risk. However, just as the 2022 Taskforce was completing its work, they welcomed the news of BFI taking on responsibility for the preservation of key titles from Netflix, commenting on how it represents a commitment to act on previous recommendations but not yet a ‘material improvement’ at that stage so there was no change to the 2022 trend at that time.

The 2023 Council agreed with the **Endangered** classification with the overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend).
This entry has five aspects to consider: 1. It falls outside the scope of traditional regulatory frameworks and archiving has not yet been included in any legislative framework, unlike broadcast TV, where there is a designated archive in most developed nations. 2. As a result, the collection and preservation of content from online platforms is underdeveloped, and the content remains unavailable in public archives. 3. These risks are mitigated by the fact that the commercial archives are technologically advanced, with mature digital ecosystems and skills, and much of the content has a ‘long tail’ business model, and as commercial products have value, preservation incentives are clear. 4. However, these are often stored at scale on LTO tapes, and so specific issues arise with the obsolescence of LTO tape technologies for the broadcast sector. 5. Nonetheless, issues remain around archiving relevant assets which may not be valued by the production company.

It may also be worth considering broadening legal deposit legislation so there is a mandate to deposit this content with an appropriate repository – though the volume may be unwelcome as many institutions are under-resourced.

**Case Studies or Examples:**
- Progress has been made by the BFI National Archive in 2023 in the UK context. A formal agreement with Netflix in 2022 was followed by a similar agreement with Amazon Prime Video in Summer 2023; and by October 2023 the digital preservation workflow for curator-selected UK Netflix content was established, with two complete seasons (20 episodes) under preservation, and throughput building. Workflow for Amazon Prime Video is to follow in early 2024, and ongoing discussions with the other platform owners. See BFI (2022) ‘Bridgerton, Top Boy and Heartstopper join the BFI National Archive and the nation’s screen heritage’, BFI News. Available at: [https://www.bfi.org.uk/news/bridgerton-top-boy-heartstopper-bfi-national-archive-netflix](https://www.bfi.org.uk/news/bridgerton-top-boy-heartstopper-bfi-national-archive-netflix) [accessed 24 October 2023]

---

### Content Published on the Web Which Cannot Easily Be Captured Through Conventional Web Archiving Practices

Material that is not capturable via conventional web-archiving practices (i.e. remote capture with a non-browser-based crawler). The common characteristic of the material is not so much the type of content, or the context but rather the preservation risk posed to the material as a result of decisions made by the website creators, to use technologies and make design decisions that do not support the capture of the content, combined with the limitations of current web archiving processes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Web</th>
<th>New Entry</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Imminence of Action</strong></td>
<td>Immediate action necessary. Where detected should be stabilized and reported as a matter of urgency.</td>
<td><strong>Significance of Loss</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**Effort to Preserve</td>
<td>Inevitability**</td>
<td>Loss seems likely: by the time tools or techniques have been developed the material will likely have been lost.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Examples**
Examples are wide ranging but include: 1. Interactive content such as maps, charts, 3D models, multi-page forms etc.; 2. Content that is only accessible through search (and does not support a
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blank search with pagination URLs; 3. Content that is only accessible via POST or Ajax requests (i.e. 'Load More' issues); 4. Content hosted on sites which aggressively block crawlers; 5. Content hosted on proprietary platforms whose technical implementation makes web archiving difficult (Wix, Medium etc.)

‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions
Creation and design decisions that do not support the capture of the content; limitations of current web archiving processes

‘Vulnerable’ in the Presence of Good Practice
Continued development of tools that can capture some types of this material; continued resourcing of the web archiving community and developers working in this space; Longer-term work towards a cultural change that prioritizes accessibility and achievability.

2023 Review
This is a new Bit List entry added in 2023 to draw attention to the particular challenges of web content that is not capturable via conventional web-archiving practices. While this entry can be considered very wide-ranging, covering different kinds of content in and across different entries represented in the Bit List, this entry was added to draw attention to risks and issues not fully addressed by these entries—those relating to material created and designed with technologies that do not support the capture of the content combined with the limitations of current web archiving processes. Given the ephemeral nature of the web, any material that is not preserved in a web archive is at risk; However, this entry focuses on material that is not accessible to conventional crawlers that is considerably less likely to be preserved because: 1. While the development of alternative capture tools (i.e. forms of browser-based capture) provide potential options to mitigate some of these issues, they remain imperfect and using such tools requires significant time and resources, meaning they are unlikely to be applied to very large-scale crawls; and 2. While website creators can be influenced to avoid such problematic technologies, even in the context of current work (e.g. within the UK Government), this can be difficult.

Additional Comments
The online experience is becoming increasingly ‘mediated’ and, due to the prevalence of personalized experiences, there is little that can be seen as ‘generic’ which can be meaningfully captured. Add-ons such as ad, tracker and script blockers also fundamentally change how users experience the web compared to others.

There is no specific time constraint but given the relatively ephemeral nature of the web, it is likely that there is an ongoing and constant loss of material.

Given the broad scope of the entry, it can be difficult to assign an overall significance level, with some examples being trivial and others being highly important. Quantifying the impact of loss of this entry is also difficult, but it would be fair to say that it would have a significant impact on the ability of citizens to hold their governments to account and on the completeness of the historical record. Given that these issues are common across the web archiving community, this thus becomes a global problem.

Some important examples from this entry includes highly significant content of national interest that is currently difficult to capture, for instance maps showing proposed changes to electoral boundaries, government blogs and published datasets which can only be accessed through search or via 'Load more', and whole sites of national importance that aggressively block crawlers. Other pertinent examples include PowerBI and Tableau which are both increasingly widely adopted visualization tools and are very difficult to capture and also to replay. They are used to disseminate data about all sorts of things but particularly government transparency information. The mitigating action of publishing the underlying data (for example as CSV or XLS(S)) is not often observed on the web.
## Contractual Documents and Related Records

Documents, correspondence and other records created in the course of contractual dealings between individuals and agencies, especially where the subjects are of long duration and may be subject to legal scrutiny at undefined points in the distant future.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Legal Data</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\rightarrow) No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Added to List: 2019</th>
<th>Trend in 2023:</th>
<th>Previous:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\rightarrow) No change</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Imminence of Action
Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.

### Significance of Loss
The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.

### Effort to Preserve | Inevitability
It would require a small effort to preserve materials in this group, requiring the application of proven tools and techniques.

### Examples
Contracts, receipts, correspondence, licence agreements, building consent, warranties, and any other document or record that represents a legally binding transaction or permission. Such records may be useful in the avoidance or resolution of disputes, whether in court or prior to proceedings. Includes Online Terms and Conditions for e-commerce or end-user agreements for services.

### ‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions
Loss of context; loss of authenticity or integrity; external dependencies; poor storage; lack of understanding; churn of staff; poorly framed or over-zealous disposal; ill-informed records management; misplaced fears with respect to data protection, encryption.

### ‘Vulnerable’ in the Presence of Good Practice
Managed appraisal; well managed data governance and infrastructure; preservation and appropriate retention strategies enabled at the point of creation; carefully managed authenticity;
The use of persistent identifiers; finding aids; well managed records management processes; application of records management standards.

2023 Review

This entry was added in 2019 as a subset of an entry introduced in 2017 for ‘Digital Legal Records and Evidence,’ which was split into four more discrete entries. There is overlap with Pension Mortgage and Insurance Records entry, but this entry addresses digital records with value over the long term that may not be immediately obvious at the point of creation.

The likelihood of liquidation, mergers or acquisitions means that these records are trending towards greater risk. There was a 2020 trend towards greater risk in light of the Covid Pandemic causing profound dislocation across the economy, placing many companies and agencies at financial risk. There was no identified trend for 2021 or 2022. While there are some noticeable improvements in record-keeping legislation and regulations and guidance to this area, with a growing number of agencies handling and advising on what needs to be kept and for how long, both the 2021 Jury and 2022 Taskforce agreed there remained room for improving how legal agreements are managed through records management standards and processes.

The 2023 Council agreed with the Endangered classification with the overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend).

Additional Comments

Closer collaboration over the digital record lifecycle with recordkeeping organizations such as IRMS/ARA and digital preservation organizations would help to ensure best practice from (before) record creation to its long-term preservation and would help to identify any risks and bridge gaps ‘from the cradle to the grave’. Joining forces and resources will enable the community to raise awareness of the impact of best practices on the organizational governance and related efficiencies.

Managed appraisal of these documents and records would also aid in lowering the risk of this entry.

See also:

- The work and outputs of the EDRMS Preservation Taskforce, such as the EDRMS Preservation Toolkit, may be helpful for guidance as many of these records will be held in EDRMS type systems. See Digital Preservation Coalition (2021) ‘EDRMS Preservation Toolkit’. Available at: https://www.dpconline.org/digipres/implement-digipres/edrms-preservation-toolkit [accessed 24 October 2023]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imminence of Action</th>
<th>Significance of Loss</th>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended within twelve months; detailed assessment is a priority.</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on many people and sectors.</td>
<td>It would require a major effort to preserve materials in this group, with the development of new preservation tools or techniques.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Examples**

- Born-digital records of small and medium sized enterprises; fasting-changing internal manuals, advice or policies shared on intranets or EDRMS; records of long-lived products and services;
- Historic guidelines and manuals which evidence ‘best practice’; Documentation supporting long-lived contractual relations; Online terms and conditions; Corporate Slack channels; Google Drives; EDRMS; Email.

**‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions**

- Lack of systematic preservation function; lack of preservation path or plan for data; dependence on proprietary products or formats; poor management of data protection; political or commercial interference; lack of offline equivalent; over-abundance through poor disposal or naming and version control; lack of capacity; lack of commitment; loss or lack of documentation; sector-specific software or data types; encryption

**‘Vulnerable’ in the Presence of Good Practice**

- Preservation infrastructure and pathways; replication; appraisal and selection including de-duplication;

**2023 Review**

This entry was added in 2017 to draw attention to the pressing need for digital preservation in business, especially in small to medium enterprises. The 2020 Jury noted how the Covid Pandemic has caused profound dislocation across the economy and placed many companies and agencies at financial risk. The likelihood of liquidation, mergers or acquisitions means that these records are trending towards greater risk.

The 2021 Jury agreed with the trend towards greater, adding that increased risk is not necessarily because there are no assigned parent archives to take on these materials; rather, it is because they too often sit in these spaces for some time before being transferred to the archives. They are often not well managed or maintained by their creating agencies, putting them at risk of accidental deletion or corruption. There remain increased risks without business continuity and trust.

The 2023 Council agreed with the continued *Endangered* classification with the overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend), though also noting an increased imminence of action needed for this entry and highlighted the importance of processes in the preservation of these records.

**Additional Comments**

The 2023 Council additionally recommends that the next Jury review considers rescoping this entry, possibly adding a new entry about covering sensitive data in databases that would fall into both the Sensitive Data and new Databases digital species groups.

Corporate records should form part of organizational records management schemes, and so responsibilities should be clear; however, this may be much more challenging for smaller organizations without dedicated roles or with complex data types.

Processes become as important as technology when it comes to preserving this kind of material. If an organization does not have good records organization, naming conventions etc. that may make material as vulnerable to loss as technological failure or format obsolescence could.
Closer collaboration over the digital record lifecycle with recordkeeping organizations such as IRMS/ARA and digital preservation organizations would help to ensure best practice from (before) record creation to its long-term preservation and would help to identify any risks and bridge gaps ‘from the cradle to the grave’. Joining forces and resources will enable the community to raise awareness of the impact of best practices on the organizational governance and related efficiencies.

See also:
- The work and outputs of the EDRMS Preservation Taskforce over the last year, for example the EDRMS Preservation Toolkit, may be helpful for guidance and examples in this context. See Digital Preservation Coalition (2021) ‘EDRMS Preservation Toolkit’. Available at: https://www.dpconline.org/digipres/implement-digipres/edrms-preservation-toolkit [accessed 24 October 2023]

### Current Portable Magnetic Media

Materials saved to magnetic tape, portable hard disks or other magnetic media in the last five years where the reader devices are still supported and can be integrated easily into hardware infrastructure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Portable Media</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Previously: Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Imminence of Action**

Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.

**Significance of Loss**

The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world

**Effort to Preserve | Inevitability**

It would require a small effort to preserve materials in this group, requiring the application of proven tools and techniques.

### Examples

- LTO tapes; portable hard disks

**‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions**

Poor storage conditions; encryption; digital rights management; lack of replication; lack of documentation; lack of periodic testing; lack of refreshment pathway; lack of access to readers; out of manufacturers’ warranty or no warranty; storage within paper files.

**‘Vulnerable’ in the Presence of Good Practice**

Regular review and testing; replication; refreshment plan; comprehensive documentation; high quality storage; regular maintenance of readers; multiple readers available.

### 2023 Review

This entry was added in 2019 to ensure that the range of media storage is properly assessed and presented. Magnetic media is typically more fragile than optical media because it is susceptible to ‘bitrot’ and magnetic damage in ways that optical media are not. The 2021 Jury commented on how the types of magnetic media used have improved the last five years, notably the use of LTO, increasing good practice and trending towards reduced risk in this respect. It is important to note that LTO tapes come in different generations. Some pose greater preservation risks now (e.g., an
organization with no equipment or way of reading content), so the use of LTO is good practice so long as it includes the active management of associated risks.

The 2023 Council agreed with the risk classification of *Endangered* with the overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend).

**Additional Comments**
This entry is highly dependent on who is looking after the portable media but made more difficult over time. The lack of granularity in the definition means that only general advice can be offered, such as to refresh media. In time, it may yet be more useful to split all storage media (maybe 100 items long) with an indication of how long these can be expected to last. In many cases, specialists can recover obsolete media, but the cost of employing them can become an aggravating condition.

It is important to emphasize that the short lifetime of many storage devices is not a problem to be solved with new long-lasting storage technologies (and indeed, many inventions have come and gone). Cheap commodity storage has been purposely designed to deliver value at a low price for a short time. Therefore, management and preservation processes for monitoring and refreshment need to take these characteristics into account.

---

**Current Portable Optical Media**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Portable Media</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Previously: Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Imminence of Action**
Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.

**Significance of Loss**
The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.

**Effort to Preserve | Inevitability**
It would require a small effort to preserve materials in this group, requiring the application of proven tools and techniques.

**Examples**
CDs, DVDs produced in the last five years

‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions
Poor storage conditions; encryption; digital rights management; lack of replication; lack of documentation; lack of periodic testing; lack of refreshment pathway; lack of access to readers; out of manufacturers’ warranty or no warranty; storage in paper files; copyright; environmental disasters; technical preservation measures

‘Vulnerable’ in the Presence of Good Practice
Regular review and testing; replication; refreshment plan; comprehensive documentation; high quality storage; regular maintenance of readers; multiple readers available;

**2023 Review**
The 2019 Jury introduced this entry to ensure that the range of media storage is properly assessed and presented. The 2021 Jury agreed with the entry's assigned risk classification with no noted changes towards increased or reduced risk.

The 2023 Council agreed with the risk classification of *Endangered* with the overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend). Additionally, the Council recommended that a nomination received for ‘DVDs produced under copyright’ would provide a valuable example to this entry rather than as a new, standalone entry (see below).

**Additional Comments**

This entry is highly dependent on who is looking after the portable media but made more difficult over time. The lack of granularity in the definition means that only general advice can be offered, such as to refresh media. In time, it may yet be more useful to split all storage media (maybe 100 items long) with an indication of how long these can be expected to last. In many cases, specialists can recover obsolete media, but the cost of employing them can become an aggravating condition.

**Case Studies or Examples:**

- One example, presented to the Council through a 2023 nomination, concerns the loss of digital materials stored in DVDs. A 3,500 DVD ‘film collection’ was destroyed during the fire at the University Library Archives and Special Collections, University of Cape Town, South Africa. There were no backup copies in large part because of the (mis)understanding that they were prevented by copyright from making backup copies. This example extends beyond the loss of material in the optical media, particularly in respect to backups and issues surrounding copyright, but in the context of the entry shows how aggravating factors can place content at greater risk due to aggravating factors not only to copyright but also global warming with more such fires, floods and natural disasters to be expected. See News in Conservation (2021) ‘Devastating Cape Town fire destroys University Library Archives and Special Collections’, *News in Conservation, International Institute for Conservation of Historic and Artistic Works, Volume 84*, p. 8-9, June-July 2021. Available at: [https://www.iiconservation.org/content/news-conservation-issue-84-june-july-2021](https://www.iiconservation.org/content/news-conservation-issue-84-june-july-2021) [accessed 24 October 2023]

### Current Portable Solid-State Media

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Portable Media</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>Trend in 2023:</td>
<td>Previously: Endangered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imminence of Action</td>
<td>Significance of Loss</td>
<td>Effort to Preserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group</td>
<td>It would require a small effort to preserve materials in this group,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Digital Preservation Coalition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.</th>
<th>would impact on people and sectors around the world requiring the application of proven tools and techniques.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Examples**

USB memory sticks; flash cards in cameras; solid state portable hard disks

**‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions**

Poor storage conditions; encryption; digital rights management; lack of replication; lack of documentation; lack of periodic testing; lack of refreshment pathway; lack of access to readers; out of manufacturers’ warranty or no warranty; storage in paper files.

**‘Vulnerable’ in the Presence of Good Practice**

Regular review and testing; replication; refreshment plan; comprehensive documentation; high quality storage; regular maintenance of readers; multiple readers available;

**2023 Review**

The 2019 Jury introduced this entry to ensure that the range of media storage is properly assessed and presented. The 2021 Jury agreed with the entry’s assigned risk classification with no noted changes towards increased or reduced risk.

The 2023 Council agreed with the risk classification of *Endangered* with the overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend).

**Additional Comments**

This entry is highly dependent on who is looking after the portable media but made more difficult over time. The lack of granularity in the definition means that only general advice can be offered, such as to refresh media. In time, it may yet be more useful to split all storage media (maybe 100 items long) with an indication of how long these can be expected to last. In many cases, specialists can recover obsolete media, but the cost of employing them can become an aggravating condition.

---

**Custom Online Databases**

Data collected, presented and disseminated in custom online databases that is not stored elsewhere, particularly data at risk when it is locked in the database because no export or harvest options are available.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Databases, Research Outputs, Web</th>
<th>New Entry</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Imminence of Action**

Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year

**Significance of Loss**

The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on different people and sectors

**Effort to Preserve | Inevitability**

It would require a small effort to preserve materials in this group, requiring the application of proven tools and techniques

**Examples**

custom databases created project websites for research, citizen science

**‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions**

Lack of open licences; lack of export options; lack of system maintenance; expired domain; lack of export functionality; lack of technical knowledge and skills; limited or dysfunctional data
management planning; web capture challenges that means unlikely to be picked up by automatic crawlers

‘Vulnerable’ in the Presence of Good Practice
Backup and documentation; preservation capability in designated repository; use of open formats and open source licencing that enables preservation; enabled export options; robust data management planning; documented and managed professionally

2023 Review
This is a new Bit List entry nominated and approved by the 2023 Council to draw attention to the particular challenges of preservation for custom online databases. The entry focuses on the distinct risks relating to the online databases which cannot go through traditional web archiving tools.

While there are challenges to preserving databases both off- and online, this entry was nominated in context of projects which set up a custom online database to record, present, and disseminate collected data, but this data is not stored elsewhere (e.g. in a long-term digital archive) and often is locked in the database because no export or harvest options are available. The risks for these online databases include: Maintenance of the system after the end of a project is not ensured, and online databases disappear because of security issues or because the domain expires; Not all data is open and after the end of a project no one is responsible for granting access; The data is not stored elsewhere (e.g. in some trusted repository); The data is locked in: it cannot be exported in (e.g. CSV) for further re-use.

Additionally, the nomination of the entry also highlighted a gap in the Bit List for databases more broadly. The Council agreed a new higher-level Databases digital species group should be created to address this gap, inviting nominations for other database-related entries to be considered for the next major revision of the Bit List.

Additional Comments
The preservation of this entry is highly dependent on the software used but, no matter what, once the project has reached its end, it starts to become vulnerable.

Often, the online databases are of interest to a sub-discipline-specific group of people, e.g. archaeologists specialized on cuneiform tablets. But the material itself often is then invaluable for this group because of the great effort invested in compiling it.

Databases for citizen science also provide an example where the upload of information directly into it makes it distinctive.

Emulation can be used to preserve these databases. For example, Yale University is preserving databases, especially SQL databases for websites, using EAASI. There are technical challenges, but the databases can be preserved, and have found issues are often around access to data and workforce development of technical skills to undertake preservation actions. There is a risk, however, that some of the databases cannot be exposed to the web as they have no survival time and/or cannot make them available as they were intended to be used.

See also:
**Digital Music and Ephemera Shared on Social Media**

Digital materials created by musicians and fans as a by-product of performance or recording, shared on websites and other social media platforms.

| Digital Species: Sound and Vision, Social Media | Trend in 2022: No change | Consensus Decision |
| Added to List: 2019 | Trend in 2023: No change | Previously: Endangered |

**Imminence of Action**
Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.

**Significance of Loss**
The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.

**Effort to Preserve | Inevitability**
It would require a major effort to address losses in this group, possibly requiring the development of new preservation tools or techniques.

### Examples
Fan sites; private or illicit recordings of concerts; informal music sharing between networks such as TikTok, MySpace and Facebook

**‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions**
Dependence on social media provider; lack of offline equivalent; infringing intellectual property right; unstable or small community of interest; encryption.

**‘Vulnerable’ in the Presence of Good Practice**
Offline equivalent; intellectual property rights conducive to preservation; partnership with collecting institutions; availability to web archiving.

**2023 Review**
In 2019, this entry was created as a subset of a previous 2017 entry, ‘Digital Music Production and Sharing,’ which was split to draw attention to the different challenges faced by the different forms. This entry overlaps with other entries relating to social media as well as those relating to community-generated content but is a separate entry to emphasize the context in which music is shared and enjoyed. This context could be lost if our attention were on products controlled by studios or artists.

The 2021 Jury discussed content increasingly being shared across multiple platforms, which is both good and bad for risk. A multi-platform nature provides an element of protection against total loss, but the role and type of interaction with the content on each platform are also important and expanding with limited attempts at preservation. For these reasons, the 2021 trend moved towards greater risk with the need for selective approaches based on the increasing volume of material. The 2022 Taskforce noted no change to the trend (they agreed these risks remain on the same basis as before).

The 2023 Council agreed with the Endangered classification with the overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend).

### Additional Comments
The ephemera is increasingly stored on websites that themselves are fragile and are removed, and nothing held on these services can be relied on in archival timeframes.
Web archiving and social media archiving have matured, so a representative sample is probably readily available for particular countries which are more mature in their digital preservation activities as opposed to other countries which are not.

This entry also connects to other entries ‘Consumer Social Media Free at the Point of Use,’ ‘Data Posted to Defunct or Little-used Social Media Platforms’. There are similarities in regard to increased uncertainty around major social media sites, such as X (previously Twitter), and the preservation risks associated with underlying social media preservation which has an impact on the digital objects that fall under this entry, however this entry draws attention to additional risks associated with preservation of the digital forms and contexts in which these materials are shared and enjoyed.

**Case Studies or Examples:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Radio Recordings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary and/or original recordings of radio broadcasts generated live but often poorly stored thereafter, for example offline recordings on single LTO (Linear Tape Open) Tapes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Sound and Vision</th>
<th>Trend in 2022: No change</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2017</td>
<td>Trend in 2023: No change</td>
<td>Previously: Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imminence of Action</th>
<th>Significance of Loss</th>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.</td>
<td>It would require a major effort to prevent or reduce losses in this group, possibly requiring the development of new preservation tools or techniques.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Examples**
Broadcast archives include but are not limited to national, local, public, commercial radio

‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions
Lack of archival mandate; lack of capability of archive; lack of policy or capacity within broadcaster; small or unprofitable broadcaster; concern over intellectual property rights; overzealous rights management protection; device or software dependence; dependence on proprietary or obsolete formats; lack or loss of documentation; little use or inaccessibility; storage (typically tapes) older than warranty; lack of media refreshment plan; lack of error or integrity checking process; single copies

**‘Vulnerable’ in the Presence of Good Practice**
Archival responsibility accepted and acted upon; replication; refreshment of media; good documentation; active in digital preservation community; trusted repository; content re-used

### 2023 Review
This entry was added in 2017 as a separate entry due to concern over recordings on LTO tapes. These provide between 15 and 30 years’ storage which may be less depending on usage and storage conditions. LTO1 and LTO2, released in 2000 and 2003 respectively, have largely reached the final phases of viability. Reader compatibility may be more problematic than media resilience, however. Drives supporting newer releases of the format are typically only compatible within two generations, and experience with the recently released LTO8 suggests that it is only backwardly compatible to one generation. For instance, one major national archive and library had decided to expedite migration away from LTO6, which is becoming obsolete more quickly than anticipated. Through time, the risks to collections that have not been refreshed or replicated from early LTO tapes expand. Thus, the overall trend is towards greater risk when collections are not migrated. Older formats, perhaps as recently as LTO6, extinction events should be anticipated within two to five years.

The 2021 Jury agreed with the *Endangered* classification, noting the importance of a selective approach. The 2022 Taskforce noted no change to the trend (they agreed these risks remain on the same basis as before).

The 2023 Council agreed with the *Endangered* classification with the overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend).

### Additional Comments
The 2023 Bit List Council also recommends that the next major review for the Bit List consider creating a new ‘Broadcast’ species group where this entry may be better suited.

Depending on the legislative context, digital radio recordings may fall under published works if they are broadcast. Additionally, if the recordings are broadcast by a taxpayer-funded broadcaster, record-keeping guidelines may already exist to advise how long content should be kept, which would then inform a selective approach to caring and looking after them for as long as required.

While broadcasters may keep their own programmes, they are often not comprehensively collected or archived by memory institutions as contributions to cultural heritage.

**Case Studies or Examples:**
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Available at: [https://www.bl.uk/projects/national-radio-archive](https://www.bl.uk/projects/national-radio-archive) [accessed 24 October 2023].


### Digital Recordings Published via Cloud-based Music Sharing Platforms

Music licensed and playable through corporate platforms protected by rights management and subscription revenues and presented as compressed single-track recordings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Sound and Vision, Cloud</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Previously: Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Imminence of Action**

Action is recommended within twelve months, detailed assessment is a priority.

**Significance of Loss**

The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.

**Effort to Preserve | Inevitability**

It would require a major effort to prevent or reduce losses in this group, possibly requiring the development of new preservation tools or techniques.

### Examples

Spotify, iTunes, Bandcamp, SoundCloud

### ‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions

- Lack of preservation capability within corporate systems; conflating backup with preservation; loss of original multitrack recordings; lack of preservation voice at executive level; poor planning and roadmap for corporate infrastructure; slapdash procurement or migration to new systems; mergers and acquisitions; profusion of corporate systems; complex intellectual property rights; single point of failure; technical protection measures that inhibit preservation actions; encryption.

### ‘Vulnerable’ in the Presence of Good Practice

- Strong backup and documentation; use of open formats and open source software; data management planning for preservation; licensing that enables preservation; corporate preservation capability; resilient to hacking; authenticity and integrity managed; recognition of preservation functions at executive level; technology watch; regular preservation audits; accreditation and participation in the professional preservation community.

### 2023 Review

This entry was previously under the 2017 ‘Digital Music Production and Sharing,’ split by the 2019 Jury into four subsets, recognizing the different challenges faced. This entry is particularly concerned with the music industry at scale and the services that connect the vast majority of artists to their audiences. These are typically large and well-funded, and typically recognize the value of the content they publish. But this is not without risks. It is perhaps surprising that the music industry does not yet have any equivalent to the non-print legal deposit regime that applies to other types of publication, including sheet music in some jurisdictions.

The 2021 Jury noted a large amount of vulnerable material on user-driven platforms where material can be very ephemeral (removals resulting from, e.g., account deletion, space...
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limitations, copyright claims) and the issue of licensing with the instability of the business model. For this reason, the scope was widened to include ad hoc sharing so that this entry broadly includes all platforms such as SoundCloud and Bandcamp, which are more community-driven, as well as Spotify, resulting in a raised classification and 2021 trend towards greater risk. The 2022 Taskforce noted no change to the trend (they agreed these risks remain on the same basis as before).

The 2023 Council agreed with the *Endangered* classification with the overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend) but with noted an increase in the imminence of action.

**Additional Comments**

The preservation of recorded music is one of our generation’s most important jobs, but it is unclear where responsibility lies. There are commercial incentives to do so, but also incentives to reduce costs. Whilst public archives are permitted to keep this material in some jurisdictions, they typically do not have the resources to do so. Consequently, there is an expectation that rights holders will maintain their own archival copies but may not do so. National collecting organizations may need to develop a role to address this.

If managed well, there is hope. It may not be an issue in the cases where the production company would hold original recordings and, if a streaming service lost a track (e.g., Spotify), they would go to the production company and ask for a copy. However, it is an issue for those outside of production companies and platforms such as SoundCloud and Bandcamp, which are more community-driven.

### Digitally Published Sheet Music

Sheet music licensed and published in various digital formats, subject to copyright restrictions and often protected by digital rights management technologies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Sound and Vision</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Previously: Endangered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imminence of Action</td>
<td>Trend in 2023:</td>
<td>Effort to Preserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>It would require a small effort to address losses in this group, requiring the application of proven preservation tools or techniques.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Examples**

This entry includes all manner of published sheet music, including choral works, orchestral works, scores published in different forms such as PDF, PDF/A and XML.

*'Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions*

Encryption; uncertainty over intellectual property rights; uncertain business model of publisher; lack of legal deposit mandate.
‘Vulnerable’ in the Presence of Good Practice

Rights management conducive to preservation; held in a trusted repository; legislation in place such as legal deposit enabling copying.

2023 Review

In 2019, this entry was added as a subset of a previous 2017 entry, ‘Digital Music Production and Sharing,’ which was split to draw attention to the different challenges faced by the different forms. This entry focuses on digitally published sheet music, which often takes the form of PDF and PDF/A, and E-Book formats.

The 2021 Jury made no changes to this entry classification or trend but did comment on the importance of legislation (such as legal deposit) to manage associated risks. The 2022 Taskforce noted no change to the trend (they agreed these risks remain on the same basis as before).

The 2023 Council agreed with the Endangered with the overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend) though noted an increase in imminence of action.

Additional Comments

The commercial value of these materials should be a protection against their loss, and the history of the music industry indicates that sheet music continues to have value, so even if an individual organization fails or its DRM servers go offline, and some music becomes inaccessible, it is not lost. However, the difficulties of archiving DRM-locked files remain real.

Electronic Hospital and Medical Records

Personal medical records and records of hospital treatment are increasingly—if not uniformly—born digital. By implication, those records should be retained through the lifetime of the patient, or in some instances longer as required for intergenerational study; and yet there is little evidence of the medical profession participating in the digital preservation community.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Sensitive Data</th>
<th>Trend in 2022: No change</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2017</td>
<td>Trend in 2023: No change</td>
<td>Previously: Endangered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imminence of Action</td>
<td>Immediate action necessary. Where detected should be stabilized and reported as a matter of urgency.</td>
<td>Significance of Loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effort to Preserve</td>
<td>Inevitability</td>
<td>Loss seems inevitable: loss has already occurred or is expected to occur before tools or techniques develop.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Examples

Medical scans; records of treatment and care plans; health advice and notifications;

‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions

Loss of context; loss of authenticity or integrity; poor storage; lack of understanding; churn of staff; significant volumes of data; significant diversity of data; ill-informed records management; poorly developed transfer and integrity checking; poorly developed migration or normalizations specifications; long standing protocols or procedures that apply unsuitable paper processes to digital materials; encryption

‘Vulnerable’ in the Presence of Good Practice
Well managed data infrastructure; preservation enabled at the point of creation; carefully managed authenticity; use of persistent identifiers; well-managed records management processes; application of records management standards; recognition of preservation requirements at highest levels; strategic investment in digital preservation; preservation roadmap; participation in the digital preservation community.

2023 Review
This entry was first submitted in 2017 under ‘Medical and hospital records.’ At that time, there was limited capacity to address the topic. It was published as ‘of concern’ to revisit and review by the 2019 Jury and also independently received as a submission to the open nomination process under ‘Electronic hospital and medical records.’ The entry covers a broad range of material, and it may be useful in future years to split the entry into more discrete entries. Still, the 2021 Jury agreed to keep the current description and classification to draw attention to the scale of the digital preservation challenges which arise in hospitals and the medical profession. Additionally, the same reasoning for greater risk in 2020 was used for 2021; there has been significant strain through the Covid pandemic, with resources stretched to meet an overwhelming demand and rigid, exacting protocols. In this environment, it is hard to avoid the sense that records are also now at greater risk. The Jury further commented that hospital records may be at greater risk than we think, where there may already be poor maintenance of records during their lifecycle, poor migration planning, etc.

The 2022 Taskforce recommended that the 2023 Council bring in additional subject matter expertise for feedback and comment on any changes in risks relating to growth and volume of born digital records, increasing or peculiar budget strain conditions, changes pertaining to sensitivity and potential destruction linked to ransomware or conflicts.

The 2023 Council agreed with the previous Endangered classification with the overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend) though also suggesting an increased timeline for imminence of action and greater inevitability of loss.

Additional Comments
Increasing sensitivity and awareness of data protection requirements could act inadvertently as a barrier to lifecycle data management. It is striking how little evidence is of the health technology companies participating in the global digital preservation community.

The processes implemented by Sao Joao hospital (see below) are encouraging, but too many medical establishments are operating in an excessively ad-hoc way when it comes to records management. As well as preservation, issues of data protection and ethical obligations are to the forefront when working with this kind of material.

Case Studies or Examples:
• The São João University Hospital Center (SJUHC) Health Records Repository project offers an example of changing practices relating to the project’s implementation of a long-term digital preservation repository capable of ingesting, preserving and providing access to digital clinical information. As part of the Hospital’s digital transformation strategy, the Health Records Repository promotes change in the management of daily medical records through the implementation of procedures for preparation, digitization and preservation of health records. The results of the last two years of activity of the Health Records Digital Repository reveal a higher efficiency in the access and reuse of clinical information in the context of healthcare. This initiative was nominated for a 2022 Digital Preservation Award. See SJUHC and the Portuguese National Archives (2022), ‘Long-term preservation of Digital Health Records’, Digital Preservation Awards 2022. Available at:
Email

Documents, correspondence and other records created in the course of contractual dealings between individuals and agencies, especially where the subjects are of long duration and may be subject to legal scrutiny at undefined points in the distant future.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Formats</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2017</td>
<td>↓ Material Improvement</td>
<td>Previously: Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Imminence of Action**
Action is recommended within five years, detailed assessment within three years.

**Significance of Loss**
The loss of tools or services within this group would have a global impact.

**Effort to Preserve | Inevitability**
It would require a small effort to preserve materials in this group, requiring the application of proven tools and techniques.

**Examples**
Gmail, Hotmail, Yahoo Mail, Outlook, and email in all its forms including individual messages, threads of conversation, mailboxes, email servers and file attachments.

‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions
Conflicting and unmanaged IPR; use of personal accounts for professional work and vice versa; proliferation and duplication of attachments; email not recognized as a record; absent, unworkable or inconsistent records management; dependence on free cloud-based services; lack of migration path; lack of preservation planning; perverse incentives to delete; encryption.

‘Vulnerable’ in the Presence of Good Practice
Application of appraisal and selection tools; timely transfer to preservation facility or archive; commitment to transparency; preservation policy; working preservation plan; clear migration path; widespread recognition of email as a record.

**2023 Review**
This entry was added in 2017, but the Jury did not have the capacity to assess it in detail. It was reviewed and assessed in 2019, including highlights to significant developments, including the recommendations of the Email Preservation Taskforce and the development of the ePADD software. Email presents many preservation challenges, from scale through core technologies, attachments, privacy and intellectual property rights. Because this entry intersects with many
others, the aggravating conditions associated with email should be considered in conjunction where relevant.

The 2021 Jury discussed the continued developments in email preservation tools and techniques as well as the growing number of archives preserving email content. At the same time, issues with providing access to preserved email content have arisen. Ongoing records management policies towards corporate or business email need to be better embedded to stop the loss of important email content, and more awareness is needed around the potential of personal email. While record-keeping legislation and mandates direct retention periods, email document decisions taken by government officials at local, regional and national levels are not always well maintained, if at all; a loss could impact people’s lives along with their ability to assert rights. For these reasons, there was a 2021 trend towards reduced risk, but the Endangered classification remained.

The 2022 Taskforce agreed on a trend towards reduced risk based on material improvement over the last year with applied examples of good practice, including (but not limited to) approaches to creating a PDF format for the preservation of email, and improvements to existing software, tools and workflows supporting complex email preservation.

The 2023 Council agreed with the Endangered classification and noted a decrease in the imminence of action and effort to preserve.

Additional Comments
The 2023 Council also recommended noting areas of overlap with the ‘Cloud-based Services and Communications Platforms’ entry as it pertains to the saving and preservation of email in cloud-based services such as Microsoft Sharepoint).

Email is hugely important as it has been so pervasive as a communication mechanism for society. Some methods used and responsibility adopted for collecting at the business and public body level (again will differ globally), but this will be a fraction of the communities that use it, and few will be set up for the long-term care of this data.

Case Studies or Examples:

- Resources and outputs from the EA-PDF project to identify the essential characteristics and optimal functional requirements of email messages and necessary related information in a PDF technology-based archive. PDF Association (2021), ‘EA-PDF’. Available at: https://www.pdfa.org/resource/ea-pdf/ [accessed 24 October 2023].
- Resources and outputs from the Integrating Preservation Functionality into ePADD (ePADD+) project to integrate long-term email preservation functionality into the program and provide a tool supporting the email archiving lifecycle more robustly. ePADD (n.d.) ‘History’. Available at: https://www.epaddproject.org/about/history [accessed 24 October 2023].
- Resources and outputs from the RATOM project to develop software to assist archives and other collecting organizations with email analysis, selection, and appraisal tasks. RATOM (n.d.) ‘About’. Available at: https://ratom.web.unc.edu/about/ [accessed 24 October 2023].

See also:

First Nations Secret/Sacred Cultural Material

This entry refers broadly to digital secret and sacred cultural material and documentation of First Nations peoples’ heritage in all forms of media. This can include born-digital materials directly or indirectly produced as outputs of research, community projects, oral histories, private or personal recordings, and/or data in databases and online platforms which have not been sustained or future-proofed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Community Archives</th>
<th>New Entry</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imminence of Action</td>
<td>Significance of Loss</td>
<td>Effort to Preserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended within twelve months, detailed assessment is a priority.</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world</td>
<td>Loss seems likely: by the time tools or techniques have been developed the material will likely have been lost.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Examples

Examples are wide ranging but can generally include: Born-digital material produced as an output of funded research, produced as an indirect output of community projects (e.g. funded projects to provide technology, devices and training to First Nation community members to record content); Video or oral histories depicting secret/sacred stories, traditional ceremonies, dances or sacred sites; Private, personal video or oral history recordings on personal devices; Data and content in databases and online platforms.

‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions

Lack of understanding within collecting institutions about cultural restrictions and complex levels of access permissions; Distrust of ‘official’ archives due to lack of culturally appropriate handling of restricted/sensitive material; Lack of technical infrastructure within the (remote/rural) community to preserve the content; Low internet accessibility to rural/remote regions or disadvantaged cohorts (the digital divide); Non-ideal environmental conditions for storing digital carriers (e.g. SD card, digital video device storage, mobile phone).

‘Vulnerable’ in the Presence of Good Practice

Improved internet accessibility (especially in rural/remote regions to enable cloud storage); Funding to improve technical infrastructures within communities (e.g. government or national funding); Funded programs to improve digital literacy within communities (e.g. government or national funding); Well-developed and considered outreach and awareness-raising
efforts/collaborations to increase education to community members about the risks of losing digital content and possible digital preservation solutions; Education and uptake amongst archives and collecting institutions in culturally appropriate approaches for handling and preservation of secret/sacred digital material; Positive reciprocal collaboration and relationships between local First Nation community Knowledge Centres, collecting and research institutions, and Government infrastructures to empower First Nations People in the decision-making in preservation, archiving, description and determination of appropriate accessibility to the content.

2023 Review
This is a new Bit List entry added in 2023 to provide greater awareness and specificity in approaching the digital preservation of secret/sacred, sensitive and private materials. While this entry can be considered very wide-ranging, covering different kinds of digital materials, it was added to draw attention to risks and issues not fully addressed by existing entries—those relating to the significance of material created and support for preservation within and in the context of the communities. While the 2023 Council all agreed on the importance of including this entry, there was also much discussion about the need for further rescoping and presentation of the entry in a considered and appropriate way. For example, differentiating between First Nations cultural material (which can include sacred/secret material) and secret/sacred or other culturally restricted materials more broadly. The discussion touched on the challenges to assign one overall risk classification, significance and impact within the existing structure of the Bit List, and also on providing recommendations for practice in and outside of organizational contexts (taking into account creation, ownership, intervention, misappropriation, legal and ethical considerations that need to be taken when considering these cultural materials).

Additional Comments
The 2023 Council additionally recognizes that further scoping and input are needed for this entry and recommend that the next major review for the Bit List revisit and restructure the entry, in particular looking at scoping the role and importance of creators and communities. It is needing further work to tease out the issues for rural and remote as well as sacred /secret and community archives. Input and guidance from First Nations contributors is necessary.

Consultation with the relevant community knowledge holder or representative is the first consideration when dealing with traditional knowledge issues.

The content and creator are both important to assessing risks and approaches. The secret/sacred nature of the content may deem the material to be restricted to be accessed only by appropriate First Nation community members. However, in the long term, it also has an impact on the relevant nation’s history and heritage. In any case, custodians should take into account permissions and authorization. There will be similarities and differences between First Nation communities and within individual continents.

There is an education piece for cultural institutions. When secret/sacred material comes in we are having to rethink workforces as an archive in how to take in and process and how to make it as safe as possible for viewing and being respectful of the content (e.g., male and female content stored separately, etc.)

Time constraints are unknown, and required actions have a lot to do with government funding

It may be better to score and structure this entry into two, with one for materials from marginalized and/or threatened communities, and another for sacred and/or other culturally restricted materials. Both of these are resistant to centralized and saviourism/colonialism approaches and benefit from community empowerment.
If considering a widening of scope to other culturally restricted materials more broadly, this should also contain any material that is dangerous for the individual to hold, such as LGBTQ+ materials in countries where it is illegal.

**Case Studies or Examples:**


**See also:**

**Interpretive Materials**
Text, graphics, videos, and other content that is used in gallery and exhibition spaces to guide audiences and provide learning experiences.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Museum and Gallery, Social Media</th>
<th>New Rescoped Entry</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Imminence of Action</strong></td>
<td><strong>Significance of Loss</strong></td>
<td>**Effort to Preserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended within five years, detailed assessment within three years</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on many people and sectors</td>
<td>It would require a small effort to preserve materials in this group, requiring the application of proven tools and techniques</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Examples**
These may include explanatory text and diagrams. This type of content typically sits alongside objects being exhibited. This information may also be used in other forms of digital public engagement, including within websites and social media.

‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions
Poor or no documentation; lack of repository and preservation infrastructure; external dependencies; poor storage; significant volumes or diversity of data; digital content being left unmanaged with Exhibitions teams (e.g., not going through any Records Management, Recordkeeping, being passed to the archives, or having preservation even considered)

‘Vulnerable’ in the Presence of Good Practice
Strong documentation; preservation capability; good recordkeeping principles, practices, and management in place; strong repository and preservation technical infrastructure; well-developed migration pathways

**2023 Review**
This entry was added in 2019 under ‘Digital Materials in Museums and Galleries’ and previously rescoped in 2021 to ‘Supporting Digital Materials for Museums and Galleries’.

The 2023 Bit List Council superseded the entry, splitting it into six discrete entries as the scope of the single entry was too broad to provide the guidance needed. The recommendation to break this entry down was also made by the 2021 Jury, as the types of digital collections content in museums can be vast and offer particular risks in museum and gallery contexts. This entry was added to focus on risks tied to interpretive materials in museums and galleries. These materials may be tailored to various audience demographics and may take into account a variety of learning styles. These materials can also provide significant insight into how display and interpretation of objects has changed over time. While some interpretive materials may be text or still image based, others may be more technically complex as they may be time-based (e.g., video) or even a complex digital object in its own right.

**Additional Comments**
Interpretive outputs through websites may have reduced risk as web outputs if captured as part of national web archiving initiatives.
Legacy Media Art
Media art in storage or not otherwise displayed but where the artists or technicians are available to support installation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Media Art</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>→ No change</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>Trend in 2023:</td>
<td>→ No change</td>
<td>Previously: Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Imminence of Action
Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment in one year.

Significance of Loss
The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on many people and sectors.

Effort to Preserve | Inevitability
It would require a small effort to preserve materials in this group, requiring the application of proven tools and techniques.

Examples
Media art in storage

‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions
Lack of documentation to enable maintenance; lack of clarity with respect to intellectual property; complex interdependencies on specific hardware, software or operating systems; lack of capacity in the gallery or workshop; lack of strategic investment; complex external dependencies; loss of institutional memory resulting from staff churn; poor working relationship between the gallery and artist/workshop; lack of conservation assessment.

‘Vulnerable’ in the Presence of Good Practice
Strong documentation; clarity of preservation path and ensuing responsibilities; proven preservation plan; capacity of workshop to support re-installation; capacity of gallery to conserve; capacity of gallery to re-install; retention of institutional memory including archives of correspondence between gallery and artist/workshop; strong and continuing working relationship between the gallery and artist/workshop; regular conservation assessment.

2023 Review:
Media Art was introduced in 2017, though with particular reference to historical media art. The 2019 Jury added and scoped this entry to ensure greater specificity in its recommendation. It is intended to represent works held in galleries but no longer displayed, but where there is a continuing working relationship between the gallery and the artist or workshop and reasonable expectation that support for preservation could still be obtained when required.

The 2020 review found a trend towards greater risk, given that many museums and galleries, which often rely on visitors for income, have been closed for extended periods. Moreover, any form of digital materials that rely on an individual’s knowledge is at particular risk by a pandemic. For similar reasons, the 2021 Jury agreed with the continued trend towards greater risk, noting that digital materials in museums and galleries records are likely to be at greater risk in these circumstances.

The 2023 Council agreed with the Endangered classification with overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend), although noted a decrease in imminence of action as well as the required effort to preserve.

Additional Comments
This entry attempts to capture a point in the lifecycle of media art where preservation risks are increasing but not yet critical. There is a risk that preservation issues will not become apparent until the piece is brought out of storage when considered for loan or exhibition – often on timescales that make it too late to address preservation concerns effectively. Galleries should be aware that the range of data/formats/hardware/software embedded in media art can be wide and vary at different speeds.

Sooner action is needed to prevent the material from becoming Critically Endangered once the artist has died or relationships break down. Where the artist is still around, there is a major reduction in the inevitability of loss and its potential to be a potentially newsworthy subject. The loss of it would be just as impactful and significant though.

Preservation of legacy media artworks is dependent on access to obsolete technology and also the knowledge of how to operate said technology. Documentation around the production process and artist intent can be limited. This is a risk in terms of preserving a truly authentic artwork.

See also:
- NEW MEDIA MUSEUMS: Creating Framework for Preserving and Collecting Media Arts in V4, initiated by the Olomouc Museum of Art as a joint international platform for sharing experience with building and maintaining collections of new media artworks across different types of institutions. The aim of the project is to find workable methods for heritage institutions to build and maintain collections of media arts, which are necessary for safeguarding this area for the benefit of society. See Central European Art Database (2021) ‘NEW MEDIA MUSEUMS: Creating Framework for Preserving and Collecting Media Arts in V4’. Available at: http://cead.space/Detail/projects/3797 [accessed 24 October 2023].
- The Collaborative Infrastructure for sustainable access to digital art LIMA project, to prevent the loss of digital artworks and to commonly develop the knowledge to preserve these works in a sustainable way. The project ‘Infrastructure sustainable accessibility digital art’ invests in research, training, knowledge sharing and conservation to prevent the loss of both digital artworks and the knowledge to preserve them. See LIMA (n.d.) ‘Collaborative infrastructure for sustainable access to digital art’. Available at: https://www.li-ma.nl/lima/article/collaborative-infrastructure-sustainable-access-digital-art [accessed 24 October 2023]

### Legacy Video Files

Video files in any format containing moving pictures and sound recordings, particularly those that are proprietary, contain or utilize encrypted Digital Rights Management (DRM) or carrier bound.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Sound and Vision, Formats</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manifesto of Action</th>
<th>Significance of Loss</th>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imminence of Action</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.</td>
<td>It would require a major effort to prevent losses in this group, such as the development of new preservation tools or techniques.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Examples |
| STARDIVA; AVI; MOV; MKV; MP3; MP4; on DVD or other carriers |

| ‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions |
| Lack of replication; encryption; digital rights management; proliferation of file formats; weak or non-existent technical documentation; lack of preservation capability or commitment; poorly managed or digitization processes or QA; reliance on encoding/decoding software |

| ‘Vulnerable’ in the Presence of Good Practice |
| Effective replication; normalization of file formats; strong technical documentation; preservation pathway; good descriptive cataloguing; trusted repository. |

| 2023 Review |
| This entry was added in 2019 under ‘Video files’ to emphasize the issues of video preservation that pertain to offline recording, whether from broadcast, film industry, institutional and private collections. The 2019 Jury noted the connections between this entry and others relating to social media but argued for a standalone to emphasize the range of issues tied to numerous formats and standards. |

| The 2021 Jury discussed the need for further rescoping, arguing that the entry was too broad to be useful without specifying at-risk types or formats. For this reason, its scope was narrowed to legacy videos that are proprietary, encrypted or carrier-bound. The classification remained Endangered with a 2021 trend towards greater risk given the growing content of at-risk legacy video files but a limited mandate. The 2022 Taskforce noted no change to the trend (they agreed these risks remain on the same basis as before). |

| The 2023 Council agreed with the Endangered with the overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend). Additionally, they agreed that a submitted Bit List nomination for the NSV-based STARDIVA storage format would provide a good example of a video file format especially at risk due to aggravating conditions rather than a separate stand-alone entry. |

| Additional Comments |
| There are simply too many formats and too many standards, but the FFMPEG project and its related tools have significantly mitigated the technical risk to most video files. This enables a practitioner to transform the vast majority of file formats to safer preservation formats while retaining significant properties. However, technical risk is only one of the factors. There needs to be institutional engagement with audio-visual data as a priority. The issue then becomes one of identifying the organizations responsible and, constrained by the cost to store video data, making effective selection decisions. |

| Case Studies or Examples: |
| The NSV-based STARDIVA storage format is a video format with multiple audio streams used in simultaneous translation session recording by agencies such as the UN. It is a proprietary format that is no longer supported, cannot be natively preserved and cannot be viewed correctly using standard video playback tools. As noted by the nominator, given its use by agencies such as the UN, the loss of this format would be a loss of an international record. The nominator added that by using MediaArea LeaveSD it can be partially normalized for preservation purposes. See MediaArea (2022) ‘LeaveSD’. Available at: [https://mediaarea.net/LeaveSD](https://mediaarea.net/LeaveSD) or [https://github.com/MediaArea/LeaveSD](https://github.com/MediaArea/LeaveSD). [accessed 24 October 2023] |
**Digital Preservation Coalition**

*See also:*  

### Oral Histories

Oral histories including both audio and audiovisual (video and sound), and their accompanying transcripts and/or time-pointed summaries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Museum and Gallery, Community Archives, Sound and Vision</th>
<th>New Rescoped Entry</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Imminence of Action</strong></td>
<td><strong>Significance of Loss</strong></td>
<td>**Effort to Preserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended within five years, detailed assessment within three years</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on many people and sectors.</td>
<td>It would require a small effort to preserve materials in this group, requiring the application of proven tools and techniques</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Examples

Examples are wide ranging but can generally include born-digital or digitized material produced as an output of oral history projects; video or oral histories; transcripts, summaries, and other accompanying materials.

**‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions**

Poor documentation; external dependencies; storage on old or degrading media; storage on consumer portable media; lack of preservation planning; lack of sustained funding; lack of ongoing investment in changing preservation requirements; lack of capability; poor documentation; dependence on small staff or volunteer resources; lack of standardized file naming

**‘Vulnerable’ in the Presence of Good Practice**

Preservation capability; high quality storage; meticulous and consistent replication; stored in a trusted repository; preservation requirement understood; intellectual property managed to enable preservation; good descriptive cataloguing; persistent identifiers

### 2023 Review

This entry was added in 2019 under ‘Digital Materials in Museums and Galleries’ and previously rescoped in 2021 to ‘Supporting Digital Materials for Museums and Galleries’.

The 2023 Bit List Council superseded the entry, splitting it into six more discrete entries as the scope of the single entry was too broad to provide the guidance needed. The recommendation to break this entry down was also made by the 2021 Jury, as the types of digital collections content in museums can be vast and offer particular risks in museum and gallery contexts. Approaches to
preservation are dependent on whether these oral history recordings are on analogue and digital portable media (e.g., external hard disk drives, audio or video tapes), or are in a somewhat managed networked environment. If held on portable media, guidance for portable media should be followed.

**Additional Comments**

The 2023 Council agrees with the 2021 Jury Review recommendations that Museum & Gallery entries require further rescopying. In regards to this entry, the 2023 Council recommends that a future review should further rescopy of Oral Histories and Research Materials and Outputs due to overlaps/cross referencing which, due to time constraints, was unable to be done for the 2023 review cycle.

There may be a need for clarifying what falls under oral histories in the context of preservation at the organization - whether it includes audio and/or video recordings recorded for the purposes of creating oral history recordings (to be added to an organization’s collection), or for internal-only use. In addition, there may be some misidentification of oral history recordings, where the intent may have been to capture the recording as a research interview or as vox pops.

**See also:**

‘Vulnerable’ in the Presence of Good Practice

High-quality storage; meticulous and consistent replication; trusted repository; preservation requirement understood at the executive level and funded accordingly; leadership in preservation community; expert staff

2023 Review

In 2019, this entry was added as a subset of a previous 2017 entry, ‘Digital Music Production and Sharing,’ which was split to draw attention to the different challenges faced by the different forms. Though it overlaps with other entries, including ‘Digital Archives of Music Production,’ it is a separate entry to emphasize the inherent and great value of original recordings over and above those distributed and the concomitant need for active preservation.

Both the 2021 Jury and 2022 Taskforce agreed with the Endangered classification with no noted changes towards increased or reduced risk. The 2022 Taskforce additionally recommended use of the term original or primary rather than the term master, except for where it is part of a format’s formal name or an industry-standard use, which is now reflected in this entry and other relevant areas of the Bit List.

The 2023 Council agreed with the Endangered with the overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend).

Additional Comments

The imminence of action will depend on format and age, and the significance of loss may be more largely felt if they are recordings of a major recording star.

This is interesting as the recording houses should be seeing the value of these – so why are they not taking responsibility for looking after them? Do they not feel it is in their financial interests? The archival practices of the studios are typically based on value – the recordings are assumed to be worth keeping. However, this means relatively low-value originals may not be transferred to new media in a timely way and could be lost. There is no comprehensive deposit scheme to address the long tail of music production, and it is often unclear exactly where responsibility lies.

Case Studies or Examples:

- MQA (Master Quality Authenticated), a means of digitally capturing and storing original master recordings as files without the usual loss in fidelity usually experienced with compressed audio files, has gone into administration - if you have MQA files it should still work. That being said, MQA is not lossless and it’s better to get true lossless FLAC files. See McKerrell, H. (2023), ‘MQA has gone into administration: what does this mean for Tidal and supported products?’, What HI-FI? Available at: https://www.whathifi.com/features/mqa-has-gone-into-administration-what-does-this-mean-for-tidal-and-supported-products [accessed 24 October 2023].

See also:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orphaned Works</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Digital materials where copyright is uncertain, disputed or unknowable, meaning that preservation actions are constrained or prevented.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Orphaned Works</th>
<th>Trend in 2022: No change</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2017</td>
<td>Trend in 2023: Towards even greater risk</td>
<td>Previously: Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imminence of Action</th>
<th>Significance of Loss</th>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on many people and sectors.</td>
<td>Loss seems inevitable: loss has already occurred or is expected to occur before tools or techniques develop.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Examples**
Photographs, music recordings, literature.

**‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions**
Lack of understanding of copyright; lack of documentation; dependencies resulting from hardware, software or media; lack of use resulting in lack of priority; lack of strategic investment in digital preservation; workflows that inhibit preservation of content that has not been licensed; encryption; poor storage.

**‘Vulnerable’ in the Presence of Good Practice**
Preservation pathway enabled; proven preservation plan applied; active effort to resolve IPR issues; institutional willingness to take risks for preservation.

**2023 Review**
When this entry was added in 2017, there was little evidence of any renewed effort to address the issue of orphaned work. While there have been improvements to the baseline competence of the archival and library professions in their understanding of copyright and the skills to preserve contents, this alone provides a narrow basis for optimism and the scale of the challenge is likely to have grown just as quickly if not more so as aggravating conditions become more prevalent too.

The 2021 Jury added that while content is preserveable, the preservation of orphan works is a matter of process and risk appetite. Added to the complexity are changes to copyright legislation in and across different national and regional contexts, particularly for UK institutions post-Brexit, as noted in the additional comments below. For this reason, there was a 2021 trend towards greater risk.

The 2023 Council noted that this entry was trending towards greater risk as the longer orphaned works are left without preservation attention, the more vulnerable they become. The complexity of copyright legislation as well as works that cross over several domains/institutions adds to this increased risk.

**Additional Comments**
The 2023 Council additionally recommended that, given Orphaned Works is both a species and an entry, that the next major review for the Bit List includes a rescoping and splitting of this entry to cover the broad spectrum of Orphaned Works and their various preservation issues and efforts.
A risk-based approach is recommended for organizations. Copyright infringements are only likely to become a significant issue in the context of access, and in most cases, the likelihood of any specific action is small. Preservation needs to be presented as a social good, one without which copyright holders would simply be unable to benefit from the property rights they seek to protect.

For UK institutions, the Jury recommends commentary by Naomi Korn on the status of orphan works and the impact of Brexit – that UK institutions are no longer able to make use of the EU Orphan Works Directive and the alternative Orphan Works Licensing Scheme is costly. A list of resources is available at [https://naomikorn.com/resources/](https://naomikorn.com/resources/). For those in the UK, there is also the UK Copyright and Creative Economy Centre (CREATe) for resources on orphan works and copyright more broadly at [https://www.create.ac.uk/resources/](https://www.create.ac.uk/resources/).

**Case Studies or Examples:**
- The National Disc of the BBC Domesday Project offers an example of loss outside of the legal deposit mandate where the copyright owner cannot be traced. See Finnay, A. (n.d.) ATSF. Available at: [https://www.atsf.co.uk/dottext/domesday.html](https://www.atsf.co.uk/dottext/domesday.html) [accessed 24 October 2023].
- Archive of Our Own is a non-commercial and nonprofit central hosting place for fanworks using open-source archiving software. As part of their system, there is a way for users to orphan works to keep the work active but delete any identifying data and transfer the work to a special account. Archive of Our Own (n.d.) ‘Orphaning’. Available at: [https://archiveofourown.org/faq/orphaning?language_id=en](https://archiveofourown.org/faq/orphaning?language_id=en) [accessed 24 October 2023].

**Pre-Production TV and Movie Materials**

Digital records of the creative and production process for film and television, such as initial designs, screenplay and script, on set still photography, rushes or out-takes that are not included in the final production and therefore not available to on-air broadcast archives or film libraries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Sound and Vision</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2017</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Imminence of Action**
Action is recommended within twelve months, detailed assessment is a priority.

**Significance of Loss**
The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on many people and sectors.

**Effort to Preserve | Inevitability**
It would require a major effort to prevent or reduce losses in this group, possibly requiring the development of new preservation tools or techniques.

**Examples**
TV and Movie production archives in digital form; outputs of script management software; drafts of a screenplay; continuity photography; costume design; set design; lighting and sound design.

**‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions**
Lack of custodial responsibility; confusion over intellectual property rights; lack of appraisal; lack of recognition of preservation at executive level.
‘Vulnerable’ in the Presence of Good Practice
Preservation responsibility understood and acted upon; preservation infrastructure and planning for key items; access and use of collections to inform subsequent productions

2023 Review
This entry was first introduced in 2017 and noted as being ‘of concern,’ though the Jury did not have the capacity to assess the entry thoroughly. Additional expertise was recruited for the 2019 Jury, and the entry was added with the *Endangered* classification based on the materials not being collected in any coherent way, likely loss when not valued by production companies, costly space needed to hold them, and lack of expertise needed to catalogue and collect them. In other words, this is not primarily a technical problem and advocacy is needed urgently.

The 2021 Jury added the trend towards greater risk in light of the rise of streaming services. The movie and film industry has been digitizing for a long time, but COVID has brought with it the rise of streaming services like Netflix, Disney+ etc., with productions tied to their organizations. These types of pre-production materials are great for promotion before and immediately after release; ongoing preservation after promotion finishes is unclear or uncertain. The 2022 Taskforce noted no change to the trend (they agreed these risks remain on the same basis as before).

The 2023 Council agreed with the *Endangered* with the overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend) but noted an increased imminence for this entry.

Additional Comments
With the importance of advocacy in mind, it is important to raise awareness and educate directors and filmmakers about managing their digital archive so that it is still accessible if donated to a cultural institution in the future.

Proceedings in Court
Digital materials generated through legal proceedings in court.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Legal Data</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Added to List: 2017</th>
<th>Trend in 2023:</th>
<th>Previously:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Imminence of Action
Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.

Significance of Loss
The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.

Effort to Preserve | Inevitability
It would require a major effort to address losses in this group, possibly requiring the development of new preservation tools or techniques.

Examples
Digital records of proceedings; digital records of rulings, and all manner of quasi-judicial proceedings and tribunals.

‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions
Loss of context; loss of integrity; external dependencies; poor storage; lack of understanding; churn of staff; significant or diversity of data; poorly developed specifications; ill-informed records management; poorly developed transfer protocols; poorly developed migration or normalization; longstanding protocols or procedures that apply unsuitable paper processes to digital materials.

‘Vulnerable’ in the Presence of Good Practice
Well managed data infrastructure; preservation enabled at ingest; carefully managed authenticity; use of persistent identifiers; finding aids; well managed records management processes; recognition of preservation requirements at highest levels; strategic investment in digital preservation; preservation roadmap; participation in the digital preservation community.

2021 Jury Review
This entry is a subset of a previous 2019 entry, ‘Proceedings and Evidence in Court,’ which was itself created as a subset of entry in 2017 for ‘Digital Legal Records and Evidence.’ The 2021 Jury split ‘Proceedings and Evidence in Court’ into two more discrete entries to highlight their distinct preservation challenges and risk profiles. This entry includes court proceedings and recognizes that courts have a responsibility to provide robust preservation that ensures the authenticity of these records. The 2022 Taskforce noted no change to the trend.

The 2023 Council agreed with the Endangered classification with the overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend).

Additional Comments
Recordings of proceedings in court may include the AV recording of the court session, which may pose particular preservation risks associated with the video files.

Temporary courts are continuing to gradually close and decisions about preservation and management of their archives are being made hurriedly and at the last minute. Some of the decisions are placing materials at high risk due to; materials being split all over the place. including to entities with no capacity or capability to preserve them, a seeming lack of understanding that preservation and management of the archives has no completion date, an unwillingness to invest in preservation or a drive to keep costs low which is resulting in negative implications for preservation, hurried choices on preservation measures which are not allowing for proper testing of approaches to safeguard authenticity and legal admissibility (e.g. extracting digital data from complex systems in formats that can then potentially not be restored.

Standard Records Management processes within designated agencies should be able to take care of the preservation of materials like this but given that it is likely to involve complex types of data, such agencies may not be equipped to deliver preservation effectively. It is surprising that courts are not more prominent in the digital preservation community, where solutions now exist.

Case Studies or Examples:
### Recordings of Video Game Play Uploaded to Online Platforms

Recordings of game playing and e-sports that show how games are experienced and played, especially multi-user online games and tournaments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Gaming, Sound and Vision, Social Media, Web</th>
<th>Trend in 2022: No change</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>Trend in 2023: Towards even greater risk</td>
<td>Previously: Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Imminence of Action
Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.

#### Significance of Loss
The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.

#### Effort to Preserve | Inevitability
It would require a major effort to prevent or reduce losses in this group, possibly requiring the development of new preservation tools or techniques.

#### Examples
Material uploaded to Amazon Twitch, game channels on YouTube and other playback services

### ‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions
Controversies around intellectual property rights; lack of offline backup; changing business model of providers; limited recognition of the cultural and historic value of game play; over-dependence on goodwill subsidy of an ad-hoc community; lack of preservation know-how at service providers; dependency on bespoke hardware or interfaces; DMCA takedowns

### ‘Vulnerable’ in the Presence of Good Practice
Offline backup; managed intellectual property rights; players and audiences invested in data

### 2023 Review
This entry was added in 2019 as a subset of an entry made in 2017 for ‘Gaming,’ which the Jury split into four more discrete entries. There are overlaps with the social media entries, except this category specifically draws attention to gaming and e-sports and therefore is a subset of both. By including as a separate entry, the 2019 Jury encouraged greater consideration of the cultural and historic value that such recordings are likely to acquire as well as the technical and economic challenges to preservation. The content is not particularly distinctive in technical terms, but there are aggravating circumstances, namely an almost complete reliance on commercial third parties (Google/YouTube and Amazon/Twitch) for the infrastructure around video capture and hosting. As the majority of this material is experienced and hosted on user-driven and ephemeral platforms such as YouTube and Twitch, it is less ‘collectable’ than the actual games and is unlikely to exist in private or public collections. Involves platforms that the digital preservation sector does not have much experience working with, e.g., Twitch. For these reasons, the 2021 trend was towards greater risk.

In 2023, whilst the Endangered classification and overall risk remained the same, the Council noted an increased trend towards greater risk due to an increase of copyright strikes on content, especially Nintendo gameplay videos.

The Council also noted this is tied to other entries under the gaming species, especially ‘Shut Down and Discontinued Games’, as gameplay videos might be all that remains of the original
experience of some games as well as being used to document the moment a server closed. Additionally, there can be intersecting issues with entries under the social media species, such as ‘Born Digital Photographs and Video shared via Social Media Platforms’ when addressing broader issues surrounding the capture and sharing of video content via a social media platform.

Additional Comments
Copyright claims on video content by publishers such as Nintendo also complicate things. One example of major copyright claims affecting content creators has been Nintendo issuing copyright strikes on a Legend of Zelda: Breath of the Wild video due to its demonstration of a fan-made mod and, once the issue had been raised, more copyright strikes were issued on Legend of Zelda: Breath of the Wild videos that did not contain footage of the mod. In other cases, content creators have had to deal with copyright strikes being issued due to the in-game music.

The significance of loss here is high because recordings, including commentary, and onscreen interactions with other players, seem likely to be the best way of preserving the experience of playing certain games at certain times. We are familiar with the challenges of preserving video, but we need to think about how established approaches will work in the context of the aggravating circumstances outlined above. There is a degree of urgency associated with working out how (legally and technically) preserving the materials that they hold may be preserved.

Important for social context, and from a DP point of view videos should not be too hard, but if we are capturing the experience to inform digital preservation actions and intents, then do not these videos exist in places such as YouTube and wouldn’t they be brought in as part of the ‘documentary’ evidence of DP actions taken on the game or sports that have come into the archive?

Case Studies or Examples:
- The Game Archivist YouTube channel is dedicated to recording full gameplay videos with no commentary to “keep their experience preserved”. See The Game Archivist (n.d.), YouTube. Available at: https://www.youtube.com/@thegamearchivist/about [accessed 24 October 2023].

Research Materials and Outputs in Museums and Galleries
Digital material used in, or resulting from, research carried out on materials, digital or otherwise, held in galleries, museums, or similar. Research outcomes may not be formally published, and supporting datasets may not be formally accessioned or archived by an organization or a related organization. Access to these research materials and outcomes may only be made available for internal use, to inform other public outcomes, or for individual researchers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Museum and Gallery, Research Outputs</th>
<th>New Rescoped Entry</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imminence of Action</td>
<td>Significance of Loss</td>
<td>Effort to Preserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world</td>
<td>Loss seems likely: by the time tools or techniques have been developed the material will likely have been lost.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Examples
Unpublished or published research papers, datasets, databases and other supplementary materials

‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions
Lack of documentation; lack of clarity with respect to intellectual property; unstable funding for repository; external dependencies

‘Vulnerable’ in the Presence of Good Practice
Strong data management planning; preservation capability; good documentation; deposit into trusted repository

2023 Review
This entry was added in 2019 under ‘Digital Materials in Museums and Galleries’ and previously resscoped in 2021 to ‘Supporting Digital Materials for Museums and Galleries’.

The 2023 Bit List Council superseded the entry, splitting it into six discrete entries as the scope of the single entry was too broad to provide the guidance needed. The recommendation to break this entry down was also made by the 2021 Jury, as the types of digital collections content in museums can be vast and offer particular risks in museum and gallery contexts. This entry on Research Materials and Outputs within the scope of Museums and Galleries differs from the ones found in Research Outputs, with the latter focus around institutional supporting higher education institutions but lacking for museums and gallery contexts.

Additional Comments
The 2023 Council agrees with the 2021 Jury Review recommendations that Museum & Gallery entries require further rescoping. In regards to this entry, the 2023 Council recommends that a future review should further rescope of Oral Histories and Research Materials and Outputs due to overlaps/cross referencing which, due to time constraints, was unable to be done for the 2023 review cycle.

This research may be publicly or philanthropically funded. While research materials - used and/or developed in the course or research - and research outputs may not be made publicly available, they may be used to inform other outputs, e.g. exhibition, interpretation, conservation, etc.

Exhibition catalogues and interpretation of collections are often published online in research papers.

Semi-Published Research Data
Data sets produced in the course of research and shared between researchers, such as by posting to a website or portal but without preservation capability or commitment. Typically the data remains in the hands of the researchers who have the job of maintaining it.

Digital Species: Research Outputs
Trend in 2022: ↓ Material Improvement
Consensus Decision

Added to List: 2019
Trend in 2023: → No change
Previously: Endangered

Imminence of Action
Significance of Loss
Effort to Preserve | Inevitability
Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year. | The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world. | It would require a major effort to prevent or reduce losses in this group, possibly requiring the development of new preservation tools or techniques.

**Examples**
Departmental web servers; project wikis; GitHub repositories

**‘Critically Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions**
Originating researcher no longer active or changed research focus; staff on temporary contracts; dependence on single student or staff member; weak or fluid institutional commitment to subject matter; weak institutional commitment to data sharing; complicated or contested intellectual property; encryption; limited or dysfunctional data management planning; web capture challenges that means unlikely to be picked up by automatic crawlers.

**Vulnerable in the Presence of Good Practice**
Data in preparation for transfer to specialist repository; robust data management planning; documented and managed professionally using data stewards.

**2023 Review**
This 2019 entry was previously introduced in 2017 under ‘Research Data,’ though without explicit reference to semi-published research data. In 2019, the Jury split the ‘Research Data’ entry into a range of contexts for research outputs, including this addition. The entry draws attention to represent ‘self-help’ data sharing which is to be encouraged as a means to facilitate open science but should not be confused with long-term preservation. The 2021 Jury agreed with theEndangered classification, noting problems with the volume of data being produced but not being kept in a meaningful way. Research data is complex and has specific requirements for documentation that may only be known to subject matter experts. However, data creators (e.g., researchers) are not necessarily well laced to sustain data in the long term.

There were also a few significant changes to the entry in the 2021 Bit List.

1. Removal of ‘informally’ from the previous entry description (‘shared informally between researchers’) due to possible misperception or misunderstanding; ‘informal’ may imply researchers would perceive the data as low value and not want it captured. This may be the case, so it is important to consider and provide advice to researchers who think there is value in their data.

2. Two previous entries (Geomagnetic Data and Software and Maritime Archaeological Archives) have been removed as separate entries and incorporated into this broader entry on semi-published research data to highlight the range of content and forms semi-research data can take and highlight the need for specialized knowledge and specialist repositories for preparing and managing the data throughout the lifecycle.

3. The 2021 trend towards reduced risk, which was based on improvements and initiatives towards the preservation of semi-published research data since the entry’s addition in 2019.

The 2022 Taskforce agreed on a trend towards reduced risk based on material improvement over the last year that have not only offered examples of good research data management and preservation practices but also suggest a significant shift towards culture of change and collaboration across different research communities and stakeholders. These include (but are not limited to) improvements and initiatives by the European Open Science Cloud (EOSC), Science Europe, Research Data Alliance (RDA), Digital Curation Centre (DCC) and related projects on the preservation of research data and outputs.

The 2023 Council agreed with the Endangered classification.

**Additional Comments**
There is a positive trend of increased research data management activity and engagement by libraries and data centres, which should help to ensure that more research datasets are properly deposited in data repositories, rather than left in a ‘semi-published’ state.

Offering and minting researchers Digital Object Identifiers for datasets deposited at specialist repositories will encourage data citation and increase research impact of individual researchers, which traditionally relied more on publishing papers than datasets.

See also:

### Virtual Reality Materials and Experiences

Virtual reality (VR) refers to a set of technologies which build on existing 3D rendering technologies, with the aim of creating experiences which completely immerse a user in a virtual environment. The related term of Immersive Media (also known by the acronym XR) refers to a set of technologies used to create experiences, which either completely immerse a user in a virtual environment (Virtual Reality), augment the real world with virtual elements (Augmented Reality) or combine elements of the two (Mixed Reality). Key technologies include headsets, tracking systems, real-time 3D software and 360 video.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Media Art</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>→ No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2021</td>
<td>Trend in 2023:</td>
<td>Previously: Endangered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>→ No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Imminence of Action**
Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.

**Significance and Impact**
The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on a large group of people and sectors.

**Effort to Preserve | Inevitability**
It would require a major effort to prevent or reduce losses in this group, including the development of new preservation tools or techniques.

**Examples**
Oculus Rift, VR tours, art installations

‘**Critically Endangered**’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions
Lack of established frameworks and tools; technology is relatively poorly understood in the digital preservation domain; many of the technologies are proprietary; technology is seen as inherently fragile and therefore risky to collect and preserve; emulators do not currently support XR applications; expected to be difficult and costly to migrate, a process itself dependent on access to vulnerable source materials.

‘**Vulnerable**’ in the Presence of Good Practice
Effective replication; emulation; strong technical documentation; preservation pathway; good
descriptive cataloguing; trusted repository.

2023 Review
This was a new 2021 entry submitted through the open nomination process. These VR
technologies are finding use in many sectors, including archaeology, architecture, contemporary
art, documentary film, gaming, forensics, science and engineering. While these technologies are
not new per se, having experienced a first wave in the 1990s, they have experienced renewed
interest recently as a result of a new generation of hardware. There are connections between this
entry and others relating to both Media Art and Gaming, but it has been included as its own entry
to emphasize the issues of preservation that pertain to the interconnected set of specific
hardware and software components that access to XR experiences is contingent on. VR is
challenging to document due to the individual nature of the experience, and components tend to
become rapidly obsolete due to a fast rate of technological change as the industry pushes newer,
higher fidelity hardware and software. This results in the potential to lose access to XR software
applications, as old VR applications can no longer communicate with new XR hardware. The
reliance on proprietary software and hardware components, as well as the lack of industry
standards, poses a further risk.

The 2023 Council agreed with the classification of Endangered with overall risks remaining on the
same basis as before (no change to the trend).

Additional Comments
The current wave of materials made using XR technologies represent a unique point in time for
the continued development of the technology and therefore represent a significant piece of
computing history. Individual materials/experiences created using XR technologies present their
own significance beyond this, which, noted elsewhere in this entry, can be represented in a wide
range of sectors.

The impacts of the loss of access to virtual reality materials could be widely felt, given their wide-
ranging uses across many sectors — most notably collections and archives containing materials
accessed using these technologies. Simultaneously there is a risk of a loss of understanding of this
technologies’ development during the 2010-present period, which is likely to be of historical
significance in and of itself.

Media artworks are often made with a network of knowledge that can be precarious.
Documentation around production processes can be minimal, and hence acting quickly with
known processes can gather information before the knowledge and people networks start to
disperse. This can mean preservation of production environments and associated workflows can
be preserved alongside the media.

Case Studies or Examples:
- The Preserving Immersive Media Knowledge Base resource, created to help share
  information between members of the digital preservation community who are caring for
  virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), mixed reality (MR), 360 video, real-time 3D
  software and other similar materials. This site was born out of Tate’s Preserving
  Immersive Media Project with funding from the Netherlands Institute for Sound & Vision.
  See Preserving Immersive Media Knowledge Base (n.d.). Available at:
  https://pimkb.gitbook.io/pimkb/ [accessed 24 October 2023]
- Resources and outputs from the Preserving and Sharing Born Digital and Hybrid Objects
  From and Across The National Collection project. See V&A Research Projects (n.d.)
  ‘Preserving and Sharing Born Digital and Hybrid Objects’. Available at:
  https://www.vam.ac.uk/research/projects/preserving-and-sharing-born-digital-and-

- The Tate Preserving Immersive Media project, which is developing strategies for the preservation of artworks which utilize immersive media such as 360 video, real-time 3D, virtual, augmented and mixed reality. Preserving Immersive Media (2018 - ongoing) Tate. Available at: https://www.tate.org.uk/about-us/projects/preserving-immersive-media [accessed 24 October 2023].
- Richardson, J. (2023) ‘Virtual Reality is a big trend in museums, but what are the best examples of museums using VR?’, Museum Next. Available at: https://www.museumnext.com/article/how-museums-are-using-virtual-reality/ [accessed 24 October 2023].
- The issues and approaches raised by the Tuvalu Future Now Project, a set of three major initiatives designed to preserve its nationhood, governance and culture in the event of a worst-case scenario. The third initiative is the development of a digital nation. It includes digitising and transferring access to government and consular services and all accompanying administrative systems into the cloud to enable elections to continue to be held, and government bodies to continue in their roles. It also includes a virtual copy of Te Afuliliku, the first island in Tuvalu to be digitally recreated through satellite imagery, photos and drone footage, creating a digital twin to not only help inform decisions around urban planning and development but also examine how to use augmented and virtual reality to allow displaced and future generations of Tuvaluans to continue to exist as both a culture and a nation, complete with ancestral knowledge and value systems. If this concept becomes a reality, the Tuvaluan people will be able to interact with one another in a digital dimension, in a way that imitates real life and helps to preserve shared language and customs. See Fainu, K. (2023) ‘Facing extinction, Tuvalu considers the digital clone of a country’, The Guardian. Available at: https://www.theguardian.com/world/2023/jun/27/tuvalu-climate-crisis-rising-sea-levels-pacific-island-nation-country-digital-clone [accessed 24 October 2023].

See also:
- NEW MEDIA MUSEUMS: Creating Framework for Preserving and Collecting Media Arts in V4, initiated by the Olomouc Museum of Art as a joint international platform for sharing experience with building and maintaining collections of new media artworks across different types of institutions. The aim of the project is to find workable methods for heritage institutions to build and maintain collections of media arts, which are necessary for safeguarding this area for the benefit of society. See Central European Art Database (2021) ‘NEW MEDIA MUSEUMS: Creating Framework for Preserving and Collecting Media Arts in V4’. Available at: http://cead.space/Detail/projects/3797 [accessed 24 October 2023].
- The Collaborative Infrastructure for sustainable access to digital art LIMA project, to prevent the loss of digital artworks and to commonly develop the knowledge to preserve these works in a sustainable way. The project ‘Infrastructure sustainable accessibility digital art’ invests in research, training, knowledge sharing and conservation to prevent the loss of both digital artworks and the knowledge to preserve them. See LIMA (n.d.) ‘Collaborative infrastructure for sustainable access to digital art’. Available at: https://www.li-ma.nl/li-ma/article/collaborative-infrastructure-sustainable-access-digital-art [accessed 24 October 2023]
9. Vulnerable

Digital materials are listed as *Vulnerable* when the technical challenges to preservation are modest but responsibility for care is poorly understood, or where the responsible agencies are not meeting preservation needs.

This classification would include *Lower Risk* materials in the presence of aggravating conditions; and endangered materials in the presence of good practice.
Cloud Storage
Materials routinely copied or backed up to an independently managed, off-site data storage facility and able to be restored under contractual terms

| Digital Species: Cloud, Integrated Storage | Trend in 2022: | No change |
| Consensus Decision |
| Added to List: 2019 | Trend in 2023: | No change |
| Previously: Vulnerable |

Imminence of Action
Action is recommended as required, with periodic review every five years.

Significance of Loss
The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on many people and sectors around the world.

Effort to Preserve | Inevitability
Loss seems likely: by the time tools or techniques have been developed the material will likely have been lost.

Examples
Remote network storage provided by a third-party service under contracts, such as DropBox, Amazon, Microsoft Azure, Dell EMC, Google Cloud Platform, Google Drive, IBM, Intel, Rackspace, Iron Mountain, SAP, and others

‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions
Encryption; lack of routine maintenance; lack of storage replication; over-dependence on a single supplier; insufficient documentation; lack of local alternative; political or commercial instability; overly aggressive compression; poor information security; lack of transparent integrity-checking; lack of strategic investment; lack of migration plan; lack of exit strategy; unenforceable penalties; unstable pricing; unpredictable removal costs

‘Lower Risk’ in the Presence of Good Practice
Backup to different technology; backup to diverse locations; documentation of assets; integrity checking; preservation planning; export functionality; resilient to hacking; version control; resilient funding; technology watch; enforceable contract; disaster planning and documentation; stable pricing; budgeted removal costs

2023 Review
This entry was added in 2019 to ensure that the range of media storage is properly assessed and presented. The 2021 Jury noted increased risk in light of greater reliance on the cloud and localized disruptions to cloud services over the pandemic. A 2021 trend towards greater risk was based on the wider (global) dependence on these services, especially Google Drive, for record-keeping and business workflows. The impact of loss increases with more reliance on cloud services leading to greater risk; however, this should not deter people from using cloud storage. The 2022 review agreed with this assessment but noted no significant increase in trend for 2022.

The 2023 Council review recommended this entry be moved to a new higher-level Cloud species as the previous Integrated Storage species worked less well (for hardware technologies). The Council agreed the previous Vulnerable classification stand with the overall risks remaining on the same basis as before so long as there are safeguards in place. However, the Council noted that these safeguards may not in all cases be sufficient to address existing risks. They noted how some governments may cut off the internet in times of unrest, having a disastrous effect on access to cloud-based resources, and raised questions about the feasibility to recover material after a major
cloud vendor fails, or due to malicious acts. For these materials, the significance of loss and effort to preserve is much greater with potential for an increased trend towards greater risk with loss of existing safeguards.

**Additional Comments**
The history of digital preservation suggests that the risk of vendors going out of business or shutting down services is the key issue here, over and above any specific technical solutions or risks.

**Case Studies or Examples:**
- Case of a cloud storage provider who suffered major data loss (or its clients suffered data loss) due to a fire in its data centre. Those clients suffered most who did not include geographically redundant storage in the contract with the storage provider as this was more expensive. See Rosemain, M. and Satter, R. (2021) ‘Millions of websites offline after fire at French cloud services firm’, Reuters. Available at: https://www.reuters.com/article/us-france-ovh-fire-idUSKBN2B20NU [accessed 24 October 2023]
- The National Archives UK (2023) ‘Digital Services and carbon emissions in the heritage sector: some preliminary findings’, which noted areas relating to the cloud and cloud storage. They write “If we are looking for areas where significant carbon reductions could be made quickly, they are not to be found here. The evidence is that hosting digital services on site results in more carbon emissions than a sensibly located (i.e., in a territory with a high proportion of electricity generated from renewables) cloud host and that, where it might be felt that migrating services simply migrates emissions from scope 2 to scope 3, in practice cloud providers can offer the same storage and compute with lower emissions. Amazon in particular reports its view of the carbon ‘saved’ by using its services rather than your own, but these are estimates and should not be regarded as robust.” See The National Archives (UK) (2023), ‘Digital Services and carbon emissions in the heritage sector: some preliminary findings’. Available at: https://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/archives-sector/digital-services-and-carbon-emissions-in-the-heritage-sector-some-preliminary-findings/ [accessed 24 October 2023]

**Current Hard Disk Technologies**
Materials saved to storage devices with a variety of underlying magnetic or solid-state (flash) technologies that are hardwired into a computer still under warranty or supported: typically hard disks that are less than five years old.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Integrated Storage</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Trend in 2023:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Previously: Vulnerable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imminence of Action</th>
<th>Significance of Loss</th>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended within five years, detailed assessment within three years.</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on many people and sectors.</td>
<td>Loss of material in this group could be entirely avoidable if provided the means to deploy proven tools and techniques.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Examples

Direct Attached Storage (DAS) such as magnetic or solid-state drives integrated into individual laptops or workstations and into smaller scale storage facilities.

### ‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions

Encryption; poor handling; poor storage; lack of consistent replication; failure of external (dependencies, e.g., suppliers, security); political or commercial interference; failure of internal dependencies (e.g., power supply, disk controller); overly aggressive compression; poor information security; lack of integrity-checking; lack of strategic investment; lack of warranty; unenforceable warranty.

### ‘Lower Risk’ in the Presence of Good Practice

Backup to different technology; backup to diverse locations; documentation of assets; integrity checking; preservation planning; refreshment planning; export functionality; resilient to hacking; selection and appraisal criteria; version control; resilient funding; technology watch; enforceable warranty; disaster planning.

### 2023 Review

This entry was added in 2019 to ensure that the range of media storage is properly assessed and presented. It was reviewed in 2021 with a noted trend towards greater risk in light of the continued shift towards reliance on cloud storage with computers increasingly reducing hard disk for solid-state storage and commercial motivations for less support, and reviewed in 2022 with no noted increase in trend towards even greater or reduced risk.

The 2023 Council agreed with the current Vulnerable classification, with overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend), while also noting a slight decrease in the effort needed to preserve and the imminence of action required when compared to the 2021 Jury review.

### Additional Comments

As people increasingly select other storage methods, such as cloud, they are less likely to maintain existing content on portable hard disks, which means the portable hard disks are more likely to be overlooked or ignored (e.g., left in drawers) rather than checked and refreshed.

There are also indications of increasing prevalence of soldered-in flash storage which cannot easily be accessed in the case of device failure.

### Case Studies or Examples:

- Some new technologies like shingling, HAMR/MAMR and multiple actuators have given HDD technology—and, more importantly for preservation, interfaces such as SATA and SAS—a new lease on life. Nevertheless, the writing is on the wall as flash and related technologies move to NVME and CXL interfaces. See Mellor, C. (2023) ‘Pure: No more hard drives will be sold after 2028’, Blocks & Files. Available at [https://blocksandfiles.com/2023/05/09/pure-no-more-hard-drives-2028/](https://blocksandfiles.com/2023/05/09/pure-no-more-hard-drives-2028/) [accessed 24 October 2023]

- For example, SSDs can be remarkably sensitive to storage conditions when unpowered. See Cox, A. (2013) ‘JEDEC SSD Specifications Explained’, JC-64.8. Available at: [https://www.jedec.org/sites/default/files/Alvin_Cox%20%5bCompatibility%20Mode%5d_0.pdf](https://www.jedec.org/sites/default/files/Alvin_Cox%20%5bCompatibility%20Mode%5d_0.pdf) [accessed 24 October 2023]
### Local Network Storage

Materials routinely copied or backed up to locally managed data storage facilities and able to be restored under institutional service arrangements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Integrated Storage</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trend in 2023:</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Previously: Vulnerable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Imminence of Action**

Action is recommended as required, with periodic review every five years.

**Significance of Loss**

The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on many people and sectors.

**Effort to Preserve | Inevitability**

Loss of material in this group could be entirely avoidable if provided the means to deploy proven tools and techniques.

**Examples**

Institutional or departmental network storage and institutional data centers based on technologies such as (NAS) Network Attached Storage, (RAID) Redundant Array of Independent Disks, (SAN) Storage Area Networks, JBOD (Just a bunch of disks), SPAN and related

**‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions**

Encryption; lack of routine maintenance; lack of storage replication; over-dependence on a single supplier, technology or technician; insufficient documentation; single point of failure; political or commercial interference; failure of dependencies (e.g., power supply, controller software); overly aggressive compression; poor information security; lack of integrity-checking; lack of strategic investment; lack of warranty; unenforceable warranty, encryption.

**‘Lower Risk’ in the Presence of Good Practice**

Backup to different technology; backup to diverse locations; documentation of assets; integrity checking; preservation planning; refreshment planning; export functionality; resilient to hacking; selection and appraisal criteria; version control; resilient funding; technology watch; enforceable warranty; disaster planning and documentation.

**2023 Review**

This entry was added in 2019 to ensure that the range of media storage is properly assessed and presented.

The 2023 Council agreed with the current Vulnerable classification with overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend), while also noting a slight decrease in the effort needed to preserve and the imminence of action required when compared to the 2021 Jury review.

**Additional Comments**
There has been a renewed interest in tape as offline storage is the only sure protection against advanced ransomware.

See also:

---

**PDF**

Documents presented in PDF (Portable Document Format) format (ISO 32000:1 and ISO 32000:2) and other data wrapped inside them, including all variants and versions, including PDF/A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Formats</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>→ No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Added to List: 2017</th>
<th>Trend in 2023:</th>
<th>Previously:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>→ No change</td>
<td>Vulnerable/Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Imminence of Action**

Action is recommended as required, with periodic review every five years.

**Significance of Loss**

The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.

**Effort to Preserve | Inevitability**

It would require a small effort to preserve materials in this group, requiring the application of proven tools and techniques.

**Examples**

Documents stored offline, or online in repositories or EDRMS, including reports, agenda, minutes, correspondence, contracts, essays, articles, or research papers, PDF 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 1.6, 1.7 and 2.0. PDF/A, PDF/X and PDF/E

- **‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions**
  - Loss of context; loss of authenticity or integrity; external dependencies; poor storage; lack of understanding; significant diversity of data; poorly developed digitization specifications; lack of integrity checking; poorly developed migration or normalizations specifications; lack of virus control; poor storage or replication; lack of validation at the point of creation; encryption

- **‘Lower Risk’ in the Presence of Good Practice**
  - Well-managed data infrastructure; preservation planning; authenticity managed; use of persistent identifiers; reduction of dependencies; application of records management standards; recognition of preservation requirements beyond formats; strategic investment in digital preservation; preservation roadmap; participation in digital preservation community; format validation; version control

**2023 Review**

The PDF entry was added in 2017 and was split into two entries, ‘PDF/A’ and ‘PDF other than PDF/A’, in 2019 to emphasize the different threats faced by different types of PDF.

The 2021 Jury agreed with this decision and noted that trends for the PDF other than PDF/A entry and the PDF/A entry were both towards a reduced risk.
The 2023 Council recommended merging the two previously split entries (‘PDF/A’ and ‘PDF other than PDF/A’). After reviewing the two entries separately, they found more similarities than differences between the two and indeed across all types of PDF (not just PDF/A). Due to the level of commercial, open-source tools that are available to assist preservation, the risk of loss is less persistent than previously suggested. Therefore a *Vulnerable* classification is appropriate for all PDF formats as whole.

**Additional Comments**

There is a lot of material produced and kept in PDF. Some of it is authoritative, in other words, the only available copy, while some of it is not. However, if it is the only copy and it is lost, it can have an impact on a lot of people.

The challenge in evaluating the significance and impact of the loss of PDFs is that they’re quite often a surrogate of something else, whether a digitized record or a Word document, etc. Whether or not that record is retained may be a factor. We should also be considering PDF Portfolios, which are an extension of PDF 1.7. Portfolios contain embedded files and can include text documents, spreadsheets, PowerPoints, emails, Computer Aided Design (CAD) drawings.

Vulnerability also depends on if the PDF file conforms to the specific PDF/A standard or not. This is caused by a combination of 1) not conforming to the standard and 2) collection managers assuming that the file is resilient simply because it purports to be a PDF/A. This risk is less with the format and more with the understanding and experience in data management. Moreover, materials embedded in or attached to PDF/A-2 and PDF/A-3 may be at risk.

See also:

**Pension, Mortgage, and Insurance Records**

Records of transactions for long-lived financial products and services contracted between individuals and corporations. These records typically contain or depend on significant amounts of personal information and outlast the infrastructure on which they were created.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Sensitive Data</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2017</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Previously: Vulnerable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imminence of Action</th>
<th>Significance of Loss</th>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on many people and sectors</td>
<td>It would require a small effort to preserve materials in this group, requiring the application of proven tools and techniques.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Examples**

Applications, correspondence and ancillary records relating to pensions, mortgages and insurances and other contracts of long duration. This includes corporate databases, email, web archives and EDRMS, and may require some coordination of paper, microfiche, born-digital and
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digitized records. These records often include the scope and duration of the contract as well as any agreed changes during the lifetime of the product. It may also include evidence of mis-selling or other sharp practice, which only becomes apparent after the fact. This entry pertains to corporate records rather than personal records.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lack of corporate preservation planning; lack of preservation within the procurement of corporate systems; companies conflating backup with preservation; loss of integrity and authenticity; loss of context and connections to provide meaning; lack of preservation capability within agencies; lack of preservation voice at executive level; poor planning and roadmap for corporate infrastructure; proliferation of legacy systems; slapdash procurement or migration of new systems; mergers and acquisitions leading to confusion of corporate systems; lack of compliance, audit or accountability at operational levels; encryption.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Lower Risk’ in the Presence of Good Practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Backup and documentation; use of open formats and open source software; considered data management planning; licencing that enables preservation; preservation capability in designated repository; resilient to hacking; selection and appraisal in place; authenticity and integrity of records managed; resilient funding and recognition at executive level; technology watch; regular preservation audits; accreditation and participation in the professional preservation community.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2023 Review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This entry was added in 2017 but was outside the competence of the judges to assess at that time. It was assessed in 2019 with additional expertise invited to the panel to support this assessment and reviewed again in 2020.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 2021 Jury agreed with that 2019 assessment and subsequent 2020 review, which classified these digital materials as **Vulnerable** with no trend towards greater or reduced risk.

The 2023 Council agreed with the **Vulnerable** classification with the overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Additional Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The importance of retaining documentation in any kind of legal agreement offers this kind of material more protection than most but legal organizations may conflate backup with preservation and not always have consistent records management systems.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*See also:*
- The work and outputs of the EDRMS Preservation Taskforce, such as the EDRMS Preservation Toolkit, may be helpful for guidance in this context. See Digital Preservation Coalition (2021) ‘EDRMS Preservation Toolkit’. Available at: https://www.dpconline.org/digipres/implement-digipres/edrms-preservation-toolkit [accessed 24 October 2023]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Published Research Data Appended to Journal Articles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Closed research data sets produced and documented in accordance with good practice and simply appended to a journal article or transferred to a repository that does not have sufficient subject-matter expertise or funding commitment to ensure reliable or ongoing preservation for the long term.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Digital Preservation Coalition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Research Outputs</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>↓ Material improvement</td>
<td>Previously: Endangered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imminence of Action</th>
<th>Added to List: 2019</th>
<th>Trend in 2023:</th>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.</td>
<td>↓ Material improvement</td>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.</td>
<td>It would require a small effort to preserve materials in this group going forward, requiring the application of proven tools and techniques.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Examples
Data sets added to papers in repositories that are designed primarily for papers; electronic journals offering data sets without obvious preservation capacity; institutional repositories servicing highly complex scientific data sets with insufficient subject-matter expertise.

### ‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions
Unstable funding or revenues; poorly designed migration or normalization processes; poorly formed ingest and quality assurance procedures; rapid churn of staff; incoherent patterns of subject matter; lack of domain knowledge; no or very small numbers of users; weak or absent collecting policy; deposit to ensure minimal compliance with funder mandate; limited or dysfunctional data management planning.

### ‘Lower Risk’ in the Presence of Good Practice
Clear preservation planning; repository development roadmap; ability to transfer collections or share metadata with subject repositories or portals; strong user base; demonstrable re-use of data; clear collecting policy; data management planning early in the data lifecycle.

### 2023 Review
This 2019 entry was previously introduced in 2017 under 'Published Research Outputs,' though without explicit reference to the research data appended to journal articles. In 2019, the Jury split the entry into a range of contexts for research outputs, including this addition and ‘Research Data Published through Repositories’. The entry draws attention to services that take upon themselves commitments to preserve research data, but which may not deliver those promises through lack of capability.

The 2021 Jury agreed with the *Endangered* classification but commented on the improvements and initiatives towards preservation of research data outputs, with good practice documentation and replication in this space (e.g., collaborations with publishers and repositories, LOCKSS, CLOCKSS, etc.). For these reasons, the 2021 trend was towards reduced risk.

The 2022 Taskforce agreed on a trend towards reduced risk based on material improvement over the last year that have not only offered examples of good research data management and preservation practices but also suggest a significant shift towards culture of change and collaboration across different research communities and stakeholders. These include (but are not limited to) improvements and initiatives by the European Open Science Cloud (EOSC), Science Europe, Research Data Alliance (RDA), Digital Curation Centre (DCC) and related projects on the preservation of research data and outputs.

The 2023 Council changed the classification from *Endangered* to *Vulnerable*. This change was due to the fact that many, if not most, HEI libraries that produce research are doing more in terms of research data management and the activities in this area are growing and scaling up. Due to increased focus on this area, it was recommended that the classification change to *Vulnerable*.

### Additional Comments
Research data is complex and has specific requirements for documentation which may only be known to subject matter experts. However well intended, it is risky for institutions to attempt to replicate that level of expertise across all the domains within the institution, and it can be hard for smaller publishers to make commitments to sustain data in the long term.

The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world. Particularly those involved with reproducibility and those wishing to use the datasets for further research.

Although there have been improvements in current practice, policies and workflows, there is still a significant corpus of information that was deposited before these improvements came into force. It is unlikely that there will be the time, will or resource to bring this information up to current standards.

UK funders e.g. UKRI-NERC Environmental Data Service are educating researchers about data policies which mandate depositing master and raw data at the funder disciplinary repository. These repositories have a strong expertise in the research discipline ensuring data and metadata standardization and quality assurance. Any copies of datasets published in journal articles or similar are considered secondary copies and do not comply with data policy, hence risking obtaining future research funding by the institute attempting to use journal outputs as their funder-acknowledged datasets.

The significance and impact of this entry specifically depends on whether it is the only copy of the dataset in existence, or whether there is another copy hosted in a data repository.

*Case Studies or Examples:*


- Resources and research outputs from the Enhancing Services to Preserve New Forms of Scholarship project, which examined a variety of enhanced eBooks and identified which features can be preserved at scale using tools currently available. Of particular note is the published guidelines for preserving new forms of scholarship. See Greenberg, J., Hanson, K., & Verhoff, D. (2021) ‘Guidelines for Preserving New Forms of Scholarship’ NYU Libraries. Available at: [https://doi.org/10.33682/221c-b2xj](https://doi.org/10.33682/221c-b2xj).

- The work by the Centre pour la Communication Scientifique Directe (CCSD) of France and the Confederation of Open Access Repositories (COAR) in creating a preprint repository directory which has been relevant to building a user community). See Centre pour la Communication Scientifique Directe (CCSD) of France and the Confederation of Open Access Repositories (COAR) (n.d.) ‘Directory of Open Access Preprint Repositories’. Available at: [https://doapr.coar-repositories.org/](https://doapr.coar-repositories.org/) [accessed 24 October 2023]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Published Research Papers</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed research papers published in serials, monographs or theses which fall under specific collecting policies of research libraries or archives and are managed through dedicated repository infrastructures.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Research Outputs</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>No change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2017</td>
<td>Trend in 2023:</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previously: Vulnerable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imminence of Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Significance of Loss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>It would require a small effort to preserve materials in this group, requiring the application of proven tools and techniques.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Examples</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Published research papers in scholarly E-Books and Electronic Journals; Electronic manuscripts; Electronic theses (E-theses)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lack of documentation; lack of clarity with respect to intellectual property; embedded complex objects; unstable funding for repository; lack of strategic investment; complex external dependencies; lack of persistent identifiers; bespoke formats; lack of legal deposit mandate.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Lower Risk’ in the Presence of Good Practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strong documentation including intellectual property rights; clarity of preservation path and ensuing responsibilities; credible preservation plan; proven capacity of repository; legal deposit preservation copying; post-cancellation access service; persistent identifiers used consistently; non-proprietary formats used and validated; minimal or well managed external dependencies.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>2023 Review</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This entry was added in 2017 under ‘Published research outputs,’ though without reference to the capacity of the repository infrastructure. The 2019 Jury amended it to presume the existence of repository infrastructure and noted that the aggravating conditions (which introduce risks) and good practice enhancements (which reduce it) are most relevant to repository operations. While the 2020 Jury found no change in trend, the 2021 Jury agreed it should remain Vulnerable but discussed improvements and initiatives towards the preservation of research data and outputs, pointing to a trend towards reduced risk.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| The 2023 Council agreed with the Vulnerable classification, noting a slight decrease in imminence of action with no significant trends towards greater or reduced risk. |

| Additionally, the Council recommended that a nomination received for a new ‘E-theses’ entry would provide a valuable example to this entry rather than as a new, standalone entry. However, as noted in the additional comments below, a recommended rescoping of the entry planned the next Bit List will revisit this nomination again as part of a restructuring. |

| **Additional Comments** |
The 2023 Council additionally recognize that further scoping and input are needed for this entry and recommend that the next major review revisit and restructure the entry, in particular looking at restructuring based on differences between:

- Types of published material. There are different levels of risk relating to the published version of record of the research paper (typically hosted on a publisher or aggregator platform), research papers hosted on institutional open access repositories (typically the author accepted manuscript rather than the version of record), and E-theses (typically hosted on an institutional repository or similar platform, sometimes with a copy harvested by an aggregation service, such as Ethos). However, there is a chance of becoming too granular with entries if separating them by types.

- The version of the record hosted on the publisher platform and the version hosted in open access repository. In other words, it might be a better question to ask where it is being published rather than what is being published. Preservation risks and considerations for these are quite different and would benefit from being assessed separately.

A 2023 nomination for E-theses highlights distinct risks tied to these digital published materials. E-theses tend to be sole documents which when published by universities may get harvested into other aggregators or resources but in many cases the only copy (with no physical/analogue copy) sits on an Institution's repository. In addition, many are deposited in PDF format (of many varieties and many don't even attempt to use PDF/A etc.) risking long term accessibility and re-use. However, the breadth of risks goes beyond just the PDF variety, as e-theses often include databases, audiovisual materials, websites, and more.

The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world. Particularly those involved with reproducibility and those wishing to use the datasets for further research.

Although there have been improvements in current practice, policies and workflows, there is still a significant corpus of information that was deposited before these improvements came into force. It is unlikely that there will be the time, will or resources to bring this information up to current standards.

See also:

- Klungthanaboon, W., (2021) ‘From “research output” to “research data” - a willingness to move forward?’, Digital Preservation Coalition Blog. Available at: https://www.dpconline.org/blog/wdpd/research-output-to-research-data [accessed 24 October 2023]
- Resources and recent outputs from Public Knowledge Project (PKP) Preservation Network, which developed to digitally preserve Open Journal Systems (OJS) journals. See Public Knowledge Project (n.d.) ‘PKP Preservation Network’. Available at: https://pkp.sfu.ca/pkp-pn/ [accessed 24 October 2023]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Preservation Coalition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Recently Commissioned or Completed Media Art**

Media art currently displayed in a gallery or in the process of being displayed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Media Art</th>
<th>Trend in 2022:</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>→ No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Added to List: 2019</th>
<th>Trend in 2023:</th>
<th>Previously: Vulnerable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>→ No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Imminence of Action**

Action is recommended within twelve months, detailed assessment is a priority.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Significance of Loss</th>
<th>Effort to Preserve</th>
<th>Inevitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on many people and sectors.</td>
<td>It would require a small effort to preserve materials in this group, requiring the application of proven tools and techniques.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Examples**

Media art recently acquired by galleries that utilize specific hardware and software in order to be accessed or exhibited.

‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions

Lack of documentation to enable maintenance; lack of clarity with respect to intellectual property; complex interdependencies on specific hardware, software or operating systems; lack of capacity in the gallery or workshop; lack of strategic investment; complex external dependencies; lack of documentation about artist intent

‘Lower Risk’ in the Presence of Good Practice

Strong documentation; clarity of preservation path and ensuing responsibilities; proven preservation plan; capacity of workshop to support artwork at de-installation; capacity of gallery to conserve after de-installation; capacity of gallery to re-install work

**2023 Review**

This entry was added in 2019 as a separate entry, but it was previously introduced in 2017 under ‘Media Art’ with particular reference to historical media art. It was added for greater specificity for its recommendations, to represent works commissioned in the last five years where there is a reasonable expectation that documentation has been produced or could still be obtained.

While the 2020 Jury found no change in trend, the 2021 Jury discussed how prospects for long-term preservation depend entirely on whether the artwork is collected post-commission and by an organization with the resources to care for it. They agreed that the classification remains Vulnerable but with a trend towards greater risk because the imminence of action is time-sensitive, requiring working with the artist to get the documentation from them about their work and what is needed before it is too late. Furthermore, there remains a vulnerability for the smaller museums or others that do not take the preservation of media art as seriously.

The 2023 Council agreed with the Vulnerable classification with overall risks remaining on the same basis as before (no change to the trend), although noted a change in the imminence of action from 3 years to 12 months.

**Additional Comments**

By the time digital art, time-based media, etc., has entered into the permanent care of a stewarding institution, many of its technologies are already end-of-life, unsupported, or the
hardware components have deteriorated. Often the expertise to maintain these many interacting components sits outside the host organization, with a technical supplier to the gallery, and this is in itself vulnerable to business change. Although there are a few exceptions, there is a need for greater capacity within the museum and gallery sector to address the challenges.

There have been new initiatives for guidance and examples of institutions taking wider sectoral responsibility for standards, which have helped with the effort to preserve, such as Matters in Media Art information resource and guidance.

Media artworks are often made with a network of knowledge that can be precarious. Documentation around production processes can be minimal, and hence acting quickly with known processes can gather information before the knowledge and people networks start to disperse. This can mean preservation of production environments and associated workflows can be preserved alongside the media.

Some art works specifically leverage the limitations and characteristics of the systems that they incorporate, often in unusual ways. This can be hard to migrate or emulate accurately.

Case Studies or Examples:

- This includes decision model work around acquisition of complex collections such as born digital and hybrid art. See Ensom, T, and McConnachie, S. (2022) ‘Preserving and sharing born-digital and hybrid objects from and across the National Collection’, Decision Model Report: March 2022. Available at: http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7097489
- Matters in Media Art (n.d.) ‘Guidelines for the care of media artworks’. Available at: http://mattersinmediaart.org/ [accessed 24 October 2023]

See also:

- NEW MEDIA MUSEUMS: Creating Framework for Preserving and Collecting Media Arts in V4, initiated by the Olomouc Museum of Art as a joint international platform for sharing experience with building and maintaining collections of new media artworks across different types of institutions. The aim of the project is to find workable methods for heritage institutions to build and maintain collections of media arts, which are necessary for safeguarding this area for the benefit of society. See Central European Art Database (2021) ‘NEW MEDIA MUSEUMS: Creating Framework for Preserving and Collecting Media Arts in V4’. Available at: http://cead.space/Detail/projects/3797 [accessed 24 October 2023]
- The Collaborative Infrastructure for sustainable access to digital art LIMA project, to prevent the loss of digital artworks and to commonly develop the knowledge to preserve these works in a sustainable way. The project ‘Infrastructure sustainable accessibility digital art’ invests in research, training, knowledge sharing and conservation to prevent the loss of both digital artworks and the knowledge to preserve them. See LIMA (n.d.) ‘Collaborative infrastructure for sustainable access to digital art’. Available at: https://www.li-ma.nl/lima/article/collaborative-infrastructure-sustainable-access-digital-art [accessed 24 October 2023]
Research Data Published through Repositories

Research data published through digital repositories or other services providers with specialist skills to manage the data and an ongoing commitment to ensure preservation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Species: Research Outputs</th>
<th>Trend in 2022: ↓ Material improvement</th>
<th>Consensus Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Added to List: 2019</td>
<td>Trend in 2023: ↓ Material improvement</td>
<td>Previously: Vulnerable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Imminence of Action
Action is recommended within three years, detailed assessment within one year

Significance of Loss
The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on many people and sectors.

Effort to Preserve | Inevitability
It would require a small effort to preserve materials in this group, requiring the application of proven tools and techniques.

Examples
Recognized data repositories in specialist disciplines; institutional data repositories in subject specialist centres and partnerships

‘Endangered’ in the Presence of Aggravating Conditions
Lack of long-term commitment; lack of user community; lack of visibility to potential depositors; lack of institutional commitment; insufficient documentation

‘Lower Risk’ in the Presence of Good Practice
Certification and documented good practice; effective documentation requirements for depositors; proven financial sustainability; skilled staff including professionalising disciplinary and general data stewardship offering a clear career option; participation in the digital preservation community; research data management training by repositories and research funders offered to depositors, in particular new career researchers.

2023 Review
This entry was added in 2019 as a separate entry, but it was previously introduced in 2017 under ‘Published research outputs,’ though without explicit reference to the capacity of the repository infrastructure. In 2019, the Jury split the entry into a range of contexts for research outputs, including this addition. It was classified as Vulnerable; the preservation of research data published through a well-founded repository with the capacity and commitment to ensure preservation and capability through their own professional development activities makes it a ‘lower risk’ outcome for research data.

The 2021 Jury agreed with this classification but commented on the improvements and initiatives towards the preservation of research data and outputs, leading to a trend towards reduced risk.

The 2022 Taskforce agreed on a trend towards reduced risk based on material improvement over the last year that have not only offered examples of good research data management and preservation practices but also suggest a significant shift towards culture of change and collaboration across different research communities and stakeholders. These include (but are not limited to) improvements and initiatives by the European Open Science Cloud (EOSC), Science Europe, Research Data Alliance (RDA), Digital Curation Centre (DCC) and related projects on the preservation of research data and outputs.
The 2023 Council agreed with the *Vulnerable* classification and noted that there was a trend towards reduced risk due to increasing research data management and engagement activity by libraries, which should result in increasing amounts of datasets being deposited. The 2023 Council did also note it would be useful to see empirical data of depositing trends to assess this.

**Additional Comments**

A key consideration with this entry is whether the data repository is integrated with a preservation system to facilitate long term access and usability of datasets.

The loss of tools, data or services within this group would impact on people and sectors around the world. Particularly those involved with reproducibility and those wishing to use the datasets for further research.

Although there have been improvements in current practice, policies and workflows, there is still a significant corpus of information that was deposited before these improvements came into force. It is unlikely that there will be the time, will or resources to bring this information up to current standards.

Creating additional preservation metadata to research data holdings may help render data more robust in the long term, where using a preservation system is not an option. With an emphasis on environmental sustainability, some repositories hesitate mandating additional copies of large datasets which may be in the region of hundreds of terabytes, as this adds to both storage cost and carbon footprint, especially when capturing and preserving the research methodology would enable recreating the dataset.

**Case Studies or Examples:**

- Research outputs from the ARCHIVER project. This includes findings from Early Adopter Use Cases. ARCHIVER (n.d.) ‘Early Adopters Use Cases’. Available at: [https://www.archiver-project.eu/early-adopters-use-cases](https://www.archiver-project.eu/early-adopters-use-cases) [accessed 24 October 2023]
- Research outputs from the FAIRsFAIR project. This includes findings from Implementation & Adoption Stories. FAIRsFAIR (n.d.) ‘Implementation & Adoption Stories’. Available at: [https://fairsfair.eu/implementation-adoption-stories](https://fairsfair.eu/implementation-adoption-stories) [accessed 24 October 2023]

**See also:**

Digital materials are listed as *Lower Risk* when they do not meet the requirements for other categories but where there is a distinct preservation requirement. Failure or removal of the preservation function would result in re-classification to one of the threatened categories.

There are no entries in the *Bit List 2023* which meet this description. However, digital materials described as *Vulnerable* become *Lower Risk* where good practice is applied. Suggestions of good practice have been made.
11. Appendices

Appendix 1: Risk Classifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>How should we categorize the risks here?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Practically Extinct</td>
<td>Digital materials are listed as Practically Extinct when examples cannot be identified or are inaccessible by most practical means and methods. It does not assume that the material is lost, but rather that loss is imminent and immediate action is required to avoid loss. It includes material where recovery is possible in very small samples but is impractical or has not been demonstrated at scale.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critically Endangered</td>
<td>Digital materials are listed Critically Endangered when they face material technical challenges to preservation, there are no agencies responsible for them or those agencies are unwilling or unable to meet preservation needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>Digital materials are listed Endangered when they face material technical challenges to preservation or responsibility for care is poorly understood, or where the responsible agencies are poorly equipped to meet preservation needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
<td>Digital materials are listed Vulnerable when the technical challenges to preservation are modest but responsibility for care is poorly understood, or where the responsible agencies are not meeting preservation needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Risk</td>
<td>Digital materials are listed as Lower Risk when they do not meet the requirements for other risk classifications but where there is a distinct preservation requirement. Failure or removal of the preservation function would result in assignment to one of the more threatened classifications.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Risk Trends

2023 Trend

Thinking about the trend we reported last year, do you believe there is any reason to change that (has risk significantly changed over the last year)?

Material improvement

The trend we reported last year has improved. There is evidence of material improvement in preservation outcomes for this entry compared to last year.

No change

The trend reported last year remains true.

Towards even greater risk

The trend we reported last year has deteriorated. The preservation outcomes for this entry have become harder to achieve when compared to last year.

Scoring Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Significance</th>
<th>What is the significance, value and/or 'uniqueness' of the digital material?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Unique, irreplaceable, invaluable, of global interest and importance to a large group of people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Unique, irreplaceable, invaluable, of international interest and importance to a large group of people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Unique, irreplaceable, invaluable, of national interest and importance to a large group of people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Unique, irreplaceable, invaluable, of community/local interest and importance to a large group of people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Irreplaceable, invaluable, of interest and importance to a large group of people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Invaluable and significant to a smaller group of people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact</td>
<td>What is the extent to which the loss of the digital material would be felt?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>10</strong></td>
<td>Globally traumatic, destabilizing impact to a large group of people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>9</strong></td>
<td>Internationally traumatic, destabilizing impact to a large group of people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8</strong></td>
<td>Nationally traumatic, destabilizing impact to a large group of people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7</strong></td>
<td>Locally traumatic, destabilizing impact to a large group of people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6</strong></td>
<td>Impact to a large group of people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5</strong></td>
<td>Impact to a smaller group of people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4</strong></td>
<td>Inconvenient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3</strong></td>
<td>Inconvenient, but quickly recoverable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
<td>Inconvenient to a smaller group of people, but quickly recoverable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1</strong></td>
<td>Briefly inconvenient</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inevitability of Loss (Effort to Preserve)</th>
<th>Can it reasonably be avoided?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>5</strong></td>
<td>Inevitable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4</strong></td>
<td>Loss seems likely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3</strong></td>
<td>Major effort to fix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
<td>Small effort to fix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1</strong></td>
<td>Entirely avoidable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imminence of Action</th>
<th>If you had one of these, how quickly would you need to act to save it?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>5</strong></td>
<td>Act immediately within 6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4</strong></td>
<td>Around 12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3</strong></td>
<td>Less than 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
<td>Within 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1</strong></td>
<td>More than 5 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 2: Summary of Changes in 2023

New Additions in 2023

Eight New Entries
There were thirteen submissions received during the open call for nominations. Nine were received through the open online nomination process and four were nominated by Bit List Council members. Eight of the thirteen submissions were added to the Bit List 2023 as new, standalone entries. The other five were incorporated as examples and case studies within existing entries. The eight new entries are:

1. ‘Content Published on the Web Which Cannot Easily Be Captured Through Conventional Web Archiving Practices’ (p. 109)
2. ‘Custom Online Databases’ (p. 117)
3. ‘First Nations Secret/Sacred Cultural Material’ (p. 128)
4. ‘Commercial Software’ (p. 32)
5. ‘Console Games’ (p. 36)
6. ‘Native Cloud Formats’ (p. 66)
7. ‘PC Games’ (p. 75)
8. ‘Smart TV Apps’ (p. 87)

These new entries bring to attention the historical and cultural significance, the complexity and interconnectedness of their various technologies that make their preservation challenging, and the impact of loss to access to them for wide-ranging uses across many sectors.

Three New Digital Species
Entries in the Bit List are grouped into higher-level ‘digital species’ which share core characteristics but have different risk profiles. Council members were asked to review existing and nominated entries within the scope of their delegated digital species, with recommendations shared and discussed by the Council in plenary to reach general consensus. Council deliberations led to the formation of three new species groups, partly to accommodate new entries. The three new digital species are:

1. Software: includes the new entry for ‘Commercial Software’ (p. 32)
2. Databases: includes the new entry for ‘Custom Online Databases’ (p. 117)
3. Cloud: draws attention to existing entries ‘Cloud-based Services and Communications Platforms’ (p. 103) and ‘Cloud Storage’ (p. 150) and responds in part to frequently stated concern of DPC members for advice on how to preserve cloud-based content.

New Case Studies and Examples
Five submissions received from the open nomination process did not become new entries but were instead incorporated into the Bit List as case studies or examples of existing entries:

1. A nomination received for ‘DVDs produced under copyright’ was incorporated into ‘Current Portable Optical Media’ (p. 115) as an example of loss of digital materials stored in DVDs due to different aggravating conditions.
2. A nomination received for the NSV-based STARDIVA storage format as incorporated into ‘Legacy Video Files’ (p. 133) as an example of a video file format especially at risk due to aggravating conditions.
3. A nomination received for unpublished digital indices and transcriptions in the DIMEV Open-Access Digital Edition of the Index of Middle English Verse was incorporated into ‘Legacy Research Web Collections’ (p. 60) as an example of at-risk collection.
4. A nomination received for ‘Electronic Theses (eTheses)’ was incorporated into ‘Published Research Papers’ entry (p. 159) as an example of digital published especially at risk due to aggravating conditions.

5. A nomination was received relating to reports and papers of NGOs and IGOs. This was incorporated into a revised ‘Grey Literature’ (p. 59) entry.

**Major Revisions in 2023**
The Council has also merged, disaggregated, and edited a number of entries to account for changing conditions. These include:

- **A newly merged entry for ‘PDF’**. In previous years, the Bit List included separate entries for ‘PDF/A’ and ‘PDF other than PDF/A’. After reviewing these entries, the Council’s Formats species experts decided there were more similarities than differences between them, there is a robust market of tools to assist preservation of PDF, and the risk of loss is less severe than previously feared. A newly merged entry for ‘PDF’ (p. 154) with a Vulnerable risk classification was proposed and supported by the Council.

- **The disaggregation of a previous entry into six new entries**. In previous years, the Bit List had an all-purpose entry of ‘Supporting Digital Materials for Museums and Galleries’. The Council’s Museum and Gallery species experts noted that this entry was too broad to provide useful guidance and decided to split it into six more discrete entries which were supported by the Council: Collections Information Management Data and Systems (p. 105); Interpretive Materials (p. 131); Oral Histories (p. 135); Research Materials and Outputs in Museums and Galleries (p. 143); Exhibition Content (p. 53); and Manuals, Documentation, and Associated Materials (p. 62).

- **The splitting of a previous entry into two entries**. In previous years, the Bit List had included an entry for ‘Old or Non-current Video Games’. The Council’s Gaming species experts decided to split this into ‘Games with Offline Play Components’ (p. 56) and ‘Games with Online Play Components’ (p. 57) to highlight the difference in preserving offline components as opposed to online components in video games, and this was supported by the Council.

- **The addition of a new, related entry from a previous entry**. The Council’s Portable Media species expert proposed creating a new entry related to the existing entry for ‘Non-current, Portable Magnetic Media’. This new entry for ‘Non-current, Rare Portable Magnetic Media’ (p. 22) highlights the increased risk for more unusual and less common formats associated with the media, and it was supported by the Council.

There were also entries rescoped, without substantive alteration, to clarify their meaning and relation to other entries, such as

- ‘Always Online Games’ (p. 31), previously ‘Massively Multiplayer Online Gaming Platforms and Experiences’, was broadened in scope. It now covers any video games that are required to be continuously online.

- ‘Shut Down or Discontinued Video Games’ (p. 27), previously ‘Old or Non-current Offline Video Games’, was narrowed in scope to focus on where loss has already happened.

- ‘Consumer Social Media Free at the Point of Use’ (p. 38) uses the same name as previously but has been rescoped in response to recent developments in web-based and cloud-based business products and services.

- ‘Cloud-based Services and Communications Platforms’ (p. 103) has been moved to the Cloud species. It has the same name as previously but has been narrowed in scope to focus more specifically on the various risks associated with digital content created, stored and shared
Using cloud-based services, especially business-related tools or collaboration tools which are not being well preserved (e.g. Slack, Google Drive, SharePoint).

The Council also provided recommendations to revisit and rescope entries as part of next major review, which are noted within entry descriptions and will be addressed in the next comprehensive review.

New Risk Classifications and Trends in 2013

Re-classifications

After significant investment and effort, it is perhaps surprising to note that the risk classifications of entries have not changed significantly since the last report. There are only three substantive changes to risk classification:

- The Council has re-classified ‘Shut Down or Discontinued Video Games’ (p. 27) as Practically Extinct, from an earlier classification of Critically Endangered. This is partly an artefact of the rescoping described above, but also a feature of the trend towards greater risk that was noted in 2022.
- The Council has re-classified ‘Published Research Data Appended to Journal Articles’ (p. 156) as Vulnerable from an earlier classification of Endangered. The reduced risk is because of a trend of material improvements noted during the review.
- The Council has re-classified ‘Unpublished Research Data’ (p. 92) as Critically Endangered from its previous classification of Practically Extinct in recognition of material improvements that were noted during the review.

In addition, the newly merged ‘PDF’ (p. 154) entry inherited the Vulnerable classification, after merging ‘PDF/A’ (Vulnerable) with ‘PDF other than PDF/A’ (Endangered)—this is not a change to risk classification in the same way as the others.

Changing Trends

In addition, the Council identified six entries where the substantive risk had not changed but the trends associated with those entries had altered:

- ‘Always Online Games’ (p. 31) is now trending towards even greater risk.
- ‘Games with Online Play Components’ (p. 57) is now trending towards even greater risk.
- ‘Recordings of Video Game Play Uploaded to Online Platforms’ (p. 141) is now trending towards even greater risk.
- ‘Consumer Social Media Free at the Point of Use’ (p. 38) is now trending towards even greater risk.
- ‘Orphaned Works’ (p. 138) is now trending towards even greater risk.
- ‘Research Data Published through Repositories’ (p. 163) is showing material improvement.
Appendix 3: The Bit List Methodology

The Bit List was first published in 2017 and has undergone a comprehensive review every two years with an interim progress report and commentary in alternate years. This schedule explicitly complements the biennial cycle of the Digital Preservation Awards.

This fourth edition of the Bit List is considerably more robust in content and process than previous editions and is also longer, with a total number of 87 entries compared to 73 in the third edition. This introduction provides a few highlights, reflecting on how the wider context has changed since the last comprehensive review in 2021.

The Bit List Process

This year’s Bit List 2023 builds on the work of previous juries and taskforces. However, the review process was significantly overhauled since the last publication, based partly on recommendations from previous jurors and on discussion with the DPC’s Advocacy and Community Engagement Subcommittee which oversees the Bit List on behalf of the DPC’s Executive Board.

The most notable change to the review process was a formation of a much larger expert body, the Bit List Council, which took on an assessment role previously vested in smaller Bit List Jury panels. The formation of the Bit List Council was strategically based around what are termed ‘digital species’—higher-level thematic groupings of entries categorized within the list—ensuring that all entries were reviewed by experts from organizations representing global expertise in the preservation of the listed digital species. The Council was able to delegate detailed review of entries first by digital species, resulting recommendations from those evaluations of corresponding digital species were then compiled, shared, and discussed by the Council in plenary to ensure consistency and completeness.

The Council review took place from April to November 2023, and in one form or another, each entry on the list has passed through the following stages:
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Figure 2. Stages of the Bit List 2023 Review

Round 1 of Review
DPC staff provide lists of existing Bit List entries, organized by digital species, with scorecards to Council for review and comment.
Council members evaluate existing entries in their digital species and send completed scorecards to DPC staff.

Council Meeting 1
DPC staff present findings to Council, noting areas of agreement, divergence, or gaps for discussion.
Council meets to discuss findings, explain or elaborate on their recommendations, and approve changes where there is general consensus.

Online Nomination Process
DPC releases and promotes open call for new nominations to members and wider digital preservation community.
Nominations are submitted and collected.

Round 2 of Review
DPC staff provide list of submissions to Council for review, score, and comment.
Council members evaluate submissions and send recommendations and comments to DPC staff.

Council Meeting 2
DPC staff present findings to Council, noting areas of agreement, divergence or gaps for discussion.
Council meets to discuss findings, explain or elaborate on recommendations, and approve nominations where there is general consensus.

Round 3 of Review
DPC staff provide list of all entries, noting where scores diverged, current categorization is in question or no general consensus has been reached.
Council members review the compiled list, provide additional comments, case studies or examples, and make final decisions for items within their digital species group.

Peer Review of Revised List
DPC staff collate Council recommendations, draft revised list and report, which is shared with ACE subcommittee, experts, and other stakeholders for final review.
Council and recommended experts review draft and send feedback or comment, final draft and sign off by DPC staff.

All entries on the Bit List 2023 have been validated by the Council. The more exacting approach to the comprehensive review has significantly enhanced the overall quality and authority of recommendations within the report compared to previous iterations of the report. Existing entries have been updated, revised, rescoped or restructured by the Council to take account of changing conditions and emerging solutions. New nominations have been assessed by the Council. Some have been added as new entries in their own right; others absorbed as part of the rescope and restructure of existing entries.
Appendix 4: About the Digital Preservation Coalition

The Digital Preservation Coalition (DPC) is an international charity which supports digital preservation, helping its members around the world deliver resilient long-term access to digital content and services through community engagement, advocacy, workforce development, good practice and accountable governance. Its vision is a welcoming and inclusive global community, working together to bring about a sustainable future for our digital assets.

The DPC’s work, including the Bit List is shaped by our values. Members and colleagues who engage with the DPC should be able to recognize those values in that experience. In all that it does, the DPC will:

- Care for our members, resources, people and environment.
- Maintain neutrality in respect to solutions, approaches, sectors, suppliers and vendors.
- Be open, transparent and accountable to members.
- Amplify the needs and successes of our members.
- Be open to all stakeholders with a presumption of positive intent.
- Respond to the needs of members in the delivery of services.
- Be authoritative, current and concise in all our publications and communications.
- Be respectful, welcoming, inclusive and transparent in all our dealings.
- Be evidence-led, making effective use of data in decision making.

The scope of the DPC and its activities are defined in six ways:

- By our charitable objects: the DPC is registered with the Offices of Scottish Charity Regulation (OSCR). We operate under the supervision of the regulator to deliver our charitable objects with respect to education and research for public benefit, and we do so in compliance with all statutes and expectations associated with the status of a charity.
- By our members: we invest considerable energy in framing a program that responds to members’ needs. As the membership changes, so this scope will change through time.
- By topic: we define digital preservation as the managed activities necessary to ensure continued access to digital materials for as long as necessary, including all the actions required to maintain access beyond the limits of media failure, technological obsolescence or community change. We engage in and with any and all of the people, tools, services, agencies and activities that aid this purpose.
- By sectors: we are a cross-sector, inter-disciplinary body, open to all who need to ensure continuing access to digital content, irrespective of purpose.
- By locale: digital preservation is a global challenge, therefore we welcome memberships, partnerships and collaborations with agencies and individuals around the world. Our origins are as a joint endeavour between agencies in the UK and Ireland where the majority of our members are still situated; but we are active in 20 countries and 6 continents, with offices in the UK and Australia. By the end of 2027, we will have established offices more widely around the world as befits a global foundation.
- By our values: we maintain our neutrality with respect to solutions, approaches, and vendors to protect the independence and value of our activities. Nonetheless neutrality does not imply exclusion from meaningful interaction, simply that engagement happens on our terms.

For more about the Digital Preservation Coalition, including how to join, see: https://www.dpconline.org/
The Digital Preservation Coalition
11 University Gardens,
Glasgow G12 8QQ
Scotland

www.dpconline.org
Info@dpconline.org

The Digital Preservation Coalition (DPC) is building a welcoming and inclusive global community, working together to bring about a sustainable future for our digital assets.

We enable our members to deliver resilient, sustainable and useful long-term access to digital content and services, helping them to access and use digital materials beyond the limits of technical obsolescence, media degradation and organizational change.

We raise awareness of the strategic, cultural and technological challenges which our members face, independent of the interests of solution providers, and we encourage collaboration for mutual benefit and the greater good.

We sustain and deliver these aims through advocacy, community engagement, workforce development, good practice and good governance. These actions create, empower, structure and extend a global community, working together for a sustainable digital legacy. This ambition for the greater good underpins our charitable purpose.
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